1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
5			
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Output O		
7	PLAINTIFF,)) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA		
8	VS.)) MAY 11, 2022 RAMESH "SUNNY" BALWANI,)		
9	DEFENDANT.)		
10) PAGES 5287 - 5562		
11			
12	TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14	APPEARANCES:		
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JOHN C. BOSTIC		
16	JEFFREY B. SCHENK 150 ALMADEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 900		
17	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113		
18	BY: ROBERT S. LEACH KELLY VOLKAR		
19	1301 CLAY STREET, SUITE 340S OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612		
20	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)		
21	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS:		
22	IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074		
23	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595		
24	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY		
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER		

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2	FOR DEFENDANT BALWANI:	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP BY: MOLLY MCCAFFERTY
3		SHAWN ESTRADA
4		THE ORRICK BUILDING 405 HOWARD STREET
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
6		BY: JEFFREY COOPERSMITH AARON BRECHER
7		AMANDA MCDOWELL 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5600 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
8		
9		BY: STEPHEN CAZARES 77 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 3200 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
10		BY: AMY WALSH
11		51 W 52ND STREET
12		NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019
13	ALSO PRESENT:	OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY BY: MADDI WACHS, PARALEGAL
14		SARA SLATTERY, PARALEGAL
15		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE JENNIFER CYGNOR, PARALEGAL
16		PROLUMINA
17		BY: COREY ALLEN
18		2200 SIXTH AVENUE, SUITE 425 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98121
19		UNITED STATES POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE
20		BY: CHRISTOPHER MCCOLLOW
21		FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION BY: MARIO C. SCUSSEL
22		UNITED STATES FOOD & DRUG
23		ADMINISTRATION BY: GEORGE SCAVDIS
24		
25		

1			
2	INDEX OF PROCEEDING	<u>NGS</u>	
3	GOVERNMENT'S:		
4	DANIEL MOSLEY	D 5226	
5	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. CAZARES (RES.) REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. SCHENK	P. 5356 P. 5357	
6			
7	ALAN EISENMAN		
8	CROSS-EXAM BY MS. WALSH	P. 5362 P. 5425	
9	REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. BOSTIC RECROSS-EXAM BY MS. WALSH	P. 5502 P. 5514	
10	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. BOSTIC	P. 5527	
11	LYNETTE SAWYER	D 5501	
12	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. LEACH CROSS-EXAM BY MS. WALSH	P. 5531 P. 5547	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1				
2		INDEX OF E	XHIBITS	
3		IDENT.	EVIDENCE	
4	GOVERNMENT'S			
5	663		5378 5396	
6	1334 1371, PAGES 1-8		5406	
7	2057 2468, REDACTED		5414 5419	
8	713 1362		5464 5469	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	DEFENDANT'S			
15	14124		5343	
16	14135 14103		5353 5441	
17	12285, REDACTED 14224		5451 5453	
18	14225 14226		5455 5459	
19	12185 12252		5461 5463	
20	12999 13150		5485 5493	
21	13191		5497	
22				
23				
24				
25				

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA MAY 11, 2022
	2	PROCEEDINGS
08:36AM	3	(COURT CONVENED AT 8:36 A.M.)
08:36AM	4	(JURY OUT AT 8:36 A.M.)
08:36AM	5	THE COURT: LET'S GO ON THE RECORD IN THE BALWANI
08:36AM	6	MATTER.
08:36AM	7	ALL COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. MR. BALWANI IS PRESENT.
08:36AM	8	WE'RE OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.
08:36AM	9	WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT, LET'S SEE, IT'S
08:36AM	10	1425 I BELIEVE IT IS.
08:36AM	11	DOCKET 1425 WAS MR. BALWANI'S MOTION TO COMPEL LIS
08:36AM	12	EVIDENCE. I'VE READ THAT.
08:36AM	13	1426 WAS THE GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION TO MR. BALWANI'S
08:36AM	14	MOTION.
08:36AM	15	1431 WAS MR. BALWANI'S REPLY.
08:37AM	16	I THINK THAT'S ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS RELATED FOR DISCUSSION
08:37AM	17	THIS MORNING.
08:37AM	18	ARE THERE ANY OTHERS, ANY OTHER FILINGS THAT I SHOULD BE
08:37AM	19	AWARE OF IN REGARDS TO THIS MOTION?
08:37AM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: JUST THE DECLARATION ACCOMPANYING
08:37AM	21	OUR MOTION, YOUR HONOR. YOU PROBABLY HAVE THAT AS WELL.
08:37AM	22	THE COURT: I DO.
08:37AM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU.
08:37AM	24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET ME HEAR FROM THE
08:37AM	25	PARTIES.

1 08:37AM 2 08:37AM 3 08:37AM 08:37AM 4 08:37AM 08:37AM 08:37AM 7 8 08:37AM 08:38AM 9 08:38AM 10 08:38AM 11 08:38AM 12 08:38AM 13 08:38AM 14 08:38AM 15 08:38AM 16 08:38AM 17 08:38AM 18 08:38AM 19 08:38AM 20 08:38AM 21 08:38AM 22 08:38AM 23 08:38AM 24 08:38AM 25

MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. AS THE COURT HAS READ, THIS IS A MOTION TO COMPEL CERTAIN DISCOVERY UNDER RULE 16 AND BRADY.

AND JUST -- I THINK THE COURT IS VERY FAMILIAR WITH THIS ISSUE FROM PRIOR MOTION PRACTICE, BUT JUST TO JUMP INTO IT, THERE'S A BRADY LETTER THAT THE GOVERNMENT SENT THE DEFENSE ON OCTOBER 29TH, 2020. SO I KNOW THAT THE LETTER IS PHRASED IN TERMS OF, WELL, THE DEFENSE MAY THINK THIS IS BRADY, SO HERE YOU GO.

BUT THE GOVERNMENT WAS OBVIOUSLY CONCERNED ENOUGH ABOUT IT THAT THEY THOUGHT THAT THE CONTENT FELL WITHIN THEIR BRADY OBLIGATIONS, SO THEY WROTE A RATHER LENGTHY LETTER.

AND WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR -- AS YOU MAY HAVE SEEN IN PRIOR PRACTICE IN CONNECTION WITH THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS, WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR EVERYTHING UNDER THE SUN THAT RELATES TO THIS LETTER.

WE'RE SIMPLY ASKING FOR VERY PARTICULAR THINGS REFERENCING CERTAIN PARAGRAPHS IN THE BRADY LETTER, AND THOSE ARE SET FORTH ON THE LAST PAGE OF DOCUMENT 1425 EXACTLY WHAT THOSE NUMBERS ARE.

SO WHY ARE WE ENTITLED TO THIS?

FIRST OF ALL, AS THE COURT KNOWS, IN THE RULING ON THE MOTION IN LIMINE, THIS IS DOCKET 1326 AT PAGE 39, IT WAS IN THE CONTEXT OF A DIFFERENT MOTION ABOUT COORDINATION WITH JOURNALISTS, BUT THE LANGUAGE THAT THIS COURT USED, AND BASED

1 08:39AM 2 08:39AM 3 08:39AM 08:39AM 4 08:39AM 08:39AM 08:39AM 7 8 08:39AM 08:39AM 9 08:39AM 10 08:39AM 11 08:39AM 12 08:39AM 13 08:39AM 14 08:39AM 15 08:40AM 16 08:40AM 17 08:40AM 18 08:40AM 19 08:40AM 20 08:40AM 21 08:40AM 22 08:40AM 23 08:40AM 24 08:40AM 25

ON NINTH CIRCUIT LAW, WAS THAT NOTHING IN THIS ORDER, MEANING THE MIL ORDER, IS INTENDED TO PRECLUDE BALWANI FROM PRESENTING EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT CONCERNING THE DETAILS, THOROUGHNESS OR GOOD FAITH OF THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION.

AND THAT LANGUAGE THAT THIS COURT USED, THAT'S AT DOCKET 1326 AT PAGE 39, IS SQUARELY IN LINE WITH THE NINTH CIRCUIT PRECEDENT ON THIS ISSUE.

WHAT IS THAT?

SO WE CITED THOSE CASES IN OUR BRIEF. ONE OF THE CASES, OF COURSE, IS CROSBY AND THERE'S THE SUPREME COURT CASE KYLES VERSUS WHITLEY.

AND THEN IF YOU LOOK, YOUR HONOR, IN PARTICULAR AT DOCUMENT 1431, THIS IS OUR REPLY BRIEF, AT PAGE 4, WE COLLECT THOSE AUTHORITIES AND THERE'S SOMETHING VERY IMPORTANT THAT I WANT TO NOTE ABOUT THESE, AND THAT IS THAT THOSE CASES DO NOT REQUIRE THAT THE EVIDENCE BE ACTUALLY EXCULPATORY.

I KNOW FROM THE COURT'S PRIOR RULINGS THE COURT VIEWED THIS LIS EVIDENCE AS, WELL, IT'S SPECULATIVE. WE'RE NOT SURE WHETHER IT HELPS THE DEFENSE OR HELPS THE GOVERNMENT. IT COULD HELP THE GOVERNMENT, IT COULD HELP THE DEFENSE. WE JUST DON'T KNOW BECAUSE NO ONE HAS IT.

THAT DOESN'T MATTER, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD POINT THE COURT IN PARTICULAR TO THE HOWELL CASE THAT WE CITED. THE HOWELL CASE IS 231 F.3D 615, AND THAT'S INSTRUCTIVE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: AND HOWELL, WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED WAS THE POLICE

INVESTIGATION, THERE WERE CERTAIN REPORTS THAT WERE INCORRECT. 1 08:40AM THE INTERESTING THING ABOUT IT, THOUGH, IS THE INCORRECT 2 08:40AM NATURE OF THE REPORTS WAS THAT IT SHOWED THAT THE CASH AT ISSUE 3 08:40AM 08:40AM 4 WAS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE DEFENDANT, THAT WAS REALLY THE TRUTH, AND THE POLICE REPORT SAID IT WAS IN THE POSSESSION OF 08:40AM SOME OTHER PERSON. 08:40AM SO ACTUALLY IF THE REPORTS HAD BEEN CORRECT, THEY WOULD 08:40AM HAVE BEEN INCULPATORY, NOT EXCULPATORY. BUT STILL THE 8 08:40AM NINTH CIRCUIT IN HOWELL SAID THE DEFENSE STILL SHOULD HAVE 08:41AM 9 08:41AM 10 GOTTEN THE EVIDENCE BECAUSE EVEN IF IT'S INCULPATORY, IT BEARS 08:41AM 11 ON THE SLOPPINESS AND THOROUGHNESS AND SO FORTH OF THE POLICE 08:41AM 12 INVESTIGATION. I WILL SAY ONE WORD ABOUT THE GOVERNMENT'S ATTEMPT TO 08:41AM 13 DISTINGUISH THESE CASES. I JUST DON'T SEE IT. 08:41AM 14 08:41AM 15 THEY'VE SAID, WELL, YOU KNOW, THAT WAS THE POLICE, THIS IS 08:41AM 16 THE PROSECUTION. 08:41AM 17 THAT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE UNDER BRADY AND ITS PROGENY, 08:41AM 18 WHETHER WHICH PARTICULAR LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL OR AGENCY IS 08:41AM 19 INVOLVED, IT'S ALL THE SAME. NOW, GOING A LITTLE BIT FURTHER, YOUR HONOR, THERE'S 08:41AM 20 OBVIOUSLY A FACTUAL DISPUTE ABOUT WHAT THE GOVERNMENT COULD 08:41AM 21 08:41AM 22 HAVE DONE, IF ANYTHING, ABOUT RESTORING THE LIS. 08:41AM 23 AND WE SAY, THROUGH OUR EXPERT THAT WE'VE PRESENTED, AND 08:41AM 24 THE COURT HAS I THINK REVIEWED HIS DECLARATION, WHICH I BELIEVE 08:41AM 25 IS DOCKET 1158, DOCKET 1158. THROUGH OUR EXPERT, WE CONTEND

THAT THE GOVERNMENT COULD HAVE RESTORED THE LIS IF IT HAD 1 08:42AM 2 SIMPLY FOLLOWED THE ADVICE OF ITS OWN ALS SUPERVISOR AND 08:42AM RECOVERED THE SERVERS. 3 08:42AM 08:42AM 4 THE GOVERNMENT SAYS THAT'S NOT CORRECT. THEY POINT TO OTHER WITNESSES AND OTHER EVIDENCE THAT THEY SAY IS CONTRARY TO 08:42AM 08:42AM 6 THAT. THE COURT LOOKED AT THESE FACTUAL ISSUES IN THE CONTEXT OF 08:42AM THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS, AND THE COURT IN THAT CONTEXT FOUND 08:42AM 8 THAT WHILE -- RESOLVED THAT FACTUAL DISPUTE IN FAVOR OF THE 08:42AM 9 08:42AM 10 GOVERNMENT, RIGHT? THAT BASED ON THE 302'S AND THE GOVERNMENT'S REPRESENTATIONS, AND REVIEWING OUR EXPERT'S 08:42AM 11 08:42AM 12 DECLARATION, THE COURT THOUGHT, WELL, YEAH, I THINK ON BALANCE THE GOVERNMENT IS RIGHT AND I WON'T SUPPRESS THE EVIDENCE. 08:42AM 13 AND THE COURT REMARKED THAT, WELL, AT MOST, MAYBE THE 08:42AM 14 08:42AM 15 GOVERNMENT WAS NEGLIGENT. IT DIDN'T FIND THAT IT WAS NEGLIGENT, BUT SAID AT MOST THAT COULD BE THE CASE. 08:42AM 16 08:43AM 17 THAT WHOLE EPISODE, YOUR HONOR, IS INTERESTING FOR THE 08:43AM 18 MOTION TO SUPPRESS. 08:43AM 19 BUT THAT HAS NO BEARING ON THE CURRENT ISSUE, WHICH IS SIMPLY GETTING THE MATERIAL THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR UNDER THESE 08:43AM 20 08:43AM 21 PARAGRAPHS, BECAUSE AS WE'VE POINTED OUT IN OUR BRIEF, THESE 08:43AM 22 KINDS OF FACTUAL DISPUTES ULTIMATELY ARE FOR THE JURY. 08:43AM 23 AND AS I'VE POINTED TO BASED ON THIS COURT'S RULING AND 08:43AM 24 THE NINTH CIRCUIT PRECEDENT, WE HAVE A RIGHT TO PRESENT THIS 08:43AM 25 DEFENSE IN RELIANCE ON THOSE RULINGS. WE MENTIONED THIS IN

1 08:43AM 2 08:43AM 3 08:43AM 08:43AM 4 08:43AM 08:43AM 08:43AM 8 08:43AM 08:43AM 9 08:43AM 10 08:43AM 11 08:44AM 12 08:44AM 13 08:44AM 14 08:44AM 15 08:44AM 16 08:44AM 17 08:44AM 18 08:44AM 19 08:44AM 20 08:44AM 21 08:44AM 22 08:44AM 23 08:44AM 24 08:44AM 25

OPENING STATEMENT, AND THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE LAW.

AND THEN ONCE WE PRESENT THE DEFENSE, IT'S GOING TO BE A JURY QUESTION.

THE GOVERNMENT IS CERTAINLY FREE TO CALL WITNESSES OR CROSS-EXAMINE OUR WITNESSES TO SAY THAT THEY'RE RIGHT AND THEY COULD HAVE DONE NOTHING, AND WE'LL SAY, WELL, THAT'S NOT THE CASE.

SAME AS THESE OTHER CASES WHERE WE'VE GOT, YOU KNOW, OTHER INDICATIONS OF LACK OF THOROUGHNESS OR SLOPPINESS OF POLICE OR OTHER GOVERNMENT INVESTIGATIONS.

SO, YOUR HONOR, ONE OF THE OTHER POINTS I THINK THAT IS WORTH MENTIONING IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS SAID, WELL, WE PUT THIS IN A BRADY LETTER, SO THAT'S ALL YOU'RE ENTITLED TO.

WELL, THAT'S JUST NOT THE CASE.

FIRST OF ALL, IN THEIR OPPOSITION BRIEF, THAT IS DOCKET 1426, THE ONLY THING THAT THEY CITE FOR THAT PROPOSITION AT PAGE 9 IS THE JUSTICE MANUAL, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S OWN MANUAL, THAT SAYS IN SOME CASES IT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE TO PUT SOMETHING IN A LETTER RATHER THAN DISCLOSE THE UNDERLYING EVIDENCE.

WELL, IF -- I KNOW WHAT WOULD HAPPEN, BECAUSE I'VE BEEN THERE, WHEN WE CITE THE JUSTICE MANUAL FOR SOMETHING AND WE'RE REMINDED THAT'S NOT LAW AND THAT'S NOT PRECEDENT, AND FOR THEM TO CITE THEIR OWN DEPARTMENT'S MANUAL FOR SOMETHING RATHER THAN CASE LAW I DON'T THINK IS PERSUASIVE.

1 08:44AM 2 08:44AM 3 08:44AM 08:45AM 4 08:45AM 08:45AM 08:45AM 7 08:45AM 08:45AM 9 08:45AM 10 08:45AM 11 08:45AM 12 08:45AM 13 08:45AM 14 08:45AM 15 08:45AM 16 08:45AM 17 08:45AM 18 08:45AM 19 08:45AM 20 08:45AM 21 08:46AM 22 08:46AM 23 08:46AM 24 08:46AM 25

THAT SAID, YOUR HONOR, I THINK WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHETHER WE GET THE EVIDENCE THAT ACTUALLY UNDERLIES THEIR PARAGRAPH.

SO IF THERE'S AN EMAIL COMMUNICATION FROM THEIR ALS SUPERVISOR THAT LAYS OUT WHAT THEY SHOULD DO, IF THERE'S AN EMAIL FROM THAT PERSON, THEY HAVE ALREADY TOLD US THAT THAT EMAIL EXISTS, WE'RE ASKING FOR THE EMAIL, NOT THEIR CRAFTED VERSION OF WHAT HAPPENED IN THEIR LETTER.

AND THERE'S NO REASON WE SHOULDN'T GET THAT.

FIRST OF ALL, THAT TYPE OF THING IS NOT MENTAL IMPRESSIONS, IT'S NOT PROSECUTION STRATEGY, IT'S NOT THE GOVERNMENT TEAM'S VIEW OF WHAT EVIDENCE THEY SHOULD PRESENT AND WHY AND HOW THEY SHOULD PROSECUTE. IT'S SIMPLY A FACTUAL EMAIL SAYING, YOU KNOW, GO GET THE SUPERVISOR.

AND IN ADDITION, YOUR HONOR, THEY HAVE ALREADY PUT IT IN A BRADY LETTER.

AND SO FOR THEM TO SAY, WELL, YOU'RE ENTITLED TO THE INFORMATION, WE'RE BASICALLY WAIVING WHATEVER WORK PRODUCT COULD OTHERWISE EXIST, WHICH WE THINK IS NONE, BUT THEY'RE PUTTING IT IN A LETTER, THEN TO SAY, WELL, YOU CAN'T GET THE ACTUAL EMAIL DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE.

AND, OF COURSE, THERE ARE OTHER PARTS OF THEIR BRADY LETTER, IN PARTICULAR PARAGRAPHS 48, 50, AND 51, THAT ARE ACTUALLY COMMUNICATIONS WITH THIRD PARTIES, SO THERE'S OBVIOUSLY NO WORK PRODUCT THAT APPLIES TO THOSE.

SO THE WORK PRODUCT ARGUMENT, YOUR HONOR, IS A RED

HERRING. IT DOESN'T APPLY, AND WE SHOULD GET THE UNDERLYING EVIDENCE.

THE OTHER THING I'LL SAY ABOUT THAT, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT WHEN WE PRESENT THIS EVIDENCE TO THE JURY, WE SHOULD BE ENTITLED TO PRESENT THE ACTUAL EVIDENCE AND NOT HAVE TO RELY ON THE GOVERNMENT'S BRADY LETTER AS A PIECE OF EVIDENCE, BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THAT IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR THE JURY TO SEE, WELL, THE GOVERNMENT GAVE US THESE DISCLOSURES AND MAYBE THEY WERE BEING, YOU KNOW, LIKE -- THE JURY DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT BRADY OBLIGATIONS OR WHY THE GOVERNMENT WOULD DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I THINK THE LAYPERSON DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.

WE THINK WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO PRESENT THE EVIDENCE AND THE ISSUE OF BRADY, AND THE GOVERNMENT DISCLOSING IT SHOULDN'T BE A PART OF THE JURY'S THINKING ON THIS.

SO, YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT'S WHAT REALLY, IN SUM, ENTITLES US TO THIS INFORMATION.

AND I JUST WANT TO ALSO POINT OUT IN CLOSING, YOUR HONOR, THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT DISCOVERY RIGHT NOW. AND, YOU KNOW, THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE ALL SORTS OF OTHER OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OR WHATEVER THEY WANT TO SAY, THEY'RE OBVIOUSLY ENTITLED TO DO THAT, BUT RIGHT NOW WE'RE TALKING ABOUT OUR ABILITY TO GET THIS EVIDENCE, AND THE CASE LAW AND THIS COURT'S PRIOR RULINGS ALLOW US TO DO THAT.

THANK YOU.

08:47AM 25

THE COURT: THANK YOU. 08:47AM 1 SO WHAT IS IT YOU WANT? THANK YOU FOR TELLING ME ALL OF 2 08:47AM THAT. YOU'VE IDENTIFIED THE PARAGRAPHS, PARAGRAPHS IN YOUR 3 08:47AM 08:47AM 4 1425-3, THERE'S ABOUT FIVE PARAGRAPHS, I THINK, MAYBE SIX, AND YOU SAY THOSE ARE -- MAYBE EIGHT. AND THE BRADY LETTER TELLS A 08:47AM STORY ABOUT THIS LIS, THIS EVIDENCE. 08:47AM AND FOR PURPOSES OF INFORMING ME ABOUT JUST OUR TRIAL AND 08:47AM THE SCHEDULING OF THIS, I'M INTERESTED IN KNOWING, FROM BOTH 8 08:48AM YOU AND THE GOVERNMENT, WHERE THIS IS GOING TO GO, AND TRYING 08:48AM 9 08:48AM 10 TO DISCERN IS ONE THING -- ONE THING I'M GOING TO DO THIS 08:48AM 11 MORNING IS TRY TO DISCERN WHAT IS IT THAT YOU WANT, FIRST OF 08:48AM 12 ALL, AND WHAT IS THE NET RESULT OF THAT SUCH THAT WE CAN 08:48AM 13 DISCUSS IT THIS MORNING? AND I RECOGNIZE THAT I'M NOT -- NONE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT 08:48AM 14 08:48AM 15 I HAVE ARE INTENDED TO REVEAL, NOR AM I ASKING, NOR WILL I PERMIT YOU TO REVEAL ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT YOUR DEFENSE IS. I 08:48AM 16 08:48AM 17 DON'T WANT TO KNOW THAT, AND IT'S NOT MY QUESTIONS. 18 BUT I DO WANT TO KNOW AS BEST AS I CAN TO BE INFORMED 08:48AM 08:48AM 19 ABOUT THE SCHEDULING AND WHAT IS THIS GOING TO ENTAIL. 08:48AM 20 MR. COOPERSMITH: SURE. 08:48AM 21 THE COURT: SO YOU'VE IDENTIFIED THESE PARAGRAPHS IN 08:48AM 22 THE BRADY LETTER. AND YOU SAY YOU WANT MORE INFORMATION. YOU 08:48AM 23 TALKED A MOMENT AGO ABOUT THE EVIDENCE. 08:49AM 24 DO YOU MEAN THE EMAILS THEMSELVES? IS THAT WHAT YOU WANT? 08:49AM 25 MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR, EXACTLY.

08:49AM	1	AND IF YOU GO TO DOCKET 1425-2, WHICH IS MY DECLARATION,
08:49AM	2	THAT'S WHERE WE ATTACH THE EXCERPTS OF THE <u>BRADY</u> LETTER.
08:49AM	3	AND JUST, YOU KNOW, TO GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, IN
08:49AM	4	PARAGRAPH 46 THERE'S A DISCUSSION OF WHAT THE ALS SUPERVISOR
08:49AM	5	SAID, RIGHT?
08:49AM	6	AND THEN IT SAYS
08:49AM	7	THE COURT: IT SAYS PARAGRAPH 46 SAYS?
08:49AM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: YEAH. WELL, IF YOU LOOK AT
08:49AM	9	PARAGRAPH 46, THERE'S A WHOLE I WON'T READ IT. THERE'S A
08:49AM	10	LENGTHY DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT THIS ALS SUPERVISOR ACTUALLY
08:49AM	11	ADVISED THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE GOVERNMENT.
08:49AM	12	AND SO
08:49AM	13	THE COURT: AND MY QUESTION IS, WHAT DO YOU WANT?
08:49AM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO OUR REQUEST WAS IF THIS IS
08:49AM	15	CAPTURED IN A WRITING, SUCH AS AN EMAIL, WE WANT THE EMAIL.
08:49AM	16	AND IF IT'S NOT, THEN THERE'S NO THERE'S NOTHING TO
08:50AM	17	PRODUCE IF THERE'S NO DOCUMENT.
08:50AM	18	BUT THERE ARE OTHER EXAMPLES, YOUR HONOR, WHERE IT
08:50AM	19	ACTUALLY IS DESCRIBED THAT THERE'S AN EMAIL, SO WE WANT THE
08:50AM	20	UNDERLYING EMAIL.
08:50AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. DON'T I JUST WANT TO KNOW WHAT
08:50AM	22	IT IS YOU WANT.
08:50AM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: THAT'S IT.
08:50AM	24	THE COURT: BECAUSE I'M CURIOUS, WHAT I'D LIKE TO
08:50AM	25	KNOW I KNOW YOU'VE GIVEN ME A BROAD IN YOUR PLEADINGS,

1 08:50AM 2 08:50AM 3 08:50AM 08:50AM 4 08:50AM 08:50AM 6 08:50AM 7 08:50AM 8 08:50AM 9 08:50AM 10 08:50AM 11 08:51AM 12 08:51AM 13 08:51AM 14 08:51AM 15 08:51AM 16 08:51AM 17 08:51AM 18 08:51AM 19 08:51AM 20 08:51AM 21 08:51AM 22 08:51AM 23 08:51AM 24 08:51AM 25

YOU HAVE GIVEN ME A BROAD, AND YOU SAY IT'S A BROAD REQUEST. BUT IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL -- WE MIGHT NOT RESOLVE THIS THIS MORNING. IT MAY BE THAT I'LL TASK BOTH SIDES TO BE A LITTLE MORE SPECIFIC.

IF YOU WANT EMAILS FROM THESE PARAGRAPHS, OR TELL ME WHAT IT IS. I RECOGNIZE THAT IT'S A BROAD BRADY LETTER. ONE OF YOUR COLLEAGUES, BEFORE THE CASE WAS SEVERED, SAID HE HAD NEVER SEEN SUCH AN EXPANSIVE BRADY LETTER, I THINK. SO THAT'S JUST HIS OPINION.

BUT WHAT I MIGHT NEED FROM YOU, YOU KNOW, IS A LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION TO INFORM ABOUT EMAILS. IS THIS GOING TO RESULT IN LIVE TESTIMONY FROM INDIVIDUALS?

AND THIS IS PROBABLY FOR THE GOVERNMENT, WHAT DO WE --DEPENDENT ON WHAT INFORMATION COMES OUT, WILL THERE BE THEN A MINI TRIAL, WE'VE USED THAT PHRASE BEFORE, ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE?

THE LIS IS -- IT'S PART OF THE CASE. YOU'VE CONCEDED THAT IN YOUR PLEADINGS THAT YOU RAISED IT STRATEGICALLY IN YOUR OPENING STATEMENT BECAUSE IT'S PART OF YOUR DEFENSE, AND WHAT IS THE NET RESULT OF THAT?

DOES THE LIS COMING INTO EVIDENCE HERE, DOES THAT THEN MEAN THAT ANCILLARY EVIDENCE IS GOING TO COME IN ABOUT ITS CONSTRUCTION? WHO CONSTRUCTED IT? WHO HIRED THE PERSON WHO CONSTRUCTED IT? AND IS THERE ANYTHING WE NEED TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT INFORMATION COMING IN THAT WILL AFFECT THIS TRIAL?

08:52AM	1	AND WE NEED TO CONSIDER THAT. IS THAT PART OF THE MINI
08:52AM	2	TRIAL THAT WE GET INTO ANY RELATIONSHIPS THAT MIGHT EXIST WITH
08:52AM	3	THE CREATOR OF THE LIS? AND IS THAT PERSON, OR PERSONS,
08:52AM	4	WHOEVER THAT GROUP IS, IS THAT GOING TO COME INTO EVIDENCE IN
08:52AM	5	THIS CASE?
08:52AM	6	AND THIS IS MORE FOR SCHEDULING, AND CANDIDLY FOR 403
08:52AM	7	PURPOSES, IS HOW FAR ARE WE GOING TO GO WITH THAT?
08:52AM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: SURE.
08:52AM	9	THE COURT: THESE ARE BROAD QUESTIONS. I'M NOT
08:52AM	10	GOING TO ASK YOU TO ANSWER RIGHT NOW BECAUSE YOU PROBABLY DON'T
08:52AM	11	HAVE AN ANSWER FOR ME.
08:52AM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, I HAVE SOME ANSWERS AND I
08:52AM	13	THINK I CAN PROVIDE SOME GUIDANCE ON THAT AND TRY TO ANSWER THE
08:52AM	14	COURT'S QUESTIONS.
08:52AM	15	THE COURT: SURE.
08:52AM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO, FIRST OF ALL, JUST IN TERMS TO
08:52AM	17	START WITH THE INITIAL QUESTION OF WHAT EXACTLY DO WE WANT?
08:52AM	18	AND IF YOU GO TO THE SAME DECLARATION, WHICH IS DOCKET
08:52AM	19	1425-3, THAT'S THE VERY SHORT LETTER THAT I SENT TO THE
08:52AM	20	GOVERNMENT MAKING THE REQUEST.
08:52AM	21	AND AS THE COURT MIGHT REMEMBER, EARLIER IN THE MOTION TO
08:52AM	22	SUPPRESS CONTEXT, THERE WAS A MUCH BROADER REQUEST. IN FACT,
08:53AM	23	IT WAS MS. HOLMES'S ORIGINAL DRAFT OF THAT AND THEN WE ADOPTED
08:53AM	24	THAT.
08:53AM	25	THIS IS A MUCH MORE NARROW REQUEST. ALL WE'RE ASKING FOR

IS COMMUNICATIONS, IF THEY EXIST IN WRITTEN FORM, THAT 1 08:53AM SPECIFICALLY -- THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY REFERENCED IN CERTAIN 2 08:53AM 3 PARAGRAPHS. 08:53AM 08:53AM 4 AND WE'VE EVEN CUT THAT DOWN EVEN MORE FOR PURPOSES OF THE MOTION. AND IF YOU GO TO THE LAST PAGE OF DOCKET 1425, YOU'LL 08:53AM SEE THAT WE ONLY HAVE, YOU KNOW, A FEW PARAGRAPHS. 08:53AM 6 SO WE ARE ASKING FOR -- YOU KNOW, MOST PEOPLE COMMUNICATE 08:53AM BY EMAILS THESE DAYS, AND IF SOMEONE IN THE GOVERNMENT SENDS 8 08:53AM ANOTHER PERSON IN THE GOVERNMENT A LETTER, THAT WOULD BE A 08:53AM 9 08:53AM 10 COMMUNICATION, BUT PROBABLY IT'S EMAILS. 08:53AM 11 SO IF THERE'S AN EMAIL UNDERLYING ANY OF THE INFORMATION 08:53AM 12 IN THE PARAGRAPHS THAT WE'VE MENTIONED, WHICH ARE NOT THE ENTIRE BRADY LETTER, IT'S JUST VERY SPECIFIC PARTS, WE TRIED TO 08:53AM 13 BE VERY SELECTIVE, WE WANT THE EMAIL. 08:53AM 14 08:53AM 15 SO THAT'S WHAT WE WANT. OKAY? SO I THINK IT'S NOT AS BROAD AS A BRADY LETTER MIGHT BE. 08:53AM 16 08:53AM 17 OUR REQUEST IS NOT BROAD. 08:53AM 18 MOVING FORWARD, YOUR HONOR, IN TERMS OF WHERE THIS GOES, 08:54AM 19 FIRST OF ALL, RIGHT NOW WE'RE ASKING FOR THE DISCOVERY. AND AS 08:54AM 20 THE CASE LAW INDICATES, THE PURPOSE OF DISCOVERY IS FOR US TO DECIDE, LIKE, WHAT WE'RE DOING. 08:54AM 21 08:54AM 22 IN FACT, THERE ARE CASES THAT WE HAVE CITED THAT SAY EVEN 08:54AM 23 IF THE EVIDENCE, LIKE, MAKES THE DEFENSE GO IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION, THAT'S STILL RULE 16 AND SO FORTH. 08:54AM 24 08:54AM 25 THE COURT: RIGHT, RIGHT.

08:54AM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO RIGHT NOW IT COULD BE WE GET
08:54AM	2	THESE MATERIALS AND WE SAY, YOU KNOW, HAVING REVIEWED THE
08:54AM	3	MATERIALS, WE'RE NOT PRESENTING A DEFENSE AT ALL. I MEAN, WE
08:54AM	4	CAN MAKE THAT DECISION.
08:54AM	5	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT. I UNDERSTAND ALL OF
08:54AM	6	THAT.
08:54AM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT.
08:54AM	8	BUT IF WE DO, RIGHT, AND THIS IS NO SECRET BECAUSE WE'VE
08:54AM	9	ALREADY DISCLOSED MR. SONNIER, S-O-N-N-I-E-R, THE EXPERT.
08:54AM	10	IF WE WERE TO GO THAT ROUTE, WE WOULD ANTICIPATE
08:54AM	11	PRESENTING AN EXPERT, MR. SONNIER, WHO WOULD TALK ABOUT THE
08:54AM	12	MICROSOFT SQL SERVER DATABASE SYSTEM AND WHAT HE KNOWS ABOUT
08:54AM	13	THAT, AND HE WOULD LOOK AT THE TERMS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO HIM.
08:55AM	14	THE COURT: AND I DON'T NEED HIS ENTIRE TESTIMONY
08:55AM	15	RIGHT NOW.
08:55AM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: SURE.
08:55AM	17	THE COURT: BUT WHAT HE WOULD DO THEN IS WHAT YOUR
08:55AM	18	DESIGN WOULD BE IS TO CHALLENGE THE GOVERNMENT'S
08:55AM	19	REPRESENTATION, OR AT LEAST ONE EMPLOYEE'S REPRESENTATION TO
08:55AM	20	THE TEAM THAT YOU SHOULD GO DO X, AND THEY DIDN'T DO X, AND
08:55AM	21	YOUR EXPERT WOULD SAY THEY SHOULD HAVE DONE X BECAUSE THEY
08:55AM	22	WOULD HAVE HAD IT.
08:55AM	23	AND THEN THE POINT OF THAT IS THEN TO BLAME THE GOVERNMENT
08:55AM	24	FOR NOT FOR DESTROYING IT? FOR BEING THE CAUSE OF THE
08:55AM	25	INACCESSIBILITY OF THE LIS? IS THAT THE PURPOSE?

08:55AM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT. IF THEY HAD CONDUCTED A
08:55AM	2	PROPER INVESTIGATION, WHICH IS WHAT THE CASE LAW SAYS WE CAN
08:55AM	3	DO
08:55AM	4	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.
08:55AM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: THEN WE, THEN WE WOULD HAVE HAD
08:55AM	6	EVIDENCE THAT WE CONTEND WOULD ADD TO THE BODY OF EXCULPATORY
08:55AM	7	EVIDENCE.
08:55AM	8	AND THE GOVERNMENT OBVIOUSLY WOULD BE FREE TO SAY, WELL,
08:55AM	9	NO, IT WOULD BE INCULPATORY OR, NO, LIKE MR. SONNIER IS WRONG
08:55AM	10	AND THE GOVERNMENT COULD HAVE DONE ANYTHING.
08:56AM	11	THAT'S ALL FAIR GAME ONCE WE PRESENT THAT EVIDENCE IF WE
08:56AM	12	WERE TO DO THAT.
08:56AM	13	BUT WE ARE GOING INTO LIKE, OUR VIEW IS THAT ALL OF THE
08:56AM	14	INFORMATION IN THE LIS WE BELIEVE IS EXCULPATORY, WE WOULD
08:56AM	15	PRESENT THAT CASE, AND THE ISSUES WITH THE GOVERNMENT NOT
08:56AM	16	HAVING OBTAINED IT, IN OUR VIEW WHEN THEY COULD HAVE, IS PART
08:56AM	17	OF THAT PROCESS THAT THE CASE LAW ALLOWS US TO PRESENT.
08:56AM	18	THE COURT: SO HOW MUCH, HOW MUCH OF THE STORY OF
08:56AM	19	THE DESTRUCTION OF THE LIS WHICH WAS NOT DONE BY THE
08:56AM	20	GOVERNMENT. I THINK YOU WOULD AGREE WITH THAT.
08:56AM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO, YOUR HONOR
08:56AM	22	THE COURT: OH, YOU DON'T? YOU DON'T?
08:56AM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, NO, NO. I WANT TO MAKE SURE I
08:56AM	24	UNDERSTAND THE COURT'S QUESTION.
08:56AM	25	THE COURT: MY QUESTION IS, ARE YOU SAYING THAT THE

08:56AM	1	GOVERNMENT DESTROYED THE LIS WHEN THEY THE GOVERNMENT
08:56AM	2	DECOMMISSIONED THE SERVERS?
08:56AM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: WE KNOW, AND I DON'T THINK IT'S
08:56AM	4	DISPUTED, YOUR HONOR, THAT THE DECOMMISSIONING OF THE SERVERS
08:56AM	5	WAS DONE BY THERANOS.
08:56AM	6	THE COURT: RIGHT.
08:56AM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: MR. BALWANI WASN'T THERE, RIGHT.
08:57AM	8	THE COURT: SO YOU'RE NOT SAYING THAT THE GOVERNMENT
08:57AM	9	DID THAT?
08:57AM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: I'M NOT SAYING THAT THE GOVERNMENT
08:57AM	11	DECOMMISSIONED THE SERVERS.
08:57AM	12	THE COURT: RIGHT.
08:57AM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: BUT IT IS TRUE, AND IT'S IN THE
08:57AM	14	BRADY LETTERS AS WELL
08:57AM	15	THE COURT: LET ME HANG ON. YOU'LL HAVE A
08:57AM	16	CHANCE.
08:57AM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: SURE, YOUR HONOR. I'M SORRY.
08:57AM	18	PLEASE.
08:57AM	19	THE COURT: FOLLOW MY QUESTIONS, PLEASE.
08:57AM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: OF COURSE.
08:57AM	21	THE COURT: SO IS PART OF YOUR CASE THEN NOT TO
08:57AM	22	BLAME THE GOVERNMENT FOR DECOMMISSIONING THE SERVERS, BUT WHAT
08:57AM	23	YOU WANT TO CHALLENGE IS BUT FOR THE GOVERNMENT'S LACK OF
08:57AM	24	ATTENTION TO DETAIL, WE WOULD HAVE HAD THE LIS AND THAT WOULD
08:57AM	25	HAVE PERHAPS ALLOWED US TO PROVIDE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE BECAUSE

1 08:57AM 2 08:57AM 3 08:57AM 08:57AM 08:57AM 08:58AM 08:58AM 8 08:58AM 08:58AM 9 08:58AM 10 08:58AM 11 08:58AM 12 08:58AM 13 08:58AM 14 08:58AM 15 08:58AM 16 08:58AM 17 08:58AM 18 08:58AM 19 08:58AM 20 08:58AM 21 08:58AM 22 08:59AM 23 08:59AM 24 08:59AM 25

WE WOULD HAVE THEN BEEN ABLE TO DO SOMETHING MAGICAL WITH THE CONTENTS THAT WOULD HAVE SHOWN THAT THE MACHINES WERE RELIABLE? IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?

MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S ESSENTIALLY RIGHT, JUST WITH A FEW TWEAKS IF I MAY.

THE COURT: UH-HUH, SURE.

MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THAT IS THAT THIS CASE IS ABOUT, FROM THE GOVERNMENT'S STANDPOINT AS I UNDERSTAND THE CASE AS IT'S UNRAVELED SO FAR, IS THAT THEY'RE SAYING THAT THE TECHNOLOGY, THAT LAB TESTING FOR THAT MATTER, IS UNRELIABLE AND INACCURATE AND THERANOS WAS NOT CAPABLE OF DOING THAT.

JUST THE OTHER DAY, JUST THE OTHER DAY WITH MS. BENNETT ON THE STAND, THE GOVERNMENT, AFTER A LITTLE BIT OF AN EVIDENTIARY FIGHT, PRESENTED A DOCUMENT, A PATIENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT THAT -- WHERE -- YOU HAVE KNOW, IT WAS HEARSAY, BUT IN ANY EVENT, IT CAME IN AS -- THEY ASKED QUESTIONS OF MS. BENNETT ABOUT ISN'T IT THE CASE THAT EVERY -- THAT THERANOS CONCLUDED THAT EVERY SINGLE TEST HAD A POTENTIAL PATIENT IMPACT, RIGHT? AND THAT WAS WITH THE THERANOS DEVICES.

BUT AS THE COURT KNOWS, THERE ARE SOMETHING LIKE, YOU KNOW, 9 MILLION TESTS THAT WERE CONDUCTED HERE.

IF WE HAD THE LIS, OUR VIEW OF THE WORLD IS THAT WE COULD HAVE DELVED INTO THAT. AS DR. ROSENDORFF SAID, YOU DO AN INVESTIGATION WITH EACH AND EVERY PATIENT AND YOU COULD FIND LOTS OF EXCULPATORY INFORMATION IN THE FORM OF ACCURATE TESTS,

08:59AM	1	OR EVEN
08:59AM	2	THE COURT: DR. ROSENDORFF SAID THAT?
08:59AM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: YEAH. HE SAID THAT IF HE GOT A
08:59AM	4	PHYSICIAN INQUIRY THIS WAS HIS TESTIMONY IF HE GOT A
08:59AM	5	PHYSICIAN INQUIRY, ONE OF THE THINGS HE WOULD DO, HE DIDN'T SAY
08:59AM	6	THE ONLY THING HE WOULD DO, BUT ONE OF THE TOOLS HE WOULD USE
08:59AM	7	IS LIS AND HE WOULD DO AN INVESTIGATION, HE WOULD LOOK AT THE
08:59AM	8	PATIENT TRENDS, HE WOULD LOOK AT THE QC DATA, AND HE WOULD USE
08:59AM	9	THAT IN PART TO HELP DETERMINE WHAT WAS GOING ON, RIGHT?
08:59AM	10	THE COURT: OH, I SEE.
08:59AM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND IT ALSO MIGHT BE THE CASE
08:59AM	12	THE COURT: HE DIDN'T USE THE WORD "EXCULPATORY."
08:59AM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, OF COURSE. HE SAID HE WOULD
08:59AM	14	DO AN INVESTIGATIONS TO DETERMINE TO GET TO THE BOTTOM OF
08:59AM	15	THINGS.
08:59AM	16	AND BY THE SAME TOKEN, OUR POSITION IS THAT WE WOULD BE
08:59AM	17	ABLE TO USE IT TO SHOW, IF WE HAD IT WELL, LET'S JUST TAKE
08:59AM	18	ONE EXAMPLE, RIGHT?
08:59AM	19	THE COURT: LET ME
08:59AM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: SURE, YOUR HONOR.
08:59AM	21	THE COURT: YOU KNOW, THAT'S ANOTHER QUESTION I HAD
09:00AM	22	IS, IS THERE EVIDENCE THAT OBTAINING THE LIS, HAVING THE LIS,
09:00AM	23	BOTH SIDES, IF WE HAD THE LIS, IT COULD DO WHAT YOU SAY IT
09:00AM	24	COULD DO?
09:00AM	25	NOW, I KNOW THERE HAVE BEEN SOME BROAD STATEMENTS.

DR. ROSENDORFF TESTIFIED ABOUT ALL OF THE TEST RESULTS GO INTO 1 09:00AM 2 THIS LIS. 09:00AM AND THEN HE SAID, OH, YEAH, YOU CAN COMPARE -- I THINK THE 3 09:00AM 09:00AM 4 QUESTIONS WERE, DID YOU THEN PULL BACK THE TESTING DATE AND WHO THE PATIENT WAS, WHAT THE TESTS WERE, AND ALL OF THAT RAW DATA. 09:00AM BUT IS THERE ANY EVIDENCE HOW THAT COMPARISON WOULD TAKE 09:00AM 09:00AM 7 PLACE? IN OTHER WORDS, IS THERE ANY EVIDENCE THAT YOU COULD, FROM 8 09:00AM THIS INFORMATION, DO A TEST TO SEE -- TO TEST THE ACCURACY 09:00AM 9 09:00AM 10 OTHER THAN JUST RETRIEVING THE INFORMATION? 09:00AM 11 MAYBE I'M NOT BEING ARTICULATE. 09:00AM 12 MR. COOPERSMITH: I THINK I UNDERSTAND THE COURT'S QUESTION, AND THE ANSWER IS YES. 09:01AM 13 AND THE REASON THE ANSWER IS YES IS THAT, AND JUST 09:01AM 14 09:01AM 15 STICKING TO DR. ROSENDORFF, ALTHOUGH MS. CHEUNG SAID SOME THINGS ABOUT THIS TOO, AND DR. PANDORI --09:01AM 16 09:01AM 17 THE COURT: I'M SORRY. THIS IS ONE THING I MIGHT 09:01AM 18 TASK YOU TO DO. I MIGHT ASK THE PARTIES TO IDENTIFY ON THE 09:01AM 19 RECORD WHERE THAT IS. AND YOU DON'T HAVE TO DO IT RIGHT NOW. 09:01AM 20 DON'T TAKE ME LITERALLY. BUT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT MIGHT BE HELPFUL FOR OUR FUTURE 09:01AM 21 09:01AM 22 DISCUSSION ON THIS IS, WHAT IN THE RECORD ACTUALLY SAYS THAT 09:01AM 23 THE LIS, AT LEAST WHERE WE HAVE IT NOW AND THESE WITNESSES WHO 09:01AM 24 HAVE TESTIFIED ON THESE, HAVE SAID, YES, YOU CAN ACTUALLY DO AN 09:01AM 25 ANALYSIS OF THIS?

09:01AM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
09:01AM	2	THE COURT: AS OPPOSED TO JUST RETRIEVING THE
09:01AM	3	INFORMATION.
09:01AM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: SURE, YOUR HONOR.
09:01AM	5	THE COURT: RIGHT.
09:01AM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND I CAN CERTAINLY PROVIDE THE
09:01AM	7	COURT AND THE GOVERNMENT WITH A MUCH MORE THOROUGH LIST, BUT
09:01AM	8	FOR THE MOMENT, I'LL JUST POINT THE COURT TO FOOTNOTE 3 IN
09:01AM	9	DOCKET 1425 WHERE WE CITE THE TRANSCRIPT OF THIS TRIAL AT 3599
09:02AM	10	TO 3604, AND THEN WE HAVE A PARENTHETICAL WHERE WE EXPLAIN WHAT
09:02AM	11	THAT IS.
09:02AM	12	AND THERE ARE OTHER THAT'S ONE EXAMPLE. THERE ARE
09:02AM	13	OTHER EXAMPLES THAT WE CAN GIVE THE COURT, YOU KNOW, IN A MORE
09:02AM	14	THOROUGH WAY.
09:02AM	15	THE COURT: FOOTNOTE? I'M SORRY.
09:02AM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: FOOTNOTE 3 ON PAGE 3 OF DOCKET
09:02AM	17	1425.
09:02AM	18	THE COURT: RIGHT. THIS IS WHAT WE JUST SAID I
09:02AM	19	JUST SAID. CONFIRMING THE LIS COULD BE USED TO LOOK UP PATIENT
09:02AM	20	RESULT, TREND, DATA, DEVICE, SAMPLE TESTED, AND ALL OF THAT
09:02AM	21	INFORMATION.
09:02AM	22	BUT THAT TELLS US PARDON ME FOR USING A SPORTS, YOU
09:02AM	23	KNOW, ANALOGY HERE BUT THAT TELLS ME, YEAH, I COULD GO BACK
09:02AM	24	TO THE RECORDS AND FIND OUT HOW MANY AT BATS, HOW MANY STRIKE
09:02AM	25	OUTS, HOW MANY GROUND OUTS TO SECOND BASE AS OPPOSED TO THIRD

09:02AM	1	BASE, HOW MANY HOME RUNS, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. WE CAN GET ALL
09:02AM	2	OF THAT SABER INFORMATION YOU KNOW WHAT SABER IS?
09:02AM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: I DO, YES.
09:02AM	4	THE COURT: AND WE CAN GET ALL OF THAT SABER
09:02AM	5	INFORMATION.
09:02AM	6	BUT SO WHAT? WHAT I HAVEN'T HEARD IS WHERE IS THE
09:03AM	7	INFORMATION IN THE RECORD THAT SAYS WE GET THAT INFORMATION AND
09:03AM	8	WE CAN COMPARE IT WITH ONE PLAYER ON ONE TEAM AND COMPARE THAT
09:03AM	9	TO MANY ANOTHER PLAYER ON ANOTHER TEAM. I'M NOT SURE WE HAVE
09:03AM	10	THAT.
09:03AM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: FIRST OF ALL, TO STEP BACK, THAT'S
09:03AM	12	NOT THIS MOTION. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT DISCOVERY RIGHT NOW.
09:03AM	13	THE COURT: RIGHT.
09:03AM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: BUT TO ANSWER THE COURT'S QUESTION
09:03AM	15	AND TO USE THE BASEBALL ANALOGY, WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO DO AS
09:03AM	16	WELL, ALL OF THAT SABER INFORMATION THAT THE COURT REFERENCED,
09:03AM	17	ALL OF THOSE STATS, WHILE LOOKING AT ALL OF THAT, WE CAN
09:03AM	18	DETERMINE WHETHER WE'VE GOT A GOOD TEAM OR NOT. WE CAN
09:03AM	19	DETERMINE SOMETHING ABOUT THAT, RIGHT?
09:03AM	20	THE COURT: HERE COMES THE RELIEF PITCHER COMING
09:03AM	21	BEHIND YOU HERE.
09:03AM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: THAT'S ALWAYS HELPFUL, YOUR HONOR,
09:03AM	23	EXCEPT WHEN YOU'RE PITCHING A NO HITTER.
09:03AM	24	(LAUGHTER.)
09:03AM	25	THE COURT: YOU'RE SAYING YOU'RE KNOCKING IT OUT OF

1 THE PARK? 09:03AM MR. COOPERSMITH: I ALWAYS GO FOR THAT, YOUR HONOR, 09:03AM SINCE WE'RE ON BASEBALL, RIGHT? 09:03AM 3 09:03AM 4 THE COURT: YES. WELL, OKAY. MR. COOPERSMITH: SO, YOUR HONOR, WE DO HAVE THAT. 09:04AM AND THE REASON IS, IS THAT WHEN WE HAVE THE GOVERNMENT 09:04AM 6 09:04AM 7 PRESENTING A PARTICULAR, WE WOULD SAY AN ANECDOTAL CASE, LET'S SAY IT'S A WOMAN WHO SAID SHE GOT AN INACCURATE HCG TEST, 09:04AM 8 09:04AM 9 RIGHT? 09:04AM 10 WELL, THAT'S UNFORTUNATE FOR THAT INDIVIDUAL. BUT WE 09:04AM 11 WOULD WANT TO KNOW, WHAT ARE THE OTHER PATIENTS WHO ARE ON THAT 09:04AM 12 DAY OR ON THAT WEEK HAD HCG TESTS? AND WHAT DO THOSE RESULTS LOOK LIKE? AND WHAT DO THE TRENDS LOOK LIKE FOR THE MONTH? 09:04AM 13 AND IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HDL, FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE 09:04AM 14 09:04AM 15 FORMS OF CHOLESTEROL, WELL, WE KIND OF KNOW THAT IN THE GENERAL POPULATION, WE SHOULD GET A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WHO 09:04AM 16 09:04AM 17 HAVE REALLY HIGH HDL OR REALLY LOW HDL, AND ARE WE SEEING SOME 09:04AM 18 SPIKE IN THAT OVER THE MONTH OR THREE MONTHS? 09:04AM 19 AND THIS IS THE TYPE OF THING THAT DR. ROSENDORFF SAID HE 09:04AM 20 WOULD DO TO INVESTIGATE. 09:04AM 21 HE ALSO SAID THAT THERE ARE TIMES WHERE TO REALLY 09:05AM 22 UNDERSTAND WHETHER A PARTICULAR RESULT IS ACCURATE OR NOT, YOU 09:05AM 23 WOULD ALSO HAVE TO KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT THE PATIENT, RIGHT? YOU WOULD HAVE TO GET SOME MEDICAL RECORDS. 09:05AM 24 09:05AM 25 IF WE KNEW WHO THE PATIENTS WERE AND WE KNEW WHAT WE WERE

09:05AM	1	LOOKING FOR, WE COULD SUBPOENA MEDICAL RECORDS, AND SO COULD
09:05AM	2	THE GOVERNMENT.
09:05AM	3	SO ALL OF THIS IS POTENTIALLY EXCULPATORY, YOUR HONOR.
09:05AM	4	AND I'M NOT GOING TO SAY WE KNOW IT'S EXCULPATORY. IT'S
09:05AM	5	POTENTIALLY EXCULPATORY. THERE ARE WAYS THAT THIS COULD BE
09:05AM	6	USED.
09:05AM	7	FRANKLY, THERE ARE PROBABLY WAYS THAT THE GOVERNMENT COULD
09:05AM	8	ARGUE THAT IT'S INCULPATORY, RIGHT, BUT THAT DOESN'T MATTER.
09:05AM	9	THE COURT: SO HAVE WE GONE FULL CIRCLE THEN? ISN'T
09:05AM	10	THAT WHERE WE ARE NOW?
09:05AM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: IT DOESN'T EVEN MATTER.
09:05AM	12	THE COURT: BECAUSE I THINK THIS COURT HAS OBSERVED,
09:05AM	13	IN ITS MIL ORDERS AND OTHERS, THAT IT'S JUST AS LIKELY TO BE
09:05AM	14	INCULPATORY IN THE PRESENT STATE BECAUSE WE JUST DON'T KNOW,
09:05AM	15	WHICH AS I UNDERSTAND IS THE BASIS OF YOUR DISCOVERY MOTION,
09:05AM	16	YOU WANT TO KNOW.
09:05AM	17	BUT I THINK IT'S PRETTY CLEAR WE'RE NOT GOING TO KNOW
09:05AM	18	BECAUSE IT DOESN'T EXIST ANYMORE.
09:06AM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THAT HAS NO BEARING,
09:06AM	20	YOUR HONOR, ON EITHER THE DISCOVERY MOTION, WHICH IS BEFORE THE
09:06AM	21	COURT RIGHT NOW
09:06AM	22	THE COURT: RIGHT.
09:06AM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: OR ON THE ABILITY TO PRESENT
09:06AM	24	THE DEFENSE, BECAUSE THAT'S JUST A FACTUAL DISPUTE.
09:06AM	25	AND AS WE POINTED OUT, WE, OF COURSE, RESPECT THE COURT'S

09:06AM	1	RULING, BUT THAT DOES NOT BIND THE PARTIES
09:06AM	2	THE COURT: I
09:06AM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: IN TERMS OF PRESENTING
09:06AM	4	SOMETHING TO THE JURY.
09:06AM	5	IT'S ALL A FACTUAL ISSUE, WHAT IT MEANS AND WHETHER IT'S
09:06AM	6	EXCULPATORY.
09:06AM	7	THE COURT: SO WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO DO IS YOU
09:06AM	8	WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO SAY TO THE JURY, WE WOULD HAVE LIKED
09:06AM	9	TO HAVE PRESENTED THIS EVIDENCE, BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THAT THAT
09:06AM	10	EVIDENCE WOULD HAVE SHOWN SOMETHING DIFFERENT THAN WHAT THE
09:06AM	11	GOVERNMENT ARGUES.
09:06AM	12	WE CAN'T DO THAT BECAUSE FOR SOME REASON THE GOVERNMENT
09:06AM	13	DIDN'T PRESERVE IT, DIDN'T DO ANY OF THIS, AND THEREFORE, IT'S
09:06AM	14	NOT OUR FAULT. MAYBE IT'S THE GOVERNMENT'S FAULT.
09:06AM	15	YOU MIGHT ASK FOR AN INSTRUCTION. WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET
09:06AM	16	INTO THAT RIGHT NOW.
09:06AM	17	BUT THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT TO DO, IT SOUNDS LIKE. YOU WANT
09:07AM	18	TO RAISE THAT IN FRONT IT OF THE JURY.
09:07AM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, AND JUST TO BE BLUNT
09:07AM	20	FOR A MINUTE, NOT ONLY IS THAT WHAT WE WANT TO DO, UNDER THE
09:07AM	21	NINTH CIRCUIT LAW AND IN LIGHT OF THIS COURT'S RULING, WE ARE
09:07AM	22	ENTITLED TO DO THAT.
09:07AM	23	THE COURT: OKAY.
09:07AM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO THAT'S OUR POINT.
09:07AM	25	THE COURT: YES. I READ YOUR BRIEFING AND YOU CITED

THE COURT'S MILS AND THE MOTIONS, AND I THINK YOU WENT A LITTLE 1 09:07AM BIT BROAD WITH WHAT THE COURT SAID. THE COURT DIDN'T SAY --2 09:07AM THE COURT SAID, I RECOGNIZE THE DEFENSE CAN ALWAYS CHALLENGE 3 09:07AM THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE. THAT'S YOUR JOB, AND I RESPECT THAT, 09:07AM 4 AND WE ALL RESPECT THAT. 09:07AM CHALLENGING THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE AS TO THE 09:07AM 09:07AM GOVERNMENT'S MEETING THEIR BURDEN IS THE JOB OF THE DEFENSE, AND I OBVIOUSLY RESPECT THAT. 8 09:07AM BUT MAYBE YOU DIDN'T SAY THIS IN YOUR PLEADINGS, BUT IT 09:07AM 9 09:07AM 10 SEEMED TO HAVE THE FLAVOR OF, AND THE COURT SAID WE COULD DO 09:07AM 11 THIS. THE COURT HAS ALREADY FOUND THAT WE CAN CHALLENGE THE 09:07AM 12 LIS. THE COURT HAS DONE THIS. AND THAT'S NOT --09:08AM 13 MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I JUST -- YOU 09:08AM 14 09:08AM 15 KNOW, I'M NOT TRYING TO PLAY GOTCHA HERE, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE --09:08AM 16 17 THE COURT: THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF THAT. 09:08AM 18 MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, I DON'T INTEND THAT, 09:08AM 09:08AM 19 YOUR HONOR. 09:08AM 20 I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE CLEAR OF WHAT THE 09:08AM 21 RECORD IS, BECAUSE I NEED TO MAKE A RECORD ON BEHALF OF MY 09:08AM 22 CLIENT. 09:08AM 23 AND THAT IS, AGAIN, AT DOCKET 1326, PAGE 39, THE COURT 09:08AM 24 SAID MORE THAN JUST WE CAN CHALLENGE THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE 09:08AM 25 GOVERNMENT'S CASE.

THE COURT SAID THAT "NOTHING IN THIS ORDER IS INTENDED TO 1 09:08AM PRECLUDE BALWANI FROM PRESENTING EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT 09:08AM 2 CONCERNING THE DETAILS, THOROUGHNESS, OR GOOD FAITH OF THE 3 09:08AM 09:08AM 4 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION." THAT'S THE SPECIFIC LANGUAGE, "NOTHING IN THIS ORDER." 09:08AM AND IN ADDITION, YOUR HONOR, IN OTHER PARTS OF THE 09:08AM 6 09:08AM 7 ORDER -- AND I'M GOING TO POINT THE COURT IN PARTICULAR TO THE EVIDENCE OF FAULT DISCUSSION IN DOCKET 1326 AT PAGE 21 THROUGH 09:08AM 8 22 -- THE COURT IN THOSE PAGES, PAGES 21 THROUGH 22, TALKS 09:08AM 9 09:09AM 10 ABOUT THE ABILITY OF THE DEFENSE TO PRESENT THIS EVIDENCE OF 09:09AM 11 FAULT. 09:09AM 12 AND THE COURT NEVER SAID IN THIS DISCUSSION, OH, WE CAN'T 09:09AM 13 DO IT. WHAT THE COURT DID SAY IS THAT PRESENTING THIS TYPE OF 09:09AM 14 09:09AM 15 EVIDENCE MIGHT OPEN THE DOOR TO SOMETHING, AND WE NEED TO BE PREPARED FOR THAT. WE UNDERSTAND THAT. 09:09AM 16 09:09AM 17 AND AT SOME POINT, IF APPROPRIATE, WE CAN HAVE A 09:09AM 18 DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT WE OPENED THE DOOR TO. 09:09AM 19 BUT THAT'S WHAT THE COURT WAS SAYING, NOT THAT WE CAN'T 09:09AM 20 USE THE EVIDENCE AT ALL. 09:09AM 21 SO, YOUR HONOR, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THIS ORDER -- AND, YOU 09:09AM 22 KNOW, I THINK THE COURT WAS JUST FOLLOWING THE LAW HERE, THE 09:09AM 23 NINTH CIRCUIT LAW SAYS THIS, IS THE COURT IS SAYING WE'RE 09:09AM 24 ALLOWED TO PRESENT THIS EVIDENCE OF FAULT BECAUSE NOTHING IN 09:09AM 25 THIS ORDER PREVENTS US FROM, AGAIN, PRESENTING EVIDENCE OR

09:09AM	1	ARGUMENT CONCERNING THE DETAILS, THOROUGHNESS, OR GOOD FAITH OF
09:09AM	2	THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION.
09:09AM	3	AND THAT'S WHAT THIS IS, AND THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED IN THESE
09:09AM	4	OTHER CASES.
09:09AM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR
09:09AM	6	RELIANCE, YOUR INTERPRETATION OF THE COURT'S WORDS IN THE MIL,
09:10AM	7	SO THAT'S HELPFUL FOR ME TO KNOW HOW YOU READ THINGS.
09:10AM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: SURE, YOUR HONOR.
09:10AM	9	THE COURT: OKAY.
09:10AM	10	MS. VOLKAR, YOU'VE BEEN PATIENT. WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE TO
09:10AM	11	SAY?
09:10AM	12	MS. VOLKAR: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T HAVE MUCH TO ADD.
09:10AM	13	WE ARE HERE TO TALK ABOUT DISCOVERY. I IMAGINE WE'LL BE
09:10AM	14	BACK UP HERE PROBABLY IN THE NOT TOO DISTANT FUTURE TO DISCUSS
09:10AM	15	THE MERITS JUST BASED ON HOW THIS DISCUSSION IS TRENDING.
09:10AM	16	BUT WE ARE HERE TO TALK ABOUT DISCOVERY, AND THE KEY THING
09:10AM	17	IS THAT THE DEFENSE HAS NOT SHOWN ANY REASON TO DEPART FROM THE
09:10AM	18	COURT'S PRIOR RULINGS DENYING THE EXACT SAME MOTION TO COMPEL
09:10AM	19	NOT JUST THESE DOCUMENTS, BUT A BROADER SUBSET.
09:10AM	20	THEY'RE ESSENTIALLY SAYING, WELL, NOW THAT WE'RE ASKING
09:10AM	21	FOR A NARROWER SUBSET, YOU SHOULD RECONSIDER. AND THE ONLY
09:10AM	22	THING THAT HAS CHANGED IS THEIR OPENING STATEMENT.
09:10AM	23	BUT THE DEFENSE'S DECISION AND TRIAL STRATEGY ABOUT WHAT
09:10AM	24	TO SAY IN THEIR OPENING STATEMENT, ABOUT WHAT TO PROMISE TO THE
09:11AM	25	JURY THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW, DOES NOT CHANGE THE GOVERNMENT'S

1 09:11AM 2 09:11AM 3 09:11AM 09:11AM 4 09:11AM 5 09:11AM 6 09:11AM 7 09:11AM 8 09:11AM 9 09:11AM 10 09:11AM 11 09:11AM 12 09:11AM 13 09:11AM 14 09:11AM 15 09:11AM 16 09:12AM 17 09:12AM 18 09:12AM 19 09:12AM 20 09:12AM 21 09:12AM 22 09:12AM 23 09:12AM 24 09:12AM 25

DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS. IT DOES NOT CHANGE THE COURT'S PRIOR RULINGS ABOUT THOSE DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS.

WE ARE HERE TO TALK ABOUT DISCOVERY. WE'RE NOT HERE TO TALK ABOUT WHAT CASE THE DEFENSE CAN PRESENT.

THE DEFENSE IN THEIR BRIEFS BLUR THE MERITS OF THOSE TWO THINGS PRETTY HEAVILY.

SO IF WE LOOK AT THE DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS, THE GOVERNMENT HAS MET THOSE. THE COURT HAS ANALYZED THEM MULTIPLE TIMES BEFORE AND, IN OUR VIEW, CORRECTLY FOUND THAT WE'VE MADE ALL OF THE DISCLOSURE WE NEED TO MAKE, AND NOTHING FURTHER IS REQUIRED OF THE GOVERNMENT.

WE DO NOT NEED TO PROVIDE OUR INTERNAL EMAILS BETWEEN THE ATTORNEYS IN OUR OFFICE AND THE SUPPORT STAFF HELPING US AND DETERMINE HOW TO PROCESS DISCOVERY IN THIS CASE AND ACCESSIBLE DISCOVERY THAT WE RECEIVE FROM THE COMPANY IN THE FALL OF 2018.

THERE ARE SEVERAL OTHER ARGUMENTS THAT I COULD MAKE, AND I KNOW YOUR HONOR HAD QUESTIONS, BUT I REALLY JUST WANTED TO FOCUS ON THE DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS AND LEAVE THE ARGUMENT ABOUT THE MERITS FOR LATER, UNLESS YOUR HONOR WANTS TO SPEND MORE TIME ON THAT, AND I THINK THE KEY HERE IS THAT THIS IS WHERE THE TIMING BECOMES CRITICAL.

THEY'VE KNOWN ABOUT THIS BRADY LETTER SINCE OCTOBER OF 2020. WE HAVE LITIGATED THIS BEFORE, MANY, MANY MONTHS BEFORE.

THEY GAVE THEIR OPENING STATEMENT, AND THEN THEY SENT THIS LETTER THAT WE'RE CURRENTLY LITIGATING TO THE GOVERNMENT.

WHAT DOES THAT SAY? THAT SAYS THAT THE ONLY FACT THAT 1 09:12AM CHANGED FROM YOUR HONOR'S PRIOR RULING UNTIL NOW WAS THE 09:12AM 2 OPENING STATEMENT. 3 09:12AM 09:12AM 4 AND THE DEFENSE IS SAYING, NOW THAT WE SAID IT IN OUR 09:12AM 5 OPENING STATEMENT, YOU HAVE TO GIVE US THE EMAILS. 09:12AM 6 THERE'S NO LOGIC THERE. THERE'S NO CASE THAT SAYS THAT. 09:12AM 7 WE'RE NOT STANDING HERE SAYING THAT A DEFENDANT CANNOT CHALLENGE THE THOROUGHNESS OF AN INVESTIGATION. 09:12AM 8 WE AGREE THAT THE NINTH CIRCUIT CASES SHOW A PLETHORA OF 09:12AM 9 09:13AM 10 EXAMPLES OF SHOWING CHALLENGING THE THOROUGHNESS OF A POLICE 09:13AM 11 INVESTIGATION. 09:13AM 12 I DO THINK THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE WHEN THEY'RE TRYING 09:13AM 13 TO GET INTERNAL EMAILS FROM A PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE, AND I ASK YOUR HONOR -- PERHAPS YOU OR THE DEFENSE CAN POINT ME TO ONE. 09:13AM 14 09:13AM 15 I READ ALL OF THE CASES THAT THEY CITE. I DIDN'T SEE A SINGLE ONE THAT AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS THAT WERE INTERNAL EMAILS IN A 09:13AM 16 09:13AM 17 PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE. 09:13AM 18 AND I DO THINK IF THE SITUATION WERE REVERSED, IF WE WERE 09:13AM 19 ASKING FOR EMAILS BETWEEN DEFENSE COUNSEL AND THEIR PARALEGALS ABOUT HOW THEY PROCESSED DISCOVERY, THEY WOULD CERTAINLY BE 09:13AM 20 09:13AM 21 CLAIMING WORK PRODUCT PROTECTION OVER THAT. 09:13AM 22 SO I THINK FOR THE REASONS STATED IN OUR BRIEF, THIS IS WORK PRODUCT. WE HAVE MET OUR DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS. THERE'S 09:13AM 23 09:13AM 24 NO REASON NOW, STANDING HERE TODAY, THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S 09:13AM 25 DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS ARE ANY DIFFERENT THAN THEY HAVE BEEN FOR

THE PAST YEAR AND THE PAST TWO TIMES YOUR HONOR RULED ON THIS 1 09:13AM 2 EXACT SAME ISSUE, AND THERE'S NO REASON TO COMPEL THE 09:13AM GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE FURTHER INFORMATION. 3 09:13AM 09:13AM 4 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO RESPOND TO A 09:14AM 09:14AM 6 FEW POINTS THAT MS. VOLKAR MADE. 09:14AM 7 FIRST OF ALL, I THINK WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IN THEIR BRIEFING, AND I THINK IN PART OF MS. VOLKAR'S COMMENTS, 09:14AM 8 IS THAT THEY'RE BLURRING AND MISSING THE ISSUE OF FACTUAL 09:14AM 9 09:14AM 10 DISPUTES ABOUT WHAT THIS EVIDENCE MEANS AND WHAT THEY COULD 09:14AM 11 HAVE DONE OR COULDN'T HAVE DONE WITH WHAT, FIRST OF ALL, WE'RE 09:14AM 12 ALLOWED TO GET IN DISCOVERY, AND SECOND, WHAT WE MAY BE ALLOWED, IF WE SO CHOOSE, TO PRESENT TO THE JURY. 09:14AM 13 I THINK THESE ARE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS, AND ARGUING THE 09:14AM 14 09:14AM 15 FACTS ABOUT WHETHER THE WITNESSES THEY INTERVIEWED ARE RIGHT OR WHETHER MR. SONNIER IS RIGHT DOESN'T HAVE ANY BEARING ON THE 09:14AM 16 09:14AM 17 CURRENT MOTION, OR EVEN ON FACTUAL DISPUTE THAT MIGHT BE SET UP 09:14AM 18 FOR THE JURY. 09:14AM 19 SECOND, I THINK THE GOVERNMENT'S POSITION IS UNTENABLE IN 09:14AM 20 THE SENSE THAT THEY CHOSE, THEY THOUGHT IT WAS NECESSARY FOR 09:14AM 21 THEM TO WRITE A BRADY LETTER TELLING US THE THINGS THAT THEY 09:14AM 22 TOLD US ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED. AND THAT'S GREAT THAT THEY WROTE IT. WE COMMEND THEM FOR 09:14AM 23 09:14AM 24 IT. 09:14AM 25 BUT WE SHOULD NOT BE THEN LIMITED TO THEIR DESCRIPTION OF

EMAILS THAT EXIST. WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET THE ACTUAL EMAILS. 1 09:15AM SOME OF THEM ARE, AS I POINTED OUT EARLIER, WITH THIRD 09:15AM PARTIES, LIKE THE DORSEY & WHITNEY FIRM THAT REPRESENTED THE 3 09:15AM 09:15AM 4 ASSIGNEE AT THERANOS, ESSENTIALLY LIKE A RECEIVERSHIP. THOSE COMMUNICATIONS ARE NOT WORK PRODUCT. 09:15AM 09:15AM 6 AND BY THE SAME TOKEN, EVEN THE EMAILS FROM THEIR OWN PERSONNEL WHERE IT'S JUST SAYING, WE SHOULD GET THE SERVERS, 09:15AM 7 THESE ARE NOT MENTAL IMPRESSIONS. THERE'S NOTHING LIKE THAT. 09:15AM 8 AND THAT KIND OF GOES TO THE LAST POINT THAT I WANT TO 09:15AM 9 09:15AM 10 ADDRESS FROM MS. VOLKAR'S COMMENTS, AND THAT IS THIS 09:15AM 11 DISTINCTION THAT THE GOVERNMENT CONTINUES TO MAKE BETWEEN 09:15AM 12 POLICE INVESTIGATIONS AND PROSECUTION. 09:15AM 13 SO LET ME JUST PRESENT THIS BRIEF HYPOTHETICAL TO THE COURT. THE POLICE OR THE FBI OR THE POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE, 09:15AM 14 09:15AM 15 ANY OF THESE AGENCIES, THEY CAN HAVE PEOPLE THAT DEAL WITH FORENSICS AS WELL. SO WHEN YOU'RE INVESTIGATING A FORENSIC 09:15AM 16 09:15AM 17 ISSUE OR A DATABASE ISSUE LIKE THE LIS, YOU COULD HAVE 09:16AM 18 PERSONNEL WHO WORK FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT OR WORK FOR THE 09:16AM 19 FBI OR THE POSTAL SERVICE. YOU COULD HAVE THOSE PEOPLE DOING 09:16AM 20 THE WORK, AND THEN THE GOVERNMENT WOULDN'T HAVE THAT ARGUMENT, 09:16AM 21 RIGHT, BECAUSE THEY WOULD HAVE, WELL, IT'S A POLICE 09:16AM 22 INVESTIGATION. 09:16AM 23 THE FACT THAT THEY CHOSE, IN THE STRUCTURE THAT THEY SET 09:16AM 24 UP, TO HAVE AN ALS SUPERVISOR OR A PARALEGAL, YOU KNOW, DO WORK 09:16AM 25 THAT THE POLICE OR THE FBI COULD JUST AS WELL DO, THAT HAS NO

BEARING ON THE ISSUES HERE. 1 09:16AM SO THIS IS A DISTINCTION THAT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE, AND 09:16AM THERE'S NO LOGICAL REASON WHY THE FACT THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 3 09:16AM 09:16AM 4 COMMUNICATIONS FROM A GOVERNMENT DOJ EMPLOYEE VERSUS AN FBI EMPLOYEE, WHY THAT WOULD MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE HERE. 09:16AM SO I THINK THE GOVERNMENT DISCLOSED THESE THINGS. WE WANT 09:16AM 6 THE ACTUAL EVIDENCE. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE OUR EXPERT, IF WE SO 09:16AM 7 CHOOSE TO USE HIM, AND THE JURY SEES THE ACTUAL EVIDENCE, NOT 09:16AM 8 THE GOVERNMENT'S DESCRIPTION OF IT. 09:16AM 9 09:16AM 10 I'M NOT ACCUSING THE GOVERNMENT OF BEING DISHONEST. THEY WROTE DOWN THE BRADY LETTER. 09:17AM 11 09:17AM 12 BUT THERE'S ALWAYS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN VERY GOOD LAWYERS WHO ARE CRAFTING SOMETHING TO TRY TO MEET THEIR OBLIGATIONS AND 09:17AM 13 GETTING THE ACTUAL EVIDENCE. 09:17AM 14 09:17AM 15 AS I SAID BEFORE, THAT'S THE EVIDENCE THAT WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO PRESENT TO THE JURY, NOT, OH, WE'RE RELYING ON A 09:17AM 16 GOVERNMENT LETTER AND GET INTO THAT REAL CAN OF WORMS WITH THE 09:17AM 17 09:17AM 18 JURY AS TO WHY DO WE HAVE A GOVERNMENT LETTER. 09:17AM 19 THE COURT: SO IT'S THE EMAILS RELATED TO THE 09:17AM 20 PARAGRAPHS IN YOUR MOTION? MR. COOPERSMITH: THE SPECIFIC PARAGRAPHS THAT WE 09:17AM 21 09:17AM 22 IDENTIFIED ON THE LAST PAGE OF DOCKET 1425, AND THAT'S IT, 09:17AM 23 YOUR HONOR. 09:17AM 24 THE COURT: HANG ON A SECOND. 1425? 09:17AM 25 MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.

09:17AM	1	THE COURT: AT LINE 8?
09:17AM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: LET ME CHECK THAT, YOUR HONOR.
09:17AM	3	HANG ON.
09:17AM	4	YES, IT'S LINE 8.
09:17AM	5	THE COURT: AND IT'S THE EMAILS RELATED TO THOSE
09:17AM	6	PARAGRAPHS?
09:17AM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
09:17AM	8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
09:17AM	9	MS. VOLKAR, ANYTHING FURTHER ON THIS?
09:17AM	10	MS. VOLKAR: YOUR HONOR, I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER
09:18AM	11	QUESTIONS.
09:18AM	12	I DO HAVE THE CASE CITE FOR THE POLICE VERSUS THE
09:18AM	13	PROSECUTION DISTINCTION IF YOU WOULD LIKE IT.
09:18AM	14	THE COURT: SURE.
09:18AM	15	MS. VOLKAR: SO IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT CASE
09:18AM	16	UNITED STATES VERSUS SELLERS, 906 F.3D 848, NINTH CIRCUIT 2018
09:18AM	17	OPINION AT PINCITE 852 TO 853.
09:18AM	18	THE NINTH CIRCUIT IN THE DISCOVERY DISPUTE THIS IS IN
09:18AM	19	THE CONTEXT OF THE SELECTIVE PROSECUTION, SELECTIVE
09:18AM	20	ENFORCEMENT TALKS ABOUT THE <u>ARMSTRONG</u> DECISION AND WHY THE
09:18AM	21	MENTAL PROCESSES OF PROSECUTORS ARE REALLY IN A DIFFERENT
09:18AM	22	CATEGORY THAN WHAT AGENTS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT DO WHEN THEY'RE
09:18AM	23	INVESTIGATING THE CASE.
09:18AM	24	SO THERE IS SUPPORT FOR THAT, AND I DID NOT SEE A CITE TO
09:18AM	25	THE CONTRARY IN MY COLLEAGUE'S BRIEFS.

1 THE COURT: OKAY. 09:18AM MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO BRIEFLY 09:18AM ADDRESS THAT. 3 09:18AM I JUST GOT THE CITE, THAT'S NOT IN THEIR BRIEF, AND SO 09:18AM 4 I'LL CERTAINLY LOOK AT THE SELLERS CASE. THIS WAS NOT 09:18AM 09:19AM 6 SOMETHING CITED IN THE GOVERNMENT'S BRIEF. IT DOES APPARENTLY RELY ON ARMSTRONG, ACCORDING TO 09:19AM MS. VOLKAR, AND I AM FAMILIAR WITH THAT CASE. 09:19AM 8 AND I WILL JUST SAY ONE WORD ABOUT THIS ISSUE OF SELECTIVE 09:19AM 9 09:19AM 10 PROSECUTION. 09:19AM 11 WHEN YOU'RE GETTING INTO THAT WHERE YOU'RE TRYING TO SAY, 09:19AM 12 WELL, THE GOVERNMENT HAS PROSECUTED MY CLIENT, LIKE IN ARMSTRONG I THINK IT WAS BECAUSE THE FACTS WERE THEY WERE 09:19AM 13 PROSECUTING THIS DEFENDANT THAT WAS AFRICAN AMERICAN, BUT THEY 09:19AM 14 09:19AM 15 DIDN'T PROSECUTE ALL OF THESE OTHER PEOPLE, NOW YOU'RE GETTING INTO GOVERNMENT PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION AND WHAT IS IT THAT 09:19AM 16 MAKES THEM PROSECUTE ONE PERSON OR THE OTHER? 09:19AM 17 09:19AM 18 THOSE ARE CORE WORK PRODUCT ISSUES, AND THAT HAS NOTHING 09:19AM 19 TO DO WITH A FACTUAL ISSUE, SOMEONE SAYS GET A SERVER, THAT 09:19AM 20 WOULD BE A GOOD THING TO DO. 09:19AM 21 THESE SELECTIVE PROSECUTION CASES, AS WE POINTED OUT IN 09:19AM 22 OUR REPLY BRIEF, HAVE NO BEARING ON THE ISSUES BECAUSE THOSE 09:19AM 23 ARE NOT RELATED TO THE CASE AT HAND. THEY'RE, WELL, WHAT ABOUT 09:19AM 24 SOME OTHER CASE WHERE THE GOVERNMENT DECIDED TO PROSECUTE 09:20AM 25 SOMEONE ELSE OR NOT PROSECUTE SOMEBODY ELSE?

SO I DON'T THINK THOSE CASES MEAN ANYTHING, AND THEY 1 09:20AM 2 CERTAINLY DON'T MEAN ANYTHING IN TERMS OF WHAT WE SAY IS A 09:20AM MEANINGLESS DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE POLICE AND PROSECUTION, WHO 3 09:20AM 09:20AM 4 WORKS FOR WHICH AGENCY. THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. 09:20AM SECONDARILY, STILL ON THE DISCOVERY ASPECT, IT SEEMS LIKE 09:20AM 6 09:20AM 7 IF THE COURT IS GOING TO REVIEW THIS FOR THE REQUEST THAT YOU MADE, PERHAPS THE COURT SHOULD LOOK AT DOCUMENTS IN CAMERA 09:20AM 8 BEFORE IT MAKES ANY RELEASE AND DETERMINES WHAT IS APPROPRIATE. 09:20AM 9 09:20AM 10 WOULD YOU OBJECT TO THAT PROCEDURE? 09:20AM 11 MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, YOUR HONOR. IF THE COURT 09:20AM 12 WANTS TO DO THAT, YOU COULD LOOK AT THAT. 09:20AM 13 I SUPPOSE THE EXERCISE FOR THE COURT WOULD BE TO LOOK AT WHETHER, YOU KNOW, IT REVEALS SOME KIND OF PROSECUTORIAL 09:20AM 14 09:20AM 15 STRATEGY THAT WOULD BE IN THE REALM OF WORK PRODUCT. I SUPPOSE THAT WOULD BE THE POINT. 09:20AM 16 09:20AM 17 IS THAT RIGHT, YOUR HONOR? 09:20AM 18 THE COURT: WHAT WOULD THE POINT BE, MS. VOLKAR, IF 09:20AM 19 THE COURT WERE TO REVIEW IN CAMERA DOCUMENTS FROM THE 09:21AM 20 GOVERNMENT? MS. VOLKAR: YOUR HONOR, I'M HESITANT TO TASK THE 09:21AM 21 09:21AM 22 COURT WITH MORE WORK, PARTICULARLY WHEN WE HAVE THE SUBSTANCE 09:21AM 23 OF WHAT -- AND THIS IS WHY THE DEFENSE IS ABLE TO BRING THIS 09:21AM 24 MOTION. WE HAVE THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT THOSE DOCUMENTS WOULD 09:21AM 25 SHOW. THE INFORMATION IS IN THE GOVERNMENT'S OCTOBER 2020

1 09:21AM 09:21AM 3 09:21AM 09:21AM 4 09:21AM 5 09:21AM 6 09:21AM 7 09:21AM 8 09:21AM 9 09:21AM 10 09:21AM 11 09:21AM 12 09:21AM 13 09:21AM 14 09:21AM 15 09:22AM 16 09:22AM 17 09:22AM 18 09:22AM 19 09:22AM 20 09:22AM 21 09:22AM 22 09:22AM 23 09:22AM 24

09:22AM 25

LETTER AT THE PARAGRAPHS IDENTIFIED.

ALL THEY'RE ASKING FOR IS THE UNDERLYING EMAILS, I PRESUME SO THAT THEY COULD TRY TO PRESENT IT TO THEIR EXPERT OR TO SOME OTHER WITNESS ON THE STAND. AGAIN, I'M TRYING NOT TO GO INTO THE MERITS RIGHT NOW.

WE KNOW WHAT THE SUBSTANCE OF THOSE DOCUMENTS ARE AND WHY THEY WANT THEM, BECAUSE THEY'RE IN THE GOVERNMENT'S OCTOBER 2020 LETTER.

SO I'M HESITANT -- OR I FAIL TO SEE HOW PROVIDING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION TO THE COURT IN CAMERA WILL MOVE THE NEEDLE ON DECIDING WHETHER OR NOT THESE MATERIALS ARE WORK PRODUCT.

THE COURT: I'M NOT GETTING AHEAD OF MYSELF. PERHAPS I AM, THOUGH.

BUT IF THE COURT WERE TO SAY, WELL, MAYBE MR. COOPERSMITH IS IN THE NINTH INNING AND HE'S PITCHING A NO HITTER AND HE'S GOING TO COMPLETE THAT, AND MAYBE I WILL ORDER THAT THAT BE REVEALED.

SHOULD I LOOK AT THOSE BEFORE? MY COMFORT LEVEL WOULD BE GREATER IF I DID HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW AN EMAIL JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S NOTHING THAT YOU MIGHT WISH TO -- OR IF YOU WANT TO CHALLENGE ANYTHING THAT YOU'VE INDICATED IN YOUR PLEADINGS IN REGARDS TO ANY TYPE OF PRIVILEGES AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS.

I JUST THROW THAT OUT THERE.

I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT I'VE MADE A DECISION ON THIS, BUT 1 09:22AM 2 09:22AM WITH THIS? 3 09:22AM 09:22AM 4 09:22AM 09:22AM 6 09:22AM 7 09:22AM 8 09:22AM 9 09:23AM 10 09:23AM 11 THE INFORMATION. 09:23AM 12 09:23AM 13 09:23AM 14 09:23AM 15 09:23AM 16 09:23AM 17 09:23AM 18 09:23AM 19 09:23AM 20 09:23AM 21 09:23AM 22 09:23AM 23 09:23AM 24 09:23AM 25 SEE, WHAT DOES THIS PORTEND FOR SCHEDULING, IF ANYTHING? IT

I'M JUST TRYING TO FORECAST AHEAD, LIKE, WHERE ARE WE GOING AND THEN I WANT TO MOVE TO A MERITS DISCUSSION, WHICH IS NOT RELATED TO AND WILL NOT BE RELATED TO THE COURT'S DECISION ON THE DISCOVERY ISSUE, BUT I THINK IT IS FAIR TO INFORM THE COURT AS TO WHAT DOES THIS DO TO OUR SCHEDULE AND THOSE THINGS. MS. VOLKAR: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT'S POSITION IS THAT THE DEFENSE HAS NOT SHOWN THAT THEY ARE ENTITLED TO THIS DISCOVERY. THEY ARE NOT ENTITLED TO ANYTHING FURTHER IN IF THE COURT IS INCLINED, WE WOULD PREFER TO PROVIDE THE DOCUMENT IN CAMERA BEFORE MAKING A FURTHER PRODUCTION. THAT WOULD BE OUR ALTERNATIVE ARGUMENT. BUT I DO THINK, FOR THE REASONS STATED IN OUR BRIEF, THAT THE COURT WOULD BE WELL WITHIN THE CASE LAW TO DENY THIS OUTRIGHT FOR THE REASONS THAT IT HAS IN THE PAST. THE COURT: SO LET'S PUT THAT ASIDE FOR A MOMENT. I DO WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT, NOT NECESSARILY MERITS, BUT SCHEDULING, AND WHERE DO WE ANTICIPATE -- AND AGAIN, I WANT TO SEPARATE THIS DISCUSSION FROM THE COURT'S DECISION MAKING ON THE MOTION. THEY'RE TWO SEPARATE THINGS AND THEY'RE NOT GUIDED BY ONE OR THE OTHER. BUT I DO THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR THE COURT TO LOOK AND

09:24AM	1	MIGHT NOT CHANGE ANYTHING.
09:24AM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, OBVIOUSLY I DON'T KNOW
09:24AM	3	WHAT THE GOVERNMENT PLANS FOR THE REST OF THEIR CASE. I HAVE
09:24AM	4	THEIR SECOND HALF WITNESS LIST, SO I HAVE A SENSE OF WHAT THE
09:24AM	5	UNIVERSE OF WITNESSES ARE.
09:24AM	6	THE GOVERNMENT COULD GIVE AN ESTIMATE, PERHAPS, OF HOW
09:24AM	7	LONG THEY THINK THEIR CASE IS GOING TO GO ON UNTIL THEY REST.
09:24AM	8	BUT WHAT I THINK THE COURT IS ASKING IS, WHAT WOULD HAPPEN
09:24AM	9	IF THE DEFENSE PRESENTED A CASE?
09:24AM	10	AS I SAID, WE DON'T KNOW IF WE WILL PRESENT A CASE. PART
09:24AM	11	OF THE REASON FOR DISCOVERY IS SO WE CAN MAKE THOSE KINDS OF
09:24AM	12	DECISIONS.
09:24AM	13	SO I WILL HONOR OUR AGREEMENT WITH THE GOVERNMENT TO GIVE
09:24AM	14	THEM, YOU KNOW, THE SO-CALLED "THURSDAY NIGHT LIST" AND ALL OF
09:24AM	15	THAT WHEN THE TIME COMES FOR THAT.
09:24AM	16	OBVIOUSLY AT SOME POINT I EXPECT THAT THEY WILL TELL ME
09:24AM	17	THAT THEY'RE RESTING AT A CERTAIN POINT AND THEN WE'LL START
09:24AM	18	TALKING ABOUT THOSE ISSUES.
09:24AM	19	IF WE DO PUT ON A CASE, I'M NOT SURE THAT THIS ISSUE WOULD
09:24AM	20	NECESSARILY DELAY THINGS INORDINATELY, BUT OBVIOUSLY WHEN YOU
09:25AM	21	PUT ON A WITNESS THERE'S SOME TIME REQUIRED.
09:25AM	22	BUT WE HAVE AN EXPERT.
09:25AM	23	I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO DO. THEY
09:25AM	24	COULD POTENTIALLY CALL SOME WITNESSES.
09:25AM	25	THE COURT: RIGHT. AND, AGAIN, I'M NOT ASKING YOU

09:25AM	1	TO REVEAL, OBVIOUSLY, AND YOU MAY NOT HAVE DECIDED, SO YOU
09:25AM	2	CAN'T.
09:25AM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: YEAH.
09:25AM	4	THE COURT: BUT YOU HAVE USED YOUR EXPERT'S NAME
09:25AM	5	THREE OR FOUR TIMES THIS MORNING.
09:25AM	6	MY SENSE IS THAT WE SHOULD EXPECT AT A MINIMUM, I'M NOT
09:25AM	7	ASKING YOU TO CONFIRM, BUT THERE MIGHT BE EXPERT TESTIMONY
09:25AM	8	REGARDING THE LIS AND THAT, AND THEN THE GOVERNMENT MIGHT BE
09:25AM	9	COMPELLED TO ANSWER, RESPOND.
09:25AM	10	MR. SCHENK.
09:25AM	11	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
09:25AM	12	I RISE JUST TO SPEAK ON THE SCHEDULING ISSUES THAT THE
09:25AM	13	COURT HAS INDICATED
09:25AM	14	THE COURT: RIGHT.
09:25AM	15	MR. SCHENK: IT WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FURTHER
09:25AM	16	COMMENT ON.
09:25AM	17	YOUR HONOR, I THINK AT THE END OF COURT TODAY, THE
09:25AM	18	GOVERNMENT WILL PROBABLY BE IN A POSITION TO INFORM THE COURT
09:25AM	19	THAT WE MAY NOT HAVE ENOUGH WITNESSES REMAINING TO FILL NEXT
09:25AM	20	WEEK.
09:25AM	21	SO WE HAVE 9:00 TO 4:00, OR THEREABOUTS, TODAY, FRIDAY,
09:26AM	22	AND I BELIEVE THREE DAYS NEXT WEEK THAT WOULD MIRROR THIS WEEK,
09:26AM	23	9:00 TO 4:00, TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, AND FRIDAY.
09:26AM	24	AND AT ABOUT THE PACE THAT WE'RE GOING, I THINK THAT THERE
09:26AM	25	MIGHT NOT BE ENOUGH WITNESSES LEFT ON THE GOVERNMENT'S PLAN OR

1 09:26AM 2 09:26AM 3 09:26AM 09:26AM 4 09:26AM 09:26AM 6 09:26AM 7 09:26AM 8 09:26AM 9 09:26AM 10 09:26AM 11 09:26AM 12 09:27AM 13 09:27AM 14 09:27AM 15 09:27AM 16 09:27AM 17 09:27AM 18 09:27AM 19 09:27AM 20 09:27AM 21 09:27AM 22 09:27AM 23 09:27AM 24

09:27AM 25

SCHEDULE TO FILL THE WEEK.

AND AS A RESULT, WHAT MR. COOPERSMITH JUST REFERENCED, THAT IS, THE THURSDAY NIGHT DISCLOSURES, WE WILL EXPECT THAT THE DEFENSE WILL PROVIDE TO US TOMORROW EVENING BY 7:00 O'CLOCK THEIR WITNESSES, WHO THEY INTEND TO CALL, BECAUSE I THINK THERE'S A GOOD CHANCE THAT THE DEFENSE IS GOING TO HAVE TO PUT WITNESSES ON TOWARDS THE END OF NEXT WEEK.

THE COURT: I SEE.

MR. SCHENK: THERE IS ONE ISSUE THAT I SHOULD INFORM THE COURT ABOUT, AND THAT IS ONE OF THE WITNESSES THAT WE DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENSE THAT MAY TESTIFY THIS WEEK TESTED POSITIVE FOR COVID THIS MORNING.

THAT WITNESS IS, THEREFORE, NOT GOING TO TESTIFY THIS WEEK.

I REVIEWED THE CDC ADVICE OR GUIDELINES ON THIS ISSUE, AND I THINK AS LONG AS THE WITNESS IS NOT DISPLAYING SYMPTOMS, THE WITNESS CAN END ISOLATION IN FIVE DAYS, WHICH WOULD BE -- FIVE DAYS FROM A POSITIVE TEST, WHICH WOULD BE NEXT TUESDAY.

SO WE MAY HAVE TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO FILL FRIDAY NOW. THIS IS A WITNESS THAT WE ANTICIPATED WOULD TESTIFY ON FRIDAY.

I THINK WE CAN MOVE SOME WITNESSES THAT WE THOUGHT WOULD TESTIFY NEXT WEEK TO THIS FRIDAY, AND THEN THIS WITNESS NEXT WEEK.

SO I DON'T KNOW THAT ULTIMATELY IT WILL AFFECT WHEN WE

09:27AM	1	WILL FINISH, BUT THERE'S SOME NOW MOVING PARTS THAT I DIDN'T
09:27AM	2	ANTICIPATE BEFORE ABOUT 8:00 A.M. THIS MORNING.
09:27AM	3	ALL OF THAT TO SAY, THOUGH, I THINK THE GOVERNMENT IS
09:27AM	4	PRETTY CLOSE TO RESTING AND, AS I SAID, MAYBE THREE, FOUR OR SO
09:27AM	5	FULL TRIAL DAYS AND WE'LL BE THERE.
09:27AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. SO THAT THANK YOU.
09:28AM	7	SO IT COULD BE IT SOUNDS LIKE THE GOVERNMENT NEXT WEEK
09:28AM	8	WOULD BE RESTING SOMETIME.
09:28AM	9	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT'S A FAIR
09:28AM	10	ESTIMATE.
09:28AM	11	THE COURT: RIGHT.
09:28AM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: THAT'S GOOD TO KNOW, YOUR HONOR,
09:28AM	13	SO I APPRECIATE MR. SCHENK'S COMMENTS.
09:28AM	14	IF MR. SCHENK DOES NOT WANT TO SAY THIS IN OPEN COURT,
09:28AM	15	THAT'S FINE. IT WOULD BE GOOD TO KNOW WHICH WITNESS WE'RE
09:28AM	16	TALKING ABOUT. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE CAN MEET AND CONFER
09:28AM	17	ABOUT THAT.
09:28AM	18	THE COURT: YOU CAN DISCUSS THAT. YOU CAN DISCUSS
09:28AM	19	THAT WHEN WE'RE FINISHED HERE.
09:28AM	20	SO IT SOUNDS LIKE WE'LL HAVE WILL WE HAVE GAPS THIS
09:28AM	21	WEEK, TODAY AND FRIDAY? IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE IT.
09:28AM	22	MR. SCHENK: I DON'T ANTICIPATE WE'LL HAVE ANY GAPS
09:28AM	23	TODAY. I THINK OUR DISCUSSION AT THE END OF THE DAY YESTERDAY
09:28AM	24	WAS VERY HELPFUL, THANK YOU, AND I THINK WE HAVE SUFFICIENT
09:28AM	25	WITNESSES TO FILL TODAY.

09:28AM	1	AND I STILL HOPE THAT WE WILL HAVE SUFFICIENT WITNESSES TO
09:28AM	2	FILL FRIDAY EVEN IN LIGHT OF THIS CHANGE.
09:28AM	3	BUT, YES, I INTEND TO REVEAL THE NAME TO MR. COOPERSMITH.
09:28AM	4	THE COURT: SURE, SURE.
09:28AM	5	MR. SCHENK: AND WE'LL WORK WITH HIM ON PROVIDING
09:28AM	6	NEW NAMES OF WITNESSES WHO WILL REPLACE THIS WITNESS.
09:29AM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT.
09:29AM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
09:29AM	9	THE COURT: I GUESS I DIDN'T, MR. SCHENK, ASK FOR
09:29AM	10	FOLLOW-UP ON WHAT HAPPENS IF THERE'S A LIS DEFENSE. LET ME
09:29AM	11	JUST PUT IT THAT WAY THEN.
09:29AM	12	IT COULD BE A REBUTTAL CASE FOR THE GOVERNMENT, AND YOU'LL
09:29AM	13	HAVE TO ANALYZE THAT, I PRESUME.
09:29AM	14	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR.
09:29AM	15	I THINK IF THE DEFENSE, AT LEAST IF I'M READING THE TEA
09:29AM	16	LEAVES CORRECTLY, SOME OF THE COMMENTS WERE PUTTING THE OCTOBER
09:29AM	17	LETTER IN FRONT OF THE JURY IS AWKWARD BECAUSE THE JURY DOESN'T
09:29AM	18	UNDERSTAND WHY THE GOVERNMENT WOULD WRITE SUCH A LETTER, SO WE
09:29AM	19	WANT THE EMAILS TO PUT IN FRONT OF THE JURY.
09:29AM	20	THERE'S GOING TO BE A FIGHT, AND THERE'S GOING TO BE
09:29AM	21	DISAGREEMENT, ABOUT WHETHER THAT'S APPROPRIATE. THE COURT WILL
09:29AM	22	RESOLVE THAT DISPUTE.
09:29AM	23	AND I CERTAINLY FORESEE, IF SOME OF THOSE DOCUMENTS ARE
09:30AM	24	ADMITTED, THE GOVERNMENT WANTING TO CALL ADDITIONAL WITNESSES
09:30AM	25	FOLLOWING THAT KIND OF DEFENSE CASE IN A REBUTTAL CASE.

AND WE TALKED ABOUT THIS JUST AFTER THE DEFENSE OPENING. 1 09:30AM THE DOOR WAS OPENED QUITE WIDE BY THE STATEMENTS THAT THE 2 09:30AM DEFENSE MADE ALREADY, AND I -- THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO ASK 3 09:30AM 09:30AM 4 FOR WIDE BERTH FROM THE COURT TO RESPOND WITH A LOT OF EVIDENCE REGARDING THE DESTRUCTION OF LIS IF THE DEFENSE IS GOING TO 09:30AM 09:30AM 6 SUGGEST THAT THE JURY SHOULD DRAW ANY CONCLUSIONS ABOUT THE 09:30AM 7 DESTRUCTION OF LIS. AND IT SEEMS, BY THE SUGGESTIONS THAT MR. COOPERSMITH IS 09:30AM 8 MAKING, THAT IS, EITHER THE BRADY LETTER OR THE UNDERLYING 09:30AM 9 09:30AM 10 EMAILS, THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE FINGER POINTING, AND THE 09:30AM 11 GOVERNMENT IS ENTITLED TO RESPOND TO THAT INACCURATE FINGER 09:30AM 12 POINTING. THE COURT: THIS IS WHAT THE COURT REFERENCED IN 09:30AM 13 1326, PAGE 22 AT I THINK IT WAS LINE 8 --09:30AM 14 09:31AM 15 MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: -- OPENING THE DOOR IF THIS COMES UP. I 09:31AM 16 09:31AM 17 THINK IT WAS LINES 13 OR 14 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 09:31AM 18 MR. COOPERSMITH: I THINK ALL I CAN DO IS TAKE IT AS 09:31AM 19 IT COMES. IF THE GOVERNMENT WISHES TO PRESENT EVIDENCE IN 09:31AM 20 THEIR REBUTTAL CASE, THEY CAN DO THAT. 09:31AM 21 AS TO WHICH WITNESSES THEY CAN CALL AND WHAT THOSE 09:31AM 22 WITNESSES WILL SAY, I LOOK FORWARD TO FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ABOUT 09:31AM 23 THAT. 09:31AM 24 WE HAVE SOME VIEWS ABOUT WHAT, GIVEN THE CASE WE MIGHT 09:31AM 25 PRESENT. WE HAVEN'T DECIDED ON THAT. BUT WHAT WE MIGHT

09:31AM	1	PRESENT, WHAT WE OPEN THE DOOR TO AND WHAT WE DON'T OPEN THE
09:31AM	2	DOOR TO, I IMAGINE MR. SCHENK WILL HAVE A DIFFERENT VIEW.
09:31AM	3	THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE LOOK FORWARD TO DISCUSSING. THAT
09:31AM	4	REMAINS TO BE SEEN.
09:31AM	5	THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE ALL AWARE OF THE NUANCES
09:32AM	6	OF THE LIS AND, SHALL I SAY, WHAT LURKS BEHIND THE DOOR.
09:32AM	7	ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
09:32AM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: COULD WE HAVE FIVE MINUTES FOR A
09:32AM	9	BREAK?
09:32AM	10	THE COURT: YES. IT'S 9:32. WE'LL TAKE ABOUT TEN
09:32AM	11	MINUTES.
09:32AM	12	MR. SCHENK: MAY I ASK A QUESTION ON THE UPCOMING
09:32AM	13	SCHEDULE?
09:32AM	14	MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT THE WEEK OF THE 23RD, WE HAVE
09:32AM	15	TRIAL ON FRIDAY, THE 27TH.
09:32AM	16	THE WEEK OF THE 30TH WE HAVE NO TRIAL.
09:32AM	17	THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT.
09:32AM	18	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU.
09:32AM	19	THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT.
09:32AM	20	MR. SCHENK: I KNOW THERE WAS AN EFFORT TO INQUIRE
09:32AM	21	ABOUT ADDING DAYS. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THAT WAS
09:32AM	22	UNSUCCESSFUL. I JUST WANTED TO CONFIRM THAT.
09:32AM	23	THE COURT: SO THE WEEK OF THE 30TH WE'LL BE DARK.
09:32AM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THE SCHEDULE NEXT WEEK IS
09:32AM	25	THREE DAYS NEXT WEEK?

09:32AM	1	THE COURT: YES.
09:33AM	2	(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
09:33AM	3	THE COURT: RIGHT, IT'S 17TH, 18TH, AND 20TH.
09:33AM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
09:33AM	5	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU.
09:33AM	6	(RECESS FROM 9:33 A.M. UNTIL 9:49 A.M.)
09:49AM	7	THE COURT: PLEASE BE SEATED. THANK YOU AGAIN FOR
09:49AM	8	YOUR COURTESY.
09:49AM	9	WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD IN THE BALWANI MATTER.
09:49AM	10	ALL COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. MR. BALWANI IS PRESENT.
09:49AM	11	OUR JURY IS PRESENT.
09:49AM	12	GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. I WAS ALMOST ACCURATE
09:49AM	13	IN MY PREDICTION OF WHEN WE WOULD START.
09:49AM	14	THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.
09:49AM	15	LET ME ASK YOU AGAIN, DURING THE BREAK, DID ANY OF YOU,
09:49AM	16	MEMBERS OF THE JURY, HAVE CAUSE TO LEARN, LISTEN, SEE, OR
09:49AM	17	DISCUSS ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CASE? IF SO, MAY I SEE YOUR HANDS?
09:49AM	18	I SEE NO HANDS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
09:49AM	19	SHALL WE CONTINUE WITH OUR WITNESS THEN, MR. MOSLEY, I
09:49AM	20	THINK?
09:50AM	21	GOOD MORNING, SIR.
09:50AM	22	THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING.
09:50AM	23	THE COURT: PLEASE MAKE YOURSELF COMFORTABLE.
09:50AM	24	TAKE YOUR MASK OFF IF YOU WOULD LIKE.
09:50AM	25	THE WITNESS: OH. THANK YOU.

09:50AM	1	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
09:50AM	2	I REMIND YOU YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH.
09:50AM	3	AND WHEN YOU ARE COMFORTABLE, WOULD YOU PLEASE JUST STATE
09:50AM	4	YOUR NAME AGAIN.
09:50AM	5	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
09:50AM	6	DANIEL LYNN MOSTLY.
09:50AM	7	(GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, DANIEL MOSLEY, WAS PREVIOUSLY
09:50AM	8	SWORN.)
09:50AM	9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. COUNSEL.
09:50AM	10	MR. CAZARES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
09:50AM	11	CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
09:50AM	12	BY MR. CAZARES:
09:50AM	13	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. MOSLEY.
09:50AM	14	A. GOOD MORNING.
09:50AM	15	Q. AND I WANT TO PICK OFF WHERE WE LEFT OFF YESTERDAY, AND
09:50AM	16	WHERE WE LEFT OFF WAS I THINK YOUR LETTER AND MEMO TO
09:50AM	17	DR. KISSINGER DATED SEPTEMBER 2ND, 2014.
09:50AM	18	COULD WE PUT UP ON THE SCREEN EXHIBIT 4197.
09:50AM	19	JUST TO CONFIRM, THE DATE OF THE COVER LETTER IN THE MEMO
09:50AM	20	IS SEPTEMBER 2ND, 2014; IS THAT RIGHT?
09:50AM	21	A. YES.
09:50AM	22	Q. AND JUST TO CONFIRM, YOU DRAFTED THIS MEMO PRIOR TO EVER
09:51AM	23	HAVING ANY COMMUNICATIONS WITH MR. BALWANI; CORRECT?
09:51AM	24	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
09:51AM	25	Q. OKAY. NOW, IF WE GO TO PAGE 4 OF THE MEMO UNDER THE

09:51AM	1	SECTION TITLED BUSINESS APPROACH.
09:51AM	2	DO YOU SEE THAT?
09:51AM	3	A. I DO.
09:51AM	4	Q. AND SOME OF THIS WE HAVE ALREADY SPOKEN ABOUT. I DON'T
09:51AM	5	WANT TO REVISIT ALL OF THIS.
09:51AM	6	BUT IN THIS SECTION, ESSENTIALLY YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED
09:51AM	7	FACTORS CONFIRMING KIND OF THERANOS'S BUSINESS STRATEGY AND
09:51AM	8	APPROACH AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT FROM THE MATERIALS; IS THAT FAIR?
09:51AM	9	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
09:51AM	10	Q. OKAY. AND YOU THOUGHT THESE WERE ALL NOTABLE FACTORS IN
09:51AM	11	THERANOS'S BUSINESS APPROACH ACCORDING TO YOUR OWN ANALYSIS;
09:51AM	12	CORRECT?
09:51AM	13	A. YES.
09:51AM	14	Q. OKAY. AND THAT INCLUDED THE FACT OF THE PATENTS AND
09:51AM	15	PATENT PORTFOLIO THAT THERANOS HAD; IS THAT CORRECT?
09:51AM	16	A. CORRECT.
09:51AM	17	Q. TRADEMARKS THAT THE COMPANY OWNED; CORRECT?
09:51AM	18	A. CORRECT.
09:51AM	19	Q. THE PHARMACEUTICAL RELATIONSHIPS, AS WE TALKED ABOUT
09:52AM	20	BEFORE; CORRECT?
09:52AM	21	A. RIGHT.
09:52AM	22	Q. AND ARE YOU AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THE PHARMACEUTICAL
09:52AM	23	RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THERANOS AND THE PHARMACEUTICAL
09:52AM	24	COMPANIES, THAT MUCH OF THAT TOOK PLACE PRIOR TO MR. BALWANI
09:52AM	25	EVER JOINING THERANOS?

09:52AM	1	A. I HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE ABOUT WHEN HE JOINED OR ANYTHING ELSE,
09:52AM	2	SO I DON'T KNOW HOW I CAN ANSWER THAT QUESTION.
09:52AM	3	Q. I THINK YOU JUST DID. THANK YOU.
09:52AM	4	AND YOU CALLED THE PARTNERSHIP WITH WALGREENS BRILLIANT IN
09:52AM	5	YOUR MEMO TO DR. KISSINGER; CORRECT?
09:52AM	6	A. I DID.
09:52AM	7	Q. AND YOU BELIEVED THAT AT THE TIME?
09:52AM	8	A. YES, I DID.
09:52AM	9	Q. AND THAT WAS, AGAIN, RELATED TO THIS KIND OF STRATEGY FOR
09:52AM	10	A SMALLER COMPANY LIKE THERANOS TO HAVE THE AVAILABLE
09:52AM	11	RESOURCES, I GUESS I COULD SAY, OF WALGREENS TO HELP TAKE IT
09:52AM	12	NATIONAL; CORRECT?
09:52AM	13	A. CORRECT.
09:52AM	14	Q. AND YOU THOUGHT WALGREENS WAS A CAPABLE PARTNER TO HELP A
09:52AM	15	SMALL COMPANY LIKE THERANOS TO COMPETE WITH LABCORP AND QUEST;
09:53AM	16	CORRECT?
09:53AM	17	A. I DID.
09:53AM	18	Q. AND CONTINUING ON THE BUSINESS APPROACH AGAIN. YOU SAID
09:53AM	19	YESTERDAY THAT THE HIGH POWERED BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THERANOS
09:53AM	20	ALSO CONTRIBUTED TO YOUR DECISION TO INVEST; CORRECT?
09:53AM	21	A. YES.
09:53AM	22	Q. NOW, IF WE COULD TURN TO PAGE 9 OF THE MEMO.
09:53AM	23	AND THIS IS THE THIS PORTION THAT YOU REVIEWED WITH
09:53AM	24	MR. SCHENK CONCERNING RISKS THAT YOU YOURSELF IDENTIFIED AFTER
09:53AM	25	YOUR OWN REVIEW OF THE MATERIALS; IS THAT FAIR?

09:53AM	1	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
09:53AM	2	Q. AND AMONG THE RISKS THAT YOU IDENTIFIED IN SUB A, YOU
09:53AM	3	INDICATE THAT THE RELATIONSHIP DEPENDS UPON THE BUSINESS
09:53AM	4	STRATEGY DEPENDS UPON WALGREENS; CORRECT?
09:53AM	5	A. CORRECT.
09:53AM	6	Q. AND, AND YOU
09:53AM	7	A. IT'S AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE BUSINESS PLAN THAT DEPENDS
09:53AM	8	ON THE RELATIONSHIP WITH WALGREENS.
09:54AM	9	Q. AND YOU NOTE THAT IT WILL BE HELPFUL TO KNOW WALGREENS'S
09:54AM	10	PERSPECTIVE ON THIS RELATIONSHIP AND HOW IT IS CURRENTLY
09:54AM	11	WORKING OUT?
09:54AM	12	A. CORRECT.
09:54AM	13	Q. AND THESE ARE QUESTIONS THAT YOU COULD HAVE PUT TO
09:54AM	14	MS. HOLMES; CORRECT?
09:54AM	15	MR. SCHENK: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
09:54AM	16	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
09:54AM	17	BY MR. CAZARES:
09:54AM	18	Q. AND YOU ALSO INDICATE, "IT WOULD ALSO BE HELPFUL TO KNOW
09:54AM	19	SOME ADDITIONAL DETAILS OF THE STRUCTURE AND TERMS OF THE
09:54AM	20	PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN WALGREENS AND THERANOS."
09:54AM	21	DO YOU SEE THAT?
09:54AM	22	A. CORRECT.
09:54AM	23	Q. AND DID YOU EVER GET ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU
09:54AM	24	RAISED IN THIS PORTION OF YOUR MEMO?
09:54AM	25	A. YOU KNOW, I BELIEVE OVER TIME I DID GET MORE INSIGHT INTO

09:54AM	1	THE STRUCTURE OF THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT, BUT I DIDN'T AT
09:54AM	2	THIS TIME.
09:54AM	3	Q. AND IF YOU CONTINUE UNDER THE RISK PORTION TO THE NEXT
09:54AM	4	SECTION.
09:54AM	5	AND YOU NOTE, FROM SOME OF THE FINANCIAL NUMBERS THAT WERE
09:54AM	6	WITHIN THE INVESTOR MATERIALS, SOME OF THOSE PROJECTION
09:54AM	7	NUMBERS.
09:54AM	8	DO YOU SEE THAT?
09:54AM	9	A. I SEE IT.
09:54AM	10	Q. OKAY. AND YOU ALSO NOTE THAT AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE
09:55AM	11	AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THERANOS WAS IN 30 WALGREENS PHARMACIES;
09:55AM	12	CORRECT?
09:55AM	13	A. YES.
09:55AM	14	Q. AND THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO KNOW HOW MANY PHARMACY
09:55AM	15	LOCATIONS ARE ASSUMED FOR THOSE 2015 PROJECTIONS; CORRECT?
09:55AM	16	A. CORRECT.
09:55AM	17	Q. SO YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT THE 2015 PROJECTIONS WERE DEPENDENT
09:55AM	18	ON THE EXECUTION OF THE WALGREENS ROLLOUT; CORRECT?
09:55AM	19	A. UM, WOULD YOU READ THAT AGAIN, PLEASE?
09:55AM	20	Q. I CAN RESTATE IT.
09:55AM	21	A. YES, PLEASE.
09:55AM	22	Q. YOUR, YOUR YOU WROTE THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO KNOW
09:55AM	23	HOW MANY PHARMACY LOCATIONS ARE ASSUMED IN THE 2015
09:55AM	24	PROJECTIONS.
09:55AM	25	DO YOU SEE THAT?

09:55AM	1	A. I DO.
09:55AM	2	Q. OKAY. AND SO THAT YOU UNDERSTOOD AT THE TIME THAT THOSE
09:55AM	3	2015 PROJECTIONS WERE DEPENDENT UPON THE ABILITY TO ROLL OUT
09:55AM	4	THERANOS TESTING IN WALGREENS STORES OVER THE NEXT YEAR;
09:55AM	5	CORRECT?
09:55AM	6	A. YES. IT ASSUMED SOME LEVEL OF ROLLOUT, ABSOLUTELY.
09:55AM	7	Q. AND YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT THERE WAS SOME RISK THAT MAYBE THE
09:55AM	8	PARTIES WOULDN'T AGREE ON THE TERMS AND MAYBE IT MIGHT NEVER
09:56AM	9	HAPPEN?
09:56AM	10	A. YES.
09:56AM	11	Q. AND IF WE CAN GO DOWN TO SUB C.
09:56AM	12	YOU IDENTIFY FINANCIAL CIRCUMSTANCE OF THERANOS CASH ON
09:56AM	13	HAND; CORRECT?
09:56AM	14	A. CORRECT.
09:56AM	15	Q. YOU ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT WHY THERANOS WAS RAISING FUNDS;
09:56AM	16	CORRECT?
09:56AM	17	A. CORRECT.
09:56AM	18	Q. AND WHY THERANOS DIDN'T SIMPLY BORROW FUNDS TO EXPAND THE
09:56AM	19	BUSINESS; RIGHT?
09:56AM	20	A. CORRECT.
09:56AM	21	Q. OKAY. AND THEN IN SUB D YOU NOTE SOME OF THE CORPORATE
09:56AM	22	DOCUMENTS AND THE REDEMPTION ISSUE THAT YOU RAISED WITH
09:56AM	23	MS. HOLMES; CORRECT?
09:56AM	24	A. CORRECT.
09:56AM	25	Q. AND YOU DID GET RESOLUTION ON THAT AS YOU DISCUSSED

09:56AM	1	YESTERDAY?
09:56AM	2	A. YES, I DID.
09:56AM	3	Q. AND THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO YOU?
09:56AM	4	A. IT WAS.
09:56AM	5	Q. TO PROTECT YOURSELF AND YOUR CLIENT INVESTORS RELATING TO
09:56AM	6	THIS ISSUE OF REDEMPTION RIGHTS; CORRECT?
09:56AM	7	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
09:56AM	8	Q. AND IF WE CAN GO DOWN TO SUB C. THAT'S THE LAST SUB.
09:57AM	9	NOW, IF I CAN JUST CONFIRM, SO YOU SHARED THE MEMO WITH
09:57AM	10	DR. KISSINGER; CORRECT?
09:57AM	11	A. I DID.
09:57AM	12	Q. YOU SHARED IT WITH SOME OF YOUR INVESTOR CLIENTS?
09:57AM	13	A. YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW WHO I SHARED IT WITH.
09:57AM	14	I DO KNOW IT WAS GIVEN TO THE PEOPLE AT THE NIARCHOS
09:57AM	15	FOUNDATION.
09:57AM	16	Q. OKAY. YOU DID NOT SHARE IT WITH MS. HOLMES?
09:57AM	17	A. I DID NOT.
09:57AM	18	Q. AND YOU DID NOT SHARE IT WITH MR. BALWANI?
09:57AM	19	A. I DID NOT.
09:57AM	20	AND I'M NOT SURE I SHARED IT WITH ANY OTHER PERSON I KNEW
09:57AM	21	THAT WAS LOOKING AT THE COMPANY.
09:57AM	22	Q. FAIR ENOUGH. THANK YOU.
09:57AM	23	NOW, WITHIN THE I THINK THE BINDERS ARE STILL THERE.
09:57AM	24	WITHIN THE DARK BINDER
09:57AM	25	A. UH-HUH, I'VE GOT IT.

09:57AM	1	Q THERE SHOULD BE A DOCUMENT TAB 14124. SO 14124.
09:58AM	2	A. I HAVE IT.
09:58AM	3	Q. AND 14124 APPEARS TO BE AN EMAIL EXCHANGE BETWEEN YOURSELF
09:58AM	4	AND MS. HOLMES.
09:58AM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
09:58AM	6	A. I DO.
09:58AM	7	Q. AND IT'S DATED OCTOBER 6TH, 2014?
09:58AM	8	A. CORRECT.
09:58AM	9	MR. CAZARES: MOVE TO ADMIT 14124, YOUR HONOR.
09:58AM	10	MR. SCHENK: NO OBJECTION.
09:58AM	11	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
09:58AM	12	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 14124 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
09:58AM	13	BY MR. CAZARES:
09:58AM	14	Q. OKAY. IF WE CAN FOCUS ON THE TWO MESSAGES IN THE LOWER
09:58AM	15	PORTION OF THE EXHIBIT. THIS WAS OCTOBER 6TH, 2014.
09:58AM	16	THIS WAS AROUND THE TIME THAT YOU WERE TO VISIT THERANOS
09:58AM	17	AND YOU HAD SOME MEETINGS; CORRECT?
09:58AM	18	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
09:58AM	19	Q. AND IN THE FIRST OF THE MESSAGES IN THIS EXHIBIT FROM
09:58AM	20	YOURSELF TO MS. HOLMES ON OCTOBER 6TH, 2014, YOU WROTE,
09:58AM	21	"ELIZABETH,
09:58AM	22	"I HOPE ALL IS WELL. I AM HAPPY THAT EACH OF THE
09:58AM	23	POTENTIAL INVESTORS FROM THE WALTON, COX, DEVOS AND THE
09:59AM	24	NIARCHOS FAMILIES ARE PROCEEDING VERY WELL AS I WOULD EXPECT."
09:59AM	25	DO YOU SEE THAT?

09:59AM	1	A. I DO SEE THAT.
09:59AM	2	Q. AND YOU INDICATED "GREG BROUGHT ME UP TO SPEED ON WHERE
09:59AM	3	THE WALTONS STAND."
09:59AM	4	DO YOU SEE THAT?
09:59AM	5	A. I DO.
09:59AM	6	Q. AND THEN THE NEXT PARAGRAPH, "I KNOW JERRY TUBERGEN IS SET
09:59AM	7	TO MEET WITH YOU IN PALO ALTO ON THE 14TH AND HE IS BRINGING
09:59AM	8	SEVERAL FAMILY MEMBERS, INCLUDING DOUG, WHO RUNS AMWAY, WHO I
09:59AM	9	THINK YOU WILL ENJOY MEETING. THEY ARE VERY ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT
09:59AM	10	BEING IN YOUR CORE GROUP GOING FORWARD."
09:59AM	11	DO YOU SEE THAT?
09:59AM	12	A. I DO.
09:59AM	13	Q. AND WERE YOU REPORTING TO MS. HOLMES COMMUNICATIONS THAT
09:59AM	14	YOU HAD WITH MR. TUBERGEN?
09:59AM	15	A. WELL, THAT'S WHERE I WOULD HAVE GOT THAT INFORMATION WOULD
09:59AM	16	BE FROM JERRY TUBERGEN.
09:59AM	17	Q. FAIR ENOUGH. THANK YOU.
09:59AM	18	AND THEN YOU SAY, "I WILL SEE YOU ON THE 17TH WITH AT
09:59AM	19	LEAST TWO OR MORE OF THE NIARCHOS FOUNDATION. ONCE I KNOW WHO
09:59AM	20	WILL BE COMING, I WILL LET YOU KNOW AND WILL SEND YOU THE
09:59AM	21	TOPICS THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO COVER. AGAIN, VERY ENTHUSIASTIC
10:00AM	22	ABOUT THE OPPORTUNITY."
10:00AM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:00AM	24	A. I DO.
10:00AM	25	Q. AND, AGAIN, WERE YOU INDICATING ENTHUSIASM THAT YOU WERE

10:00AM	1	HEARING FROM MEMBERS OF THE NIARCHOS FOUNDATION?
10:00AM	2	A. I'M NOT SURE WHAT I'M SAYING THERE. I JUST SAY, "AGAIN,
10:00AM	3	VERY ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT THE OPPORTUNITY."
10:00AM	4	I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT IS SOMETHING THAT I GOT FROM THE
10:00AM	5	NIARCHOS FOUNDATION OR NOT. IT'S NOT REFERRING TO ANY
10:00AM	6	PARTICULAR PERSON.
10:00AM	7	Q. OKAY.
10:00AM	8	A. AND I DIDN'T, I DIDN'T REALLY KNOW THE TWO INDIVIDUALS WHO
10:00AM	9	WERE DOING THE DUE DILIGENCE FOR THE NIARCHOS FOUNDATION.
10:00AM	10	Q. FAIR ENOUGH. THANK YOU.
10:00AM	11	AND THE NEXT LINE IN THE EMAIL YOU WROTE, "ON THE COX
10:00AM	12	FAMILY, IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU HAD A VERY GOOD MEETING WITH
10:00AM	13	ALEX TAYLOR WHO REALLY ENJOYED THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH
10:00AM	14	YOU. IF YOU CAN MAKE IT WORK, ALEX, JOHN DYER (CEO OF COX
10:00AM	15	ENTERPRISES) HOPE TO MEET WITH YOU FRIDAY. AGAIN, VERY
10:00AM	16	ENTHUSIASTIC AND EXCITED ABOUT BEING PART OF YOUR CORE GROUP."
10:00AM	17	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:00AM	18	A. I DO.
10:00AM	19	Q. AND THAT MEETING DID TAKE PLACE?
10:00AM	20	A. YES, IT DID.
10:00AM	21	Q. AND YOU PARTICIPATED IN THAT MEETING; RIGHT?
10:01AM	22	A. YES, I DID.
10:01AM	23	Q. THAT'S ONE OF THE TWO MEETINGS THAT YOU HAD?
10:01AM	24	A. YES.
10:01AM	25	Q. AND THEN MS. HOLMES RESPONDED BACK THANKING YOU FOR THE

10:01AM	1	NOTE AND YOUR COMMENTS.
10:01AM	2	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:01AM	3	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:01AM	4	Q. AND THAT SHE WAS GOING TO GIVE YOU A CALL TO CONNECT
10:01AM	5	RE GREG.
10:01AM	6	AND THAT'S MR. PENNER?
10:01AM	7	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:01AM	8	Q. AND MS. HOLMES REPORTED BACK TO YOU ABOUT A CALL SHE HAD
10:01AM	9	WITH JERRY AND A WOMAN ON HIS TEAM.
10:01AM	10	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:01AM	11	A. YES, I DO.
10:01AM	12	Q. AND THAT'S A REFERENCE TO JERRY TUBERGEN?
10:01AM	13	A. YES, IT IS.
10:01AM	14	Q. AND THEN MS. HOLMES CONFIRMS A FRIDAY MEETING WITH ALEX.
10:01AM	15	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:01AM	16	A. YES, I DO SEE THAT.
10:01AM	17	Q. AND THAT'S ALEX TAYLOR OF THE COX FAMILY?
10:01AM	18	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:01AM	19	Q. SO JUST TO CONFIRM, SO YOU TRAVELLED TO CALIFORNIA TO MEET
10:02AM	20	WITH MS. HOLMES TWICE, ONCE ON THE 10TH OF OCTOBER, AND THEN
10:02AM	21	THE SECOND TIME WITH THE NIARCHOS FOUNDATION PERSON A WEEK
10:02AM	22	LATER; CORRECT?
10:02AM	23	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:02AM	24	Q. AND ON ONE OF THOSE TWO VISITS TO CALIFORNIA, YOU WERE
10:02AM	25	GIVEN A TOUR OF THERANOS'S LAB; CORRECT?

10:02AM	1	A. I THINK I WAS GIVEN A TOUR, YES.
10:02AM	2	Q. OKAY. AND ON THE TOUR OF THE LAB IN OCTOBER OF 2014, YOU
10:02AM	3	SAW ONE VERY LARGE MACHINE; CORRECT?
10:02AM	4	A. I DID SEE ONE VERY LARGE MACHINE, YES.
10:02AM	5	Q. AND THIS WAS A LARGE MACHINE, NOT ONE OF THE SMALL
10:03AM	6	THERANOS DEVICES; CORRECT?
10:03AM	7	A. AS I REMEMBER, IT WAS JUST A LONG, LONG, LARGE MACHINE.
10:03AM	8	Q. LIKE A COMMERCIAL MACHINE OF SOME SORT?
10:03AM	9	A. IT WAS A LARGE MACHINE.
10:03AM	10	Q. OKAY. AND THAT MACHINE WAS REFERRED TO AS A HIGH
10:03AM	11	THROUGHPUT; CORRECT?
10:03AM	12	A. IT, IT MAY HAVE BEEN. I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY.
10:03AM	13	Q. OKAY. NOW, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR MEETINGS WITH
10:03AM	14	MS. HOLMES, YOU REVIEWED THESE MATERIALS, YOU ALSO HAD SOME
10:03AM	15	COMMUNICATIONS WITH DAVID BOIES, DID YOU NOT?
10:03AM	16	A. YES, I DID.
10:03AM	17	Q. AND MR. BOIES WAS, AGAIN, A PARTNER OF YOURS AT CRAVATH?
10:03AM	18	A. HE WAS NOT A PARTNER OF MINE AT THE TIME, BUT HE HAD BEEN
10:03AM	19	A PARTNER, YES.
10:03AM	20	Q. A FORMER PARTNER?
10:03AM	21	A. FORMER PARTNER.
10:03AM	22	Q. AND YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT MR. BOIES WAS DOING LEGAL WORK FOR
10:03AM	23	THERANOS AT THAT TIME?
10:04AM	24	A. I KNEW HE WAS DOING LEGAL WORK IN CONNECTION WITH

10:04AM 25

THERANOS.

10:04AM	1	I DON'T KNOW WHO HE WAS REPRESENTING PARTICULARLY.
10:04AM	2	Q. OKAY. NOW, IS IT CORRECT THAT YOU UNDERSTOOD AT THIS TIME
10:04AM	3	IN THE FALL OF 2014 THAT THERANOS DEVICES, THEIR TESTING
10:04AM	4	ANALYZERS, WERE NOT ACTUALLY IN WALGREENS STORES FOR THE
10:04AM	5	PURPOSE OF PERFORMING THE TESTS?
10:04AM	6	A. I DID REALIZE THAT THEY WERE NOT CURRENTLY IN THE STORES,
10:04AM	7	YES.
10:04AM	8	Q. OKAY. AND SO YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT THE TESTING WAS BEING
10:04AM	9	DONE OFFSITE, NOT IN THE STORES?
10:04AM	10	A. I DID.
10:04AM	11	Q. WITHIN THAT SAME BINDER ACTUALLY I THINK IT'S ALREADY
10:04AM	12	ADMITTED, 4284.
10:04AM	13	IF WE CAN PUT THAT UP ON THE SCREEN, MR. ALLEN.
10:04AM	14	4284 IS PROBABLY IN BOTH BINDERS.
10:04AM	15	A. I'VE GOT IT.
10:04AM	16	Q. OKAY. AND 4284 IS AN EMAIL CHAIN YOU CAN ALSO SEE IT
10:05AM	17	UP ON THE SCREEN THE LATTER OF WHICH IS DATED
10:05AM	18	OCTOBER 23RD, 2014.
10:05AM	19	THAT'S A MESSAGE FROM MS. HOLMES TO YOURSELF.
10:05AM	20	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:05AM	21	A. I DO.
10:05AM	22	Q. AND BELOW THAT IS A MESSAGE FROM YOU TO MS. HOLMES, AND
10:05AM	23	THE SUBJECT IS MEETING LAST FRIDAY.
10:05AM	24	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:05AM	25	A. I DO SEE THAT.

10:05AM	1	Q. AND IN THE MESSAGE YOU WROTE TO MS. HOLMES, "IT WAS GOOD
10:05AM	2	TO SEE YOU ON FRIDAY.
10:05AM	3	"OVER THE WEEKEND I HAD A CHANCE TO FILL ANDREAS IN ON THE
10:05AM	4	MEETING."
10:05AM	5	THE REFERENCE TO ANDREAS, IS THAT MR. DRACOPOULOS?
10:05AM	6	A. YES, IT IS.
10:05AM	7	Q. AND THEN YOU CONTINUED, "HOWEVER, BEFORE I HAD A CHANCE TO
10:05AM	8	DESCRIBE THE MEETING, ANDREAS STATED THAT HE SUSPECTED THAT
10:05AM	9	THREE FOUNDATION STAFF MEMBERS WHO WERE THERE WITH ME WERE NOT
10:05AM	10	ABLE TO SEE THE TRUE OPPORTUNITY AND INSTEAD WERE FOCUSSED ON
10:05AM	11	DOTTING EVERY 'I' AND CROSSING EVERY 'T.'"
10:06AM	12	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:06AM	13	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:06AM	14	Q. AND THIS WAS BEING THIS WAS REPORTED TO YOU BY
10:06AM	15	MR. DRACOPOULOS?
10:06AM	16	A. YES, IT WAS.
10:06AM	17	Q. AND YOU OBSERVED THAT IN THE MEETING; CORRECT?
10:06AM	18	A. I'M NOT, I'M NOT SURE WHAT I OBSERVED IN THE MEETING.
10:06AM	19	Q. OKAY. AND THEN YOU CONTINUED.
10:06AM	20	"NEEDLESS TO SAY, I TOLD HIM THAT WAS EXACTLY HOW IT
10:06AM	21	PROCEEDED. HE ASKED ME TO APOLOGIZE FOR NOT BEING THERE TO CUT
10:06AM	22	THROUGH THE NONSENSE."
10:06AM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:06AM	24	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:06AM	25	Q. AND, AGAIN, THAT CAME FROM MR. DRACOPOULOS?

10:06AM	1	A. YES, IT DID.
10:06AM	2	Q. "I HAVE TO FIND A WAY FOR THE TWO OF YOU TO MEET. YOU
10:06AM	3	WOULD ENJOY GETTING TO KNOW HIM AND WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY FIND HIS
10:06AM	4	CANDOR REFRESHING."
10:06AM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:06AM	6	A. I SEE THAT.
10:06AM	7	Q. AND NOW, ULTIMATELY MR. DRACOPOULOS DID INVEST HIMSELF;
10:06AM	8	CORRECT?
10:06AM	9	A. YES, HE DID.
10:06AM	10	Q. PERSONALLY?
10:06AM	11	A. YES.
10:06AM	12	Q. AND THE FOUNDATION, WHICH WAS REPRESENTED BY THESE OTHER
10:06AM	13	INDIVIDUALS, DECIDED NOT TO INVEST; CORRECT?
10:06AM	14	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:06AM	15	Q. AND IS IT CORRECT THAT THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
10:07AM	16	FOUNDATION HAD QUESTIONS REGARDING FINANCIAL DETAILS; CORRECT?
10:07AM	17	A. THEY HAD A LOT OF QUESTIONS.
10:07AM	18	Q. OKAY. AND NOT ALL OF THOSE QUESTIONS WERE ANSWERED TO
10:07AM	19	THEIR SATISFACTION; IS THAT FAIR?
10:07AM	20	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:07AM	21	Q. AND MR. DRACOPOULOS INVESTED IN THERANOS BEFORE EVER
10:07AM	22	VISITING AND MEETING MS. HOLMES; IS THAT CORRECT?
10:07AM	23	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
10:07AM	24	Q. AND YOU'RE NOT AWARE OF ANY MEETING BETWEEN
10:07AM	25	MR. DRACOPOULOS AND MR. BALWANI; CORRECT?

10:07AM	1	A. I AM NOT.
10:07AM	2	Q. NOW, WE CAN PUT UP ON THE SCREEN EXHIBIT 2065.
10:07AM	3	NOW, 2065 IS AN OCTOBER 10, 2014 EMAIL EXCHANGE,
10:08AM	4	MR. MOSLEY, THAT YOU WERE NOT A PARTY TO, INCLUDING
10:08AM	5	CHRISTIAN HOLMES RELATING TO BDT VISITORS TO WAG SATURDAY.
10:08AM	6	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:08AM	7	A. THAT'S WHAT IT INDICATES, YES.
10:08AM	8	Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER YOU WERE SHOWN THIS EMAIL IN YOUR
10:08AM	9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHENK?
10:08AM	10	DO YOU RECALL THAT?
10:08AM	11	A. YES, I WAS.
10:08AM	12	Q. OKAY. NOW, MR. MOSLEY, YOU HAVE NO IDEA WHETHER
10:08AM	13	MR. BALWANI AGREED TO ANY OF THE PROPOSALS BY MR. HOLMES
10:08AM	14	REFLECTED IN THE EMAIL; CORRECT?
10:08AM	15	A. I DO NOT.
10:08AM	16	Q. OKAY. AND YOU ALSO HAVE NO IDEA WHETHER ANYTHING
10:08AM	17	REFLECTED IN THE EMAIL ACTUALLY HAPPENED OR TOOK PLACE;
10:08AM	18	CORRECT?
10:08AM	19	A. I DO NOT.
10:08AM	20	Q. YOU CAN TAKE THAT DOWN, MR. ALLEN.
10:08AM	21	NOW, MR. MOSLEY, I THINK YOU TESTIFIED YESTERDAY THAT YOU
10:08AM	22	DID NOT ENCOURAGE YOUR CLIENTS TO INVEST IN THERANOS; IS THAT
10:08AM	23	RIGHT?
10:08AM	24	A. I DID NOT. I DID TESTIFY TO THAT EFFECT, YES.
10:08AM	25	Q. OKAY. AND DOES THAT MEAN THAT YOU YOURSELF DID NOT

10:09AM	1	RECOMMEND TO YOUR CLIENTS THAT THEY INVEST IN THERANOS?
10:09AM	2	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:09AM	3	Q. IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU,
10:09AM	4	AGAIN, EXHIBIT 14135. 14135.
10:09AM	5	A. I DON'T SEEM TO HAVE THAT. I DON'T HAVE AN EXHIBIT WITH
10:09AM	6	THAT NUMBER ON IT.
10:09AM	7	MR. CAZARES: YOUR HONOR, DOES YOUR HONOR HAVE THE
10:09AM	8	DOCUMENT?
10:09AM	9	THE COURT: NOT IN MY BINDER.
10:09AM	10	MR. CAZARES: IS IT POSSIBLE TO SHOW MR. MOSLEY JUST
10:09AM	11	THE SCREEN OF 14135?
10:09AM	12	THE COURT: DOES THE GOVERNMENT HAVE THIS?
10:09AM	13	MR. SCHENK: I DO NOT.
10:09AM	14	MR. CAZARES: HERE (HANDING). I HAVE ONE COPY.
10:10AM	15	THE COURT: THIS IS ON DISPLAY FOR THE WITNESS ONLY?
10:10AM	16	MR. CAZARES: YES.
10:10AM	17	YOUR HONOR, SHOULD I HAND UP A COPY OR DOES YOUR HONOR
10:10AM	18	HAVE THE SCREEN?
10:10AM	19	THE COURT: I HAVE THE SCREEN AS WELL.
10:10AM	20	MR. CAZARES: OKAY. I APOLOGIZE, YOUR HONOR.
10:10AM	21	Q. HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT THE EXCHANGE, MR. MOSLEY?
10:10AM	22	A. I HAVE.
10:10AM	23	Q. OKAY. THE EXHIBIT 14135 IS AN EMAIL CHAIN, THE LATTER OF
10:10AM	24	WHICH APPEARS TO BE DATED OCTOBER 31, 2014.
10:10AM	25	THAT'S A MESSAGE FROM MS. HOLMES TO YOURSELF.

10:10AM	1	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:10AM	2	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:10AM	3	Q. AND THEN WITHIN THE CHAIN, THERE'S A PRIOR EXCHANGE
10:10AM	4	BETWEEN YOURSELF AND MR. CHRISTOPHER BOIES.
10:10AM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:10AM	6	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:10AM	7	Q. AND YOUR EXCHANGE WITH MR. CHRISTOPHER BOIES RELATES TO
10:11AM	8	THAT REDEMPTION RIGHTS ISSUE; CORRECT?
10:11AM	9	A. YES, IT DOES.
10:11AM	10	MR. CAZARES: MOVE TO ADMIT 14135, YOUR HONOR.
10:11AM	11	MR. SCHENK: NO OBJECTION.
10:11AM	12	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
10:11AM	13	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 14135 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
10:11AM	14	BY MR. CAZARES:
10:11AM	15	Q. NOW, YOU DON'T SEE MR. BALWANI COPIED ON THIS MESSAGE;
10:11AM	16	CORRECT?
10:11AM	17	A. I DO NOT.
10:11AM	18	Q. OKAY. IT'S JUST YOURSELF AND MS. HOLMES IN THE LATTER
10:11AM	19	MESSAGES?
10:11AM	20	A. AND CHRISTOPHER BOIES.
10:11AM	21	Q. OKAY. AND FOCUSSING ON THE SECOND MESSAGE FROM THE TOP
10:11AM	22	FROM YOURSELF TO MR. BOIES, YOU WROTE, "CHRIS,
10:11AM	23	"I AM MORE THAN OKAY WITHOUT IT AND HOPE ELIZABETH DOES
10:11AM	24	NOT THINK IT NECESSARY."
10:11AM	25	THAT'S RELATING TO THE REDEMPTION RIGHTS ISSUE; IS THAT

10:11AM	1	CORRECT?
10:11AM	2	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:11AM	3	Q. AND THEN I WROTE, "IF I DO NOT HAVE DEEP TRUST AND FAITH
10:11AM	4	IN ELIZABETH, I WOULD NOT BE INVOLVED WITH THERANOS AND
10:12AM	5	RECOMMENDING AN INVESTMENT BY MY CLIENTS."
10:12AM	6	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:12AM	7	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:12AM	8	Q. AND THOSE ARE YOUR WORDS?
10:12AM	9	A. THOSE ARE MY WORDS.
10:12AM	10	Q. THEN YOU WROTE, "I TOLD ELIZABETH EARLY ON THAT I WAS
10:12AM	11	INCREDIBLY APPRECIATIVE TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE INVOLVED
10:12AM	12	WITH HER AND THERANOS AND I FEEL EVEN MORE SO AT THIS POINT."
10:12AM	13	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:12AM	14	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:12AM	15	Q. YOU CAN SET THAT ASIDE.
10:12AM	16	NOW, MR. MOSLEY, YESTERDAY YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU DID NOT
10:12AM	17	KNOW THAT THERE WERE SOME TESTS THAT THERANOS COULD NOT YET RUN
10:12AM	18	ON ITS FINGERSTICK TECHNOLOGY; CORRECT?
10:12AM	19	A. I DID.
10:12AM	20	Q. OKAY. NOW BUT AT SOME POINT MS. HOLMES TOLD YOU THAT
10:13AM	21	THERANOS COULD NOT DO ALL OF THE TESTS THAT PATIENTS MIGHT
10:13AM	22	ORDER; IS THAT RIGHT?
10:13AM	23	A. I DON'T REMEMBER. I DON'T REMEMBER WHETHER SHE TOLD ME,
10:13AM	24	AND IF SHE DID, I DON'T KNOW WHEN SHE WOULD HAVE TOLD ME.
10:13AM	25	BUT CERTAINLY AT THIS POINT I DID NOT KNOW THAT.

10:13AM	1	Q. AND YOU WERE TOLD BY MS. HOLMES THAT AT VARIOUS TIMES IT
10:13AM	2	COULD NOT DO ALL OF THE TESTS; CORRECT?
10:13AM	3	A. I WAS NOT TOLD THAT.
10:13AM	4	Q. OKAY. IF YOU CAN TAKE OUT THE STATEMENTS BINDER, THERE
10:13AM	5	SHOULD BE A WHITE BINDER THERE WITH IT'S VOLUME 2.
10:13AM	6	A. YOU MEAN FROM THE GOVERNMENT?
10:13AM	7	Q. FROM THE DEFENSE?
10:13AM	8	A. I HAVE A VOLUME 2. IT DOESN'T
10:13AM	9	Q. OKAY.
10:13AM	10	A SAY STATEMENTS, BUT
10:14AM	11	Q. LOOK AT EXHIBIT 28040, 28040.
10:14AM	12	A. I HAVE IT.
10:14AM	13	Q. AND GO TO PAGE 134.
10:14AM	14	YOUR HONOR, 134 AT LINES 16 TO 23.
10:14AM	15	DO YOU SEE THAT, MR. MOSLEY?
10:14AM	16	A. I DO.
10:14AM	17	MR. CAZARES: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH.
10:14AM	18	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU LAY A FOUNDATION FOR HIM?
10:14AM	19	MR. CAZARES: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:14AM	20	Q. MR. MOSLEY, YOU TESTIFIED YESTERDAY THAT YOU RECALL
10:14AM	21	TESTIFYING IN A DEPOSITION RELATING TO THERANOS A COUPLE OF
10:14AM	22	YEARS AGO; CORRECT?
10:14AM	23	A. YES, I DID.
10:14AM	24	Q. AND IN THE DEPOSITION, OF COURSE, YOU SWORE TO TELL THE
10:14AM	25	TRUTH; CORRECT?

10:14AM	1	A. I DID.
10:14AM	2	Q. AND YOU DID YOUR BEST TO TELL THE TRUTH AT THE TIME;
10:14AM	3	CORRECT?
10:14AM	4	A. I DID.
10:15AM	5	Q. AND WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT HERE IN THE TRANSCRIPT, THIS IS
10:15AM	6	A TRANSCRIPT FROM YOUR DEPOSITION; CORRECT?
10:15AM	7	A. YES.
10:15AM	8	Q. OKAY.
10:15AM	9	YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH.
10:15AM	10	THE COURT: LINES?
10:15AM	11	MR. CAZARES: 16 TO 23, AND THAT'S ALL.
10:15AM	12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
10:15AM	13	MR. CAZARES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10:15AM	14	Q. SO, MR. MOSLEY, FROM YOUR DEPOSITION YOU'LL SEE THE
10:15AM	15	QUESTION PUT TO YOU AT THE TIME WAS: "SO AT SOME POINT
10:15AM	16	ELIZABETH TOLD YOU THAT THERANOS COULDN'T DO ALL OF THE TESTS
10:15AM	17	THAT PATIENTS MIGHT ORDER; IS THAT RIGHT?"
10:15AM	18	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:15AM	19	A. I DO SEE THAT.
10:15AM	20	Q. AND THEN YOU ANSWER: "I BELIEVE I WAS TOLD THAT AT
10:15AM	21	THAT AT VARIOUS TIMES IT COULD NOT DO ALL THE TESTS."
10:15AM	22	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:15AM	23	A. YES, I DO.
10:15AM	24	Q. AND THOSE ARE YOUR WORDS?
10:15AM	25	A. THOSE ARE MY WORDS.

10:16AM	1	Q. YOU CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.
10:16AM	2	JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
10:16AM	3	MAY I HAVE A MOMENT TO CONFER, YOUR HONOR?
10:16AM	4	THE COURT: YES.
10:16AM	5	(DISCUSSION AMONGST DEFENSE COUNSEL OFF THE RECORD.)
10:16AM	6	MR. CAZARES: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
10:16AM	7	THANK YOU, MR. MOSLEY.
10:16AM	8	THE COURT: REDIRECT.
10:16AM	9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10:17AM	10	BY MR. SCHENK:
10:17AM	11	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. MOSLEY.
10:17AM	12	A. GOOD MORNING.
10:17AM	13	Q. I WANT TO FOLLOW UP ON JUST TWO LINES OF QUESTIONING THAT
10:17AM	14	THE DEFENSE COVERED WITH YOU ON CROSS-EXAMINATION.
10:17AM	15	THE FIRST IF WE COULD BRING UP EXHIBIT 3387.
10:17AM	16	YOUR HONOR, THIS WAS ADMITTED PREVIOUSLY.
10:17AM	17	AND PAGE 280.
10:17AM	18	THE COURT: YES.
10:17AM	19	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU.
10:17AM	20	Q. MR. MOSLEY, DO YOU RECALL THIS BEING ONE OF THE SLIDES
10:17AM	21	THAT WAS LOCATED WITHIN THE BINDER OF MATERIAL THAT YOU
10:17AM	22	RECEIVED FROM MS. HOLMES UNDER THE COVER LETTER THAT YOU AND I
10:17AM	23	DISCUSSED?
10:17AM	24	A. YES, I DO.
10:17AM	25	Q. AND MR. CAZARES JUST SHOWED YOU SOME PRIOR TESTIMONY ABOUT

10:17AM	1	THIS ISSUE OF SORT OF KNOWING THE NUMBER OF TESTS THAT THERANOS
10:17AM	2	WAS CAPABLE OF RUNNING.
10:17AM	3	DO YOU RECALL THAT LINE OF QUESTIONING?
10:17AM	4	A. I DO.
10:17AM	5	Q. DID THE MATERIAL THAT YOU REVIEWED BEFORE MAKING A
10:17AM	6	DISCUSSION TO INVEST INFORM YOU THAT THERANOS COULD RUN
10:18AM	7	COMPREHENSIVE TESTS?
10:18AM	8	A. YES, IT DID.
10:18AM	9	Q. EXPLAIN TO THE JURY THEN, IF YOU WOULD, WHAT YOUR
10:18AM	10	UNDERSTANDING WAS REGARDING THE CURRENT TESTING CAPABILITY OF
10:18AM	11	THERANOS BEFORE YOU MADE A DECISION TO INVEST?
10:18AM	12	A. YOU KNOW, IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IT COULD RUN ALL OF
10:18AM	13	THE TESTS THAT WERE NORMALLY PERFORMED BY VARIOUS LABS.
10:18AM	14	SO IT WAS CAPABLE OF RUNNING THE FULL SET OF TESTS THAT
10:18AM	15	LABS WERE RUNNING.
10:18AM	16	Q. AND THIS WAS WITHIN THE BINDER OF MATERIAL THAT YOU
10:18AM	17	RECEIVED; IS THAT CORRECT?
10:18AM	18	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
10:18AM	19	Q. AND I WANT TO TALK JUST BRIEFLY WITH YOU ABOUT THE SOURCES
10:18AM	20	OF INFORMATION, THAT SORT OF THE BUCKET OF INFORMATION THAT YOU
10:18AM	21	HAD WHEN YOU MADE THE DECISION TO INVEST AT THE END OF OCTOBER.
10:18AM	22	A. RIGHT.
10:18AM	23	Q. WAS THE BINDER OF MATERIAL AMONG THE INFORMATION THAT YOU
10:18AM	24	RELIED ON WHEN YOU MADE THE INVESTMENT DECISION?
10:18AM	25	A. ABSOLUTELY.

10:18AM	1	Q. AND THEN YOU COVERED WITH MR. CAZARES TWO IN-PERSON
10:18AM	2	MEETINGS IN PALO ALTO IN OCTOBER.
10:19AM	3	A. YES.
10:19AM	4	Q. WAS THE CONTENT OF THOSE MEETINGS AS WELL A SOURCE OF
10:19AM	5	INFORMATION WHEN YOU DECIDED TO INVEST?
10:19AM	6	A. YES, ABSOLUTELY.
10:19AM	7	Q. AND WAS MS. HOLMES PRESENT FOR THOSE TWO MEETINGS?
10:19AM	8	A. YES, SHE WAS.
10:19AM	9	Q. AND WAS MR. BALWANI PRESENT FOR THOSE TWO MEETINGS?
10:19AM	10	A. YES, HE WAS.
10:19AM	11	Q. YOU ALSO LOOKED AT A DOCUMENT I DON'T HAVE A COPY TO
10:19AM	12	SHOW YOU, I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE A COPY IF YOU COULD DO IT
10:19AM	13	FROM MEMORY, IT WAS 14135, THE ONE WITH CHRISTOPHER BOIES.
10:19AM	14	A. YES.
10:19AM	15	Q. YOU RECALL YOU USED THE PHRASE "RECOMMENDING INVESTMENT"?
10:19AM	16	A. YES.
10:19AM	17	Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT?
10:19AM	18	A. YES, I DID.
10:19AM	19	Q. AND SO I THINK YOU TESTIFIED, BOTH ON DIRECT AND CROSS,
10:19AM	20	THAT YOU DID NOT RECOMMEND AN INVESTMENT TO YOUR CLIENTS, AN
10:19AM	21	INVESTMENT IN THERANOS TO YOUR CLIENTS.
10:19AM	22	DO YOU RECALL THAT TESTIMONY?
10:19AM	23	A. YES, I DO.
10:19AM	24	Q. BUT IN THAT EMAIL WE SAW THE WORD "RECOMMENDING."
10:19AM	25	A. RIGHT.

10:19AM	1	Q. EXPLAIN WHAT IS GOING ON HERE?
10:19AM	2	A. YOU KNOW, OBVIOUSLY IT WASN'T A GOOD USE OF WORDS BECAUSE
10:19AM	3	I THINK, AS I'VE TESTIFIED, VARIOUS OF MY CLIENTS HAD BEEN
10:19AM	4	INTRODUCED TO THERANOS AND TO ELIZABETH HOLMES, AND THEY WERE
10:20AM	5	OFF DOING THEIR OWN DUE DILIGENCE AS EVIDENCED BY ALL OF THE
10:20AM	6	OTHER EMAILS ABOUT THEIR TRIPS OUT THERE.
10:20AM	7	YOU KNOW, CLEARLY I WAS DISCUSSING THERANOS WITH THOSE
10:20AM	8	CLIENTS. THEY WERE GOOD CLIENTS, AND PEOPLE I KNEW WELL, SO I
10:20AM	9	WAS CLEARLY DISCUSSING IT WITH THEM.
10:20AM	10	BUT, YOU KNOW, I'M A LAWYER. I'M NOT AN INVESTMENT
10:20AM	11	ADVISOR.
10:20AM	12	I DON'T THINK ANY RECOMMENDATION I WOULD MAKE WOULD I
10:20AM	13	WOULD NOT MAKE A RECOMMENDATION ON AN INVESTMENT.
10:20AM	14	Q. THANK YOU.
10:20AM	15	SO, BOTTOM LINE, DID YOU RECOMMEND THAT YOUR CLIENTS
10:20AM	16	INVEST IN THERANOS?
10:20AM	17	A. I DID NOT.
10:20AM	18	Q. THANK YOU.
10:20AM	19	NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
10:20AM	20	THE COURT: RECROSS?
10:20AM	21	MR. CAZARES: NO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10:20AM	22	THE COURT: MAY THIS WITNESS BE EXCUSED?
10:20AM	23	MR. CAZARES: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:20AM	24	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:20AM	25	THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. YOU MAY BE EXCUSED.

10:20AM	1	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE IT.
10:20AM	2	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
10:21AM	3	DOES THE GOVERNMENT HAVE AN ADDITIONAL WITNESS TO CALL?
10:21AM	4	MR. BOSTIC: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10:21AM	5	THE UNITED STATES CALLED ALAN EISENMAN.
10:21AM	6	THE COURT: SIR, IF YOU WOULD COME FORWARD AND STAND
10:21AM	7	JUST THERE WHILE YOU FACE OUR COURTROOM DEPUTY WITH YOUR RIGHT
10:22AM	8	HAND RAISED, SHE HAS A QUESTION FOR YOU.
10:22AM	9	(GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, ALAN EISENMAN, WAS SWORN.)
10:22AM	10	THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.
10:22AM	11	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
10:22AM	12	THE WITNESS: YOU'RE WELCOME.
10:22AM	13	THE COURT: PLEASE HAVE A SEAT HERE, SIR, AND MAKE
10:22AM	14	YOURSELF COMFORTABLE.
10:22AM	15	FEEL FREE TO ADJUST THE CHAIR AND THE MICROPHONE AS YOU
10:22AM	16	NEED.
10:22AM	17	WHEN YOU ARE COMFORTABLE, WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME
10:22AM	18	AND THEN SPELL IT, PLEASE.
10:22AM	19	THE WITNESS: MASK ON OR OFF?
10:22AM	20	THE COURT: ARE YOU FULLY VACCINATED, SIR?
10:22AM	21	THE WITNESS: I AM.
10:22AM	22	THE COURT: YOU MAY TAKE IT OFF.
10:22AM	23	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
10:22AM	24	ALAN JAY EISENMAN.
10:22AM	25	THE COURT: AND IF YOU WOULD SPELL IT, PLEASE.

10:22AM	1	THE WITNESS: A-L-A-N, J-A-Y, E-I-S-E-N-M-A-N.
10:22AM	2	THE COURT: THANK YOU. COUNSEL.
10:23AM	3	MR. BOSTIC: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10:23AM	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10:23AM	5	BY MR. BOSTIC:
10:23AM	6	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. EISENMAN.
10:23AM	7	A. GOOD MORNING.
10:23AM	8	MR. BOSTIC: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?
10:23AM	9	THE COURT: YES.
10:23AM	10	MR. BOSTIC: (HANDING.)
10:23AM	11	Q. MR. EISENMAN, I'VE JUST HANDED YOU A DOCUMENT OF SOME
10:23AM	12	MATERIALS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH YOU THIS MORNING.
10:23AM	13	BUT FIRST LET ME ASK YOU SOME PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS.
10:23AM	14	WERE YOU AT ONE TIME AN INVESTOR IN A COMPANY CALLED
10:23AM	15	THERANOS?
10:23AM	16	A. YES.
10:23AM	17	Q. LET ME GET SOME BACKGROUND ABOUT YOU BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT
10:23AM	18	YOUR DEALINGS WITH THE COMPANY.
10:23AM	19	FIRST OF ALL, WHERE DO YOU LIVE?
10:23AM	20	A. HOUSTON, TEXAS.
10:23AM	21	Q. AND ARE YOU CURRENTLY EMPLOYED?
10:23AM	22	A. I'M NOT.
10:23AM	23	Q. WHEN YOU WERE EMPLOYED, WHAT WAS YOUR PROFESSION?
10:23AM	24	A. EARLY IN MY CAREER I DID SOME ESTATE AND TAX LEGAL WORK; I
10:23AM	25	DID SOME ACCOUNTING WORK.

10:23AM	1	LATER IN MY CAREER I GOT A SERIES 7 AND BECAME A WEALTH
10:23AM	2	MANAGER AND WAS INVOLVED IN SECURITIES WITH CLIENTS WITH
10:24AM	3	INVESTMENTS, PUBLIC I'M SORRY, PUBLIC INVESTMENTS WITH
10:24AM	4	CLIENTS.
10:24AM	5	Q. AND WERE YOU AN INVESTMENT ADVISOR?
10:24AM	6	A. NO, I WAS NOT AN RIA, REGISTERED INVESTMENT ADVISOR, BUT I
10:24AM	7	WAS A CFP, A CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER.
10:24AM	8	Q. AND WHEN DID YOU RETIRE FROM THAT WORK?
10:24AM	9	A. ABOUT FIVE OR SIX YEARS AGO.
10:24AM	10	Q. CAN YOU GIVE US A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR EDUCATIONAL
10:24AM	11	BACKGROUND BEFORE YOU STARTED WORKING IN THOSE ROLES?
10:24AM	12	A. SURE.
10:24AM	13	I DID MY UNDERGRADUATE WORK AT UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT
10:24AM	14	AUSTIN.
10:24AM	15	MY DEGREE WAS IN A PROGRAM CALLED PLAN 2, WHICH IS A
10:24AM	16	LIBERAL ARTS HONORS PROGRAM, AND IT WAS PRIMARILY FOR PEOPLE
10:24AM	17	WHO WERE DOING PRELAW, PREMED.
10:24AM	18	I WAS PRELAW AT THE TIME.
10:24AM	19	I WENT TO LAW SCHOOL IN 1977 TO 1980 AT UNIVERSITY OF
10:24AM	20	HOUSTON LAW SCHOOL.
10:24AM	21	THAT THE EXTENT OF MY EDUCATION.
10:24AM	22	Q. AND YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HAD DONE SOME LEGAL WORK. DID
10:25AM	23	YOU EVER PRACTICE AS A LAWYER?
10:25AM	24	A. YES, I DID.
10:25AM	25	Q. AND APPROXIMATELY FOR HOW LONG?

10:25AM	1	A. JUST MY FIRST FEW YEARS OUT OF LAW SCHOOL I DID SOME
10:25AM	2	ESTATE PLANNING AND TAX WORK, TRUSTS, WILLS, TAX RETURNS.
10:25AM	3	BY THE WAY, MY FIRST YEAR OUT OF LAW SCHOOL, I DID A YEAR
10:25AM	4	OF TAX WORK AT COOPERS & LYBRAND. THEY WERE WHAT WAS CALLED
10:25AM	5	THE BIG EIGHT ACCOUNTING FIRMS. THAT WAS ONE OF THE BIG EIGHT
10:25AM	6	ACCOUNTING FIRMS.
10:25AM	7	Q. AND IN THAT ROLE, WERE YOU WORKING AS AN ACCOUNTANT?
10:25AM	8	A. I WAS WORKING AS AN ACCOUNTANT, BUT NOT A CPA, BECAUSE THE
10:25AM	9	REQUIREMENT IN TEXAS IS THAT YOU HAD TO HAVE A YEAR OF
10:25AM	10	EXPERIENCE BEFORE YOU COULD SIT FOR THE CPA EXAM.
10:25AM	11	Q. DID YOU EVER BECOME A CPA?
10:25AM	12	A. I DID.
10:25AM	13	Q. IN THE 2005, 2006 TIME PERIOD, WHERE WERE YOU EMPLOYED?
10:25AM	14	A. I WAS SELF-EMPLOYED AT THAT TIME PERIOD.
10:26AM	15	Q. AND WHAT KIND OF WORK WERE YOU DOING IN 2005, 2006?
10:26AM	16	A. I WAS PRIMARILY INVESTING IN LISTED SECURITIES FOR
10:26AM	17	CLIENTS.
10:26AM	18	Q. IN ADDITION TO THAT, DID YOU ALSO ENGAGE IN SOME
10:26AM	19	INVESTMENT ACTIVITY OF PERSONAL OR FAMILY MONEY?
10:26AM	20	A. YES, I DID.
10:26AM	21	Q. AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE OF A COMPANY CALLED
10:26AM	22	THERANOS?
10:26AM	23	A. IT WAS IN THAT 2005, 2006 PERIOD.
10:26AM	24	Q. PRIOR TO THAT TIME PERIOD, HAD YOU HAD ANY EXPERIENCE IN
10:26AM	25	INVESTING IN SCIENCE OR BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANIES?

10:26AM	1	A. NO.
10:26AM	2	Q. AND HOW DID YOU FIRST HEAR ABOUT THERANOS?
10:26AM	3	A. I HAD A FRIEND THAT WAS THE WEALTH MANAGER FOR ELIZABETH'S
10:26AM	4	PARENTS AND THEY WERE DOING AN EARLY ROUND. THIS WAS THEIR
10:27AM	5	SECOND SEED ROUND.
10:27AM	6	HE HAD PARTICIPATED IN THE FIRST, AND HE HAD A GROUP OF
10:27AM	7	FRIENDS THAT WERE INVESTING IN THE EARLY SEED ROUNDS.
10:27AM	8	Q. AND JUST TO FOLLOW UP ON TWO THINGS.
10:27AM	9	YOU SAID ELIZABETH. ARE YOU REFERRING TO
10:27AM	10	ELIZABETH HOLMES?
10:27AM	11	A. YES.
10:27AM	12	Q. AND YOU SAID THAT AT THE TIME THAT YOU UNDERSTOOD THE
10:27AM	13	COMPANY WAS DOING A ROUND.
10:27AM	14	CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THAT MEANS?
10:27AM	15	A. YES. COMPANIES HAVE TO DO FUND RAISING TO EXIST, AND THEY
10:27AM	16	HAD RAISED MONEY I BELIEVE IN 2004. I WASN'T AWARE OF THE
10:27AM	17	COMPANY AT THAT POINT.
10:27AM	18	BUT THEY WERE DOING A SECOND ROUND, RAISING MORE MONEY TO
10:27AM	19	GIVE THEM MORE WHAT IS CALLED RUNWAY. IT GIVES THEM MORE TIME
10:27AM	20	TO DEVELOP.
10:27AM	21	AND I WAS MADE AWARE OF THE COMPANY AND INVESTED IN THE
10:27AM	22	SECOND ROUND IN 2006.
10:27AM	23	Q. BEFORE YOU INVESTED IN THERANOS IN 2006, DID YOU HAVE THE
10:27AM	24	OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH ELIZABETH HOLMES?
10:27AM	25	A. YES, I DID.

10:27AM	1	Q. AND WHAT DO YOU RECALL ABOUT THOSE CONVERSATIONS
10:28AM	2	GENERALLY?
10:28AM	3	A. AT THE TIME
10:28AM	4	MS. WALSH: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THIS GOES WE
10:28AM	5	PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED THIS, THIS TIME PERIOD THAT IS OUTSIDE OF
10:28AM	6	THE RELEVANT TIME PERIOD.
10:28AM	7	WE HAVE WE WILL BE OBJECTING TO THOSE QUESTIONS, OR
10:28AM	8	MAYBE WE CAN LODGE A STANDING OBJECTION TO THIS TIME PERIOD.
10:28AM	9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
10:28AM	10	MR. BOSTIC, IS THIS FOUNDATIONAL?
10:28AM	11	MR. BOSTIC: IT IS, YOUR HONOR.
10:28AM	12	I THINK ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT HE GAINED LEADING UP
10:28AM	13	TO THE SECOND INVESTMENT THAT WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT IS
10:28AM	14	RELEVANT TO HIS DECISION MAKING.
10:28AM	15	THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS NOTED. IT'S OVERRULED.
10:28AM	16	AND THIS IS FOUNDATIONAL FOR SUBSEQUENT CONDUCT.
10:28AM	17	MR. BOSTIC: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10:28AM	18	THE COURT: SO WHY DON'T YOU ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN?
10:28AM	19	BY MR. BOSTIC:
10:28AM	20	Q. THE QUESTION WAS, MR. EISENMAN, YOU REFERRED TO
10:28AM	21	CONVERSATIONS THAT YOU HAD WITH MS. HOLMES AROUND THE TIME OF
10:28AM	22	THAT 2006 INVESTMENT.
10:28AM	23	DO YOU RECALL THOSE CONVERSATIONS?
10:28AM	24	A. I DO, YES.
10:28AM	25	Q. AND GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT DID MS. HOLMES TELL YOU ABOUT

1 10:28AM 2 10:28AM 3 10:29AM 4 10:29AM 10:29AM 5 6 10:29AM 10:29AM 8 10:29AM 9 10:29AM 10 10:29AM 10:29AM 11 12 10:29AM 13 10:29AM 14 10:29AM 15 10:29AM 16 10:29AM 17 10:29AM 18 10:29AM 10:30AM 19 20 10:30AM 21 10:30AM 22 10:30AM 23 10:30AM 24 10:30AM

25

10:30AM

THERANOS AT THAT TIME?

SHE TOLD ME THAT THEY CURRENTLY HAD CONTRACTS WITH FOUR MAJOR INTERNATIONAL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES.

SHE TOLD ME THAT THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE SIGNIFICANCE REVENUE GROWTH OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS, I BELIEVE IT WAS SOMEWHERE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 40 TO \$50 MILLION IN REVENUE THE VERY NEXT YEAR AFTER THIS ROUND, AND SHE ANTICIPATED \$200 TO \$300 MILLION IN REVENUE THE SECOND YEAR AFTER THIS ROUND.

SHE ALSO SAID THAT LARRY ELLISON, WHO WAS A CREDIBLE BUSINESS PERSON, WAS HER ADVISOR, WAS INVESTING IN THESE ROUNDS, AND THAT HE WAS ONE OF HER MENTORS.

- AND AROUND THAT TIME PERIOD, DID YOU CONTINUE TO HAVE ADDITIONAL CONVERSATIONS WITH MS. HOLMES?
- Α. YES.
- HOW ACCESSIBLE WAS MS. HOLMES TO YOU AROUND THAT TIME Ο. PERIOD?
- A. VERY ACCESSIBLE.

FOR THE FIRST TWO OR THREE YEARS, WE SCHEDULED AND HAD A QUARTERLY UPDATE CALL. SOMETIMES IT WAS ME. SOMETIMES IT WAS OUR GROUP OF HOUSTON INVESTORS.

OCCASIONALLY THERE WOULD BE AN ISSUE WHERE WE WOULD REACH OUT TO HER IN ADDITION TO THE QUARTERLY CALLS, AND SHE WAS VERY ACCESSIBLE.

Q. AND HOW DID YOU CONTACT MS. HOLMES? WHAT CONTACT INFORMATION DID YOU HAVE FOR HER?

WE HAD HER PERSONAL CELL NUMBER. 1 Α. 10:30AM AND, GENERALLY SPEAKING, WAS SHE RESPONSIVE TO YOUR 2 Q. 10:30AM INQUIRIES DURING THAT TIME PERIOD? 3 10:30AM 4 Α. YES, SHE WAS. 10:30AM WHAT CAN YOU TELL ME ABOUT, FROM YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH 10:30AM MS. HOLMES, WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE BUSINESS THAT 10:30AM THE COMPANY WAS IN AND WHAT KIND OF TECHNOLOGY THE COMPANY HAD? 10:30AM AND THIS IS, AGAIN, BACK IN THE 2006 TIMEFRAME. 8 10:30AM THEY HAD A TECHNOLOGY THAT WOULD TAKE A MUCH SMALLER 9 Α. 10:30AM SAMPLE OF BLOOD THAN CONVENTIONAL TECHNOLOGY, COULD RUN A 10 10:30AM MULTIPLE OF TESTS AT THE SAME TIME, AND GET RESULTS BACK WITHIN 10:30AM 11 12 A PERIOD OF HOURS. 10:30AM 10:30AM 13 AND FROM YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH MS. HOLMES, WHAT DID YOU Ο. 14 UNDERSTAND ABOUT THE STATE OF THE TECHNOLOGY, HOW FAR ALONG IT 10:31AM WAS? 15 10:31AM WE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED AT THE EARLY 16 10:31AM 17 STAGE; THAT IT WAS BEING ACCEPTED IN THE MARKETPLACE. 10:31AM 18 THERE WAS SOME TESTING GOING ON WITH PHARMACEUTICAL 10:31AM 10:31AM 19 COMPANIES. IT WAS BEING USED FOR BLOOD TESTING, BLOOD DOSING, 20 CHECKING ADVERSE REACTIONS WHEN YOU HAVE MULTIPLE PRODUCTS IN A 10:31AM 21 BODY. 10:31AM 22 WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING ABOUT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT 10:31AM STILL HAD TO HAPPEN WITH THE TECHNOLOGY, IF YOU HAD AN 23 10:31AM 24 UNDERSTANDING? 10:31AM 25 A. YEAH. WELL, LIKE ANYTHING ELSE, LIKE THE IPHONE OR 10:31AM

10:31AM	1	ANYTHING ELSE, IT WAS AN EARLY STAGE, BUT APPARENTLY IT WAS AT
10:31AM	2	A STAGE WHERE IT WAS SUCCESSFUL IN WORKING, BUT IT WAS GOING TO
10:31AM	3	BE DEVELOPED AND IMPROVED.
10:31AM	4	Q. AND AS AN INVESTOR LOOKING INTO A COMPANY LIKE THIS, WAS
10:31AM	5	THE STATE OF THE TECHNOLOGY AN IMPORTANT FACT FOR YOU?
10:32AM	6	A. YES.
10:32AM	7	Q. HOW DID YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS WAS EARLY STAGE
10:32AM	8	TECHNOLOGY AFFECT YOUR VIEW OF THE RISK OF THE INVESTMENT?
10:32AM	9	A. UM, FOR AN EARLY STAGE COMPANY, I ASSESSED THE RISK BEING
10:32AM	10	LOWER THAN MOST EARLY STAGE COMPANIES BECAUSE THEY HAD A
10:32AM	11	TECHNOLOGY, IT HAD MARKET ACCEPTANCE, IT WAS BEING USED BY FOUR
10:32AM	12	INTERNATIONAL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES.
10:32AM	13	THERE WAS THE CREDIBLE BOARD MEMBER ADVISOR,
10:32AM	14	LARRY ELLISON.
10:32AM	15	AND IN THAT EARLY CONVERSATION BEFORE WE MADE OUR FIRST
10:32AM	16	INVESTMENT, A PROJECTION OF \$200 TO \$300 MILLION IN REVENUES,
10:32AM	17	ONLY TWO YEARS AFTER WE WERE PUTTING MAKING OUR INVESTMENT.
10:32AM	18	AND THE WHOLE VALUE OF THE COMPANY AT THIS STAGE WAS
10:32AM	19	\$150 MILLION, AND IN TWO YEARS WE WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION,
10:33AM	20	FROM OUR CONVERSATION WITH ELIZABETH, THAT SHE THOUGHT THEY
10:33AM	21	WERE GOING TO GENERATE \$200 TO \$300 MILLION IN REVENUES IN TWO
10:33AM	22	YEARS. THAT WAS A VERY, VERY RARE INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITY.
10:33AM	23	Q. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT FACTS YOU BELIEVED TO BE TRUE AT THE
10:33AM	24	TIME ABOUT THE COMPANY?
10:33AM	25	A. YES.

10:33AM	1	Q. AND WHAT WAS YOUR SOURCE OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY
10:33AM	2	DURING THIS TIME PERIOD?
	3	A. ELIZABETH HOLMES.
10:33AM	3	
10:33AM	4	Q. DURING YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH MS. HOLMES, DID YOU TAKE
10:33AM	5	NOTES?
10:33AM	6	A. I DID.
10:33AM	7	Q. AND HAVE YOU REVIEWED THOSE NOTES SUBSEQUENT, IN BETWEEN
10:33AM	8	WHEN THOSE CONVERSATIONS HAPPENED AND TESTIFYING TODAY?
10:33AM	9	A. YES, I HAVE.
10:33AM	10	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER MS. HOLMES TELLING YOU DURING THAT TIME
10:33AM	11	PERIOD ANYTHING ABOUT THE COMPANY AND ITS PROFITABILITY?
10:33AM	12	A. WHICH TIME PERIOD? ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT BEFORE MY FIRST
10:33AM	13	INVESTMENT OR THE 2006, '07, '08?
10:34AM	14	Q. LET'S TALK GENERALLY ABOUT THE 2006 TO 2008 TIME PERIOD.
10:34AM	15	A. OKAY. YES, I DO.
10:34AM	16	I RECALL THAT THEY HAD ONE ANNUAL MEETING IN THE WHOLE
10:34AM	17	HISTORY OF THE COMPANY THAT I TOOK NOTES, AND IN THAT ANNUAL
10:34AM	18	MEETING THEY WERE DOING SOME MULTIPLE TESTS WITH BRISTOL MYERS
10:34AM	19	I REMEMBER, QUOTE-UNQUOTE; THE TESTS WERE FLAWLESS, IT WAS LIKE
10:34AM	20	A 747 TAKING OFF.
10:34AM	21	THERE WAS AN IMPRESSION AFTER THAT ANNUAL MEETING, THE
10:34AM	22	YEAR OR SO AFTER WE INVESTED, THAT THEY WERE GAINING MARKET
10:34AM	23	ACCEPTANCE.
10:34AM	24	THE OTHER THING THAT CAME FROM THAT MEETING AND OTHER
10:34AM	25	CONVERSATIONS WAS THAT THEY WERE ALSO CAPTURING VALUABLE DATA

10:34AM	1	DOING THESE STUDIES WITH PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES, AND THEY
10:34AM	2	WERE GOING TO HAVE A SECOND SOURCE OF REVENUE, NOT ONLY THE
10:34AM	3	TESTING ITSELF, BUT THE DATA THAT THEY WERE CAPTURING, THEY
10:34AM	4	WOULD BE ABLE TO SELL THAT AND THAT WOULD POTENTIALLY BE
10:34AM	5	ANOTHER EQUAL SOURCE OF REVENUE.
10:34AM	6	Q. AND I THINK I FORGOT TO ASK YOU, WHEN YOU DID INVEST IN
10:34AM	7	2006, WHAT WAS THE APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF THAT INVESTMENT?
10:34AM	8	A. ABOUT \$1,200,000.
10:35AM	9	Q. IN THE TIME PERIOD WE'RE TALKING ABOUT FOLLOWING THAT
10:35AM	10	FIRST INVESTMENT, APPROXIMATELY HOW FREQUENTLY DID YOU HAVE
10:35AM	11	CALLS WITH MS. HOLMES?
10:35AM	12	A. I'M SORRY, IN WHICH TIME PERIOD?
10:35AM	13	Q. SO FOLLOWING YOUR 2006 INVESTMENT. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT
10:35AM	14	2006 THROUGH 2010.
10:35AM	15	A. WE WOULD HAVE QUARTERLY UPDATE CALLS, FOR THE FIRST TWO OR
10:35AM	16	THREE YEARS, EVERY QUARTER. AND OCCASIONALLY WE WOULD REACH
10:35AM	17	OUT TO HER AND GET AN UPDATE ON SOMETHING THAT CAME TO OUR
10:35AM	18	ATTENTION.
10:35AM	19	AND THEN THERE WAS ALSO ONE PERSONAL VISIT A COUPLE OF
10:35AM	20	YEARS AFTER WE INVESTED. WE WERE IN CALIFORNIA FOR MY HIGH
10:35AM	21	SCHOOL SON'S SPRING BREAK TRIP AND WE PAID A VISIT TO HER AND
10:35AM	22	HER HEADQUARTERS.
10:35AM	23	Q. AND APPROXIMATELY WHAT YEAR WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN?
10:35AM	24	A. 2008, 2009.

Q. DURING THOSE DISCUSSIONS, WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER MS. HOLMES

10:35AM 25

10:36AM	1	TELLING YOU ABOUT PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT AND THE STATE OF THE
10:36AM	2	COMPANY'S ANALYZER DEVICE?
10:36AM	3	A. WE WERE ALWAYS LEFT WITH THE IMPRESSION THAT THE
10:36AM	4	TECHNOLOGY WORKED; THAT IT HAD MARKET ACCEPTANCE,
10:36AM	5	PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES WERE USING THIS TECHNOLOGY.
10:36AM	6	SO WE WERE ALWAYS LEFT WITH THE IMPRESSION THAT THIS WAS A
10:36AM	7	TECHNOLOGY THAT WAS WORKING.
10:36AM	8	Q. AND WHEN YOU VISITED THERANOS HEADQUARTERS DURING THE
10:36AM	9	SPRING BREAK TRIP, DO YOU RECALL THAT VISIT GENERALLY?
10:36AM	10	A. YES.
10:36AM	11	Q. WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER SEEING WITHIN THE COMPANY AT THAT
10:36AM	12	TIME?
10:36AM	13	A. I REMEMBER SEEING THE READERS THAT THEY WOULD PUT THE
10:36AM	14	BLOOD SAMPLES IN, AND I REMEMBER SEEING THE MACHINES THAT THE
10:36AM	15	READERS WOULD GO IN THAT WOULD READ THE BLOOD SAMPLES.
10:36AM	16	Q. AND CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE APPEARANCE OF WHAT YOU SAW. HOW
10:36AM	17	BIG WAS IT? WHAT DID IT LOOK LIKE?
10:36AM	18	A. MY RECOLLECTION, IF I CAN USE MY HANDS, WERE THE READERS
10:36AM	19	WERE SO BIG, AND IT HAD SPOTS TO, YOU KNOW, PUT THE BLOOD IN,
10:37AM	20	AND MY RECOLLECTION THAT THE MACHINE THAT READ THE READERS WERE
10:37AM	21	THE SIZE OF COMPUTERS AT THAT TIME (INDICATING).
10:37AM	22	Q. OKAY. SO JUST FOR THE RECORD, I THINK THE DEVICE THAT THE
10:37AM	23	BLOOD WENT IN YOU DESCRIBED AS BEING MAYBE FOUR INCHES OR SO;
10:37AM	24	IS THAT CORRECT?
10:37AM	25	A. THE SAMPLE WENT INTO SOMETHING THAT WAS MAYBE

10:37AM	1	THREE-QUARTERS OF AN INCH TO AN INCH BY THREE OR FOUR INCHES
10:37AM	2	AND THIN.
10:37AM	3	Q. AND THIN YOU SAID?
10:37AM	4	A. AND THIN.
10:37AM	5	Q. AND THE ANALYZER DEVICE ITSELF WAS THE SIZE OF A COMPUTER.
10:37AM	6	CAN YOU ESTIMATE THE DIMENSIONS FOR US, PLEASE?
10:37AM	7	A. MAYBE TWO, TWO AND A HALF FEET BY TWO, TWO AND A HALF
10:37AM	8	FEET.
10:37AM	9	Q. AND WHAT DID MS. HOLMES TELL YOU ABOUT WHO HAD DEVELOPED
10:37AM	10	AND MANUFACTURED THAT ANALYZER THAT YOU WERE LOOKING AT?
10:37AM	11	A. I DON'T RECALL IF SHE SPECIFICALLY SAID WHO DEVELOPED IT,
10:38AM	12	BUT WE WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THAT WAS PROPRIETARY
10:38AM	13	TECHNOLOGY, THAT IT WAS ALL DEVELOPED IN HOUSE.
10:38AM	14	Q. AT ANY TIME DURING THAT VISIT, DID MS. HOLMES SHOW YOU OR
10:38AM	15	MENTION A THIRD PARTY, NON-THERANOS BLOOD ANALYZER?
10:38AM	16	A. NO.
10:38AM	17	Q. DURING THOSE CONVERSATIONS IN 2006 THROUGH 2010 OR SO, DID
10:38AM	18	MS. HOLMES EVER MENTION TO YOU THE POSSIBILITY OF AN IPO AT THE
10:38AM	19	COMPANY?
10:38AM	20	A. YES.
10:38AM	21	Q. AND WHAT DID SHE
10:38AM	22	A. I RECALL THAT.
10:38AM	23	Q. I'M SORRY. I DON'T MEAN TO TALK OVER YOU.
10:38AM	24	MS. WALSH: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
10:38AM	25	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME. EXCUSE ME.

10:38AM	1	THE WITNESS: OH.
10:38AM	2	MS. WALSH: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
10:38AM	3	MR. BOSTIC: SO THE DEFENSE HAS ASKED I THINK A
10:38AM	4	COUPLE OF WITNESSES NOW ABOUT STATEMENTS AND THEIR INTENTIONS
10:38AM	5	ABOUT BEING LONG-TERM PARTNERS.
10:38AM	6	I THINK THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENTS ABOUT THE IPO
10:38AM	7	POSSIBILITY AND THE LIQUIDITY EVENT ARE RELEVANT.
10:39AM	8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION.
10:39AM	9	DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION?
10:39AM	10	THE WITNESS: YES.
10:39AM	11	WE WERE TOLD BEFORE OUR FIRST INVESTMENT THAT AN IPO WAS A
10:39AM	12	REASONABLE ASSUMPTION A FEW YEARS DOWN THE ROAD, AND IN THE
10:39AM	13	EARLY YEARS, 2006, 2007, 2008, WE WERE TOLD THAT AN IPO WAS A
10:39AM	14	REASONABLE ASSUMPTION WITHIN A YEAR OR TWO.
10:39AM	15	AND WHEN THAT YEAR OR TWO PASSED, WE WERE TOLD THAT IT'S A
10:39AM	16	REASONABLE ASSUMPTION WITHIN A YEAR OR TWO.
10:39AM	17	AND IN MY NOTES THERE WAS, THERE WAS, THERE WAS ONE TIME
10:39AM	18	WHEN IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE POTENTIALLY 2008 AND THEN 2009, AND
10:39AM	19	IN ONE CONVERSATION DEFINITELY BY 2011.
10:39AM	20	BY MR. BOSTIC:
10:39AM	21	Q. AND THESE WERE ALL STATEMENTS BY WHOM?
10:39AM	22	A. BY MS. HOLMES.
10:39AM	23	Q. AND WAS THE POSSIBILITY AND TIMING OF AN IPO SOMETHING
10:39AM	24	THAT MATTERED TO YOU AS AN INVESTOR?
10:39AM	25	A. YES.

10:39AM	1	Q. AND WHY IS THAT?
10:39AM	2	A. BECAUSE YOU AT SOME POINT YOU WANT TO HARVEST YOUR
10:40AM	3	INVESTMENTS, AND WITH AN IPO THAT MEANS THAT THERE'S A PUBLIC
10:40AM	4	MARKET AND YOU CAN MAKE A DECISION THERE THEN TO POSSIBLY SELL
10:40AM	5	SOME OR ALL OF THE INVESTMENT.
10:40AM	6	Q. GOING BACK TO THE STATE OF THE TECHNOLOGY OVER THOSE YEARS
10:40AM	7	AND WHAT MS. HOLMES SAID ON THAT.
10:40AM	8	DO YOU RECALL HER MENTIONING CARTRIDGES TO YOU AT ANY
10:40AM	9	POINT?
10:40AM	10	A. YES.
10:40AM	11	Q. AND WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT A CARTRIDGE MEANT
10:40AM	12	IN CONNECTION WITH THERANOS?
10:40AM	13	A. A CARTRIDGE WAS THE DEVICE WHERE YOU WOULD PUT THE BLOOD
10:40AM	14	SAMPLE AND THEN YOU WOULD PUT THE CARTRIDGE IN THE READER.
10:40AM	15	Q. AND DURING THE YEARS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, 2006 THROUGH
10:40AM	16	2010 OR SO, DID MS. HOLMES MAKE ANY STATEMENTS TO YOU ABOUT THE
10:40AM	17	NUMBERS OF CARTRIDGES THAT THERANOS WAS PRODUCING?
10:40AM	18	A. YES, SHE DID.
10:40AM	19	Q. WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT THAT?
10:40AM	20	A. THEY WERE PRODUCING ABOUT 400,000 CARTRIDGES A MONTH,
10:40AM	21	BECAUSE THAT WAS THE THE DEMAND WAS A MILLION CARTRIDGES A
10:40AM	22	MONTH.
10:40AM	23	THEY WERE GEARING UP, BY THE END OF THE YEAR, TO PRODUCE A
10:41AM	24	MILLION CARTRIDGES A MONTH.
10:41AM	25	AND SHE TOLD ME THAT THEY EXPECTED THE DEMAND TO GROW TO

TWO MILLION CARTRIDGES A MONTH THE VERY NEXT YEAR. 1 10:41AM EACH OF THOSE CARTRIDGES COULD DO I BELIEVE IT WAS FIVE 2 10:41AM TESTS, AND EACH OF THOSE TESTS WOULD SELL FOR ABOUT \$30. 3 10:41AM 4 SO WHETHER YOU PUT A PEN TO INK AND DO THE CALCULATION, 10:41AM THE CURRENT DEMAND AND THE DEMAND THAT SHE SAID WAS HAPPENING 10:41AM 5 THIS YEAR AND THE NEXT YEAR WAS POTENTIALLY TENS OR HUNDREDS OF 6 10:41AM MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OF REVENUE. 10:41AM AND WHEN MS. HOLMES WAS TELLING YOU ABOUT THESE CARTRIDGE 8 10:41AM 9 NUMBERS, WERE THOSE STATEMENTS LIMITED TO PROJECTIONS ABOUT THE 10:41AM FUTURE, OR DID THEY INCLUDE STATEMENTS ABOUT WHAT THE COMPANY 10 10:41AM WAS DOING AT THAT MOMENT? 10:41AM 11 12 NO, THEY WERE BOTH CURRENT AND FUTURE. AND I BELIEVE I'VE 10:41AM 13 GOT ONE IN MY NOTES THAT IT WAS CURRENT PRODUCTION AND DEMAND 10:41AM FOR 400,000 GROWING TO A MILLION. THE 400,000 WAS CURRENT. 14 10:41AM I'D LIKE TO SHIFT NOW TO THE TIME PERIOD OF 2010 AND THE 15 10:41AM YEARS FOLLOWING THAT. OKAY? 16 10:42AM 17 IN EARLY 2010, DO YOU RECALL A CONVERSATION WITH 10:42AM 18 MS. HOLMES ABOUT WHETHER THE COMPANY WAS SCALING OR NOT? 10:42AM 10:42AM 19 I WOULD HAVE TO CHECK MY NOTES. 20 OKAY. I'LL DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO THE NOTES IN FRONT OF 10:42AM 21 YOU. WOULD REVIEWING THOSE NOTES HELP REFRESH YOUR 10:42AM 22 RECOLLECTION? 10:42AM 23 Α. YES. 10:42AM 24 Q. IN THE BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU, IF YOU'LL TURN TO TAB 14, 10:42AM 25 AND I'LL DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 15. THEY'RE NUMBERED AT 10:42AM

THE BOTTOM. 1 10:42AM WHEN YOU GET TO PAGE 15, TAKE A MOMENT TO REVIEW THAT PAGE 2 10:42AM AND READ IT TO YOURSELF, PLEASE, AND THEN I'LL ASK YOU IF IT 3 10:42AM 4 REFRESHES YOUR MEMORY. 10:42AM (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 10:43AM THE WITNESS: OKAY. 10:43AM BY MR. BOSTIC: 10:43AM HAS REVIEWING THOSE NOTES REFRESHED YOUR MEMORY ABOUT A 8 Q. 10:43AM CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH MS. HOLMES ABOUT SCALING? 9 10:43AM 10 YES. 10:43AM Α. AND WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER MS. HOLMES TELLING YOU ABOUT 10:43AM 11 Q. 12 WHETHER THE COMPANY WAS SCALING IN 2010? 10:43AM 10:43AM 13 THAT THEY WERE HAVING CONVERSATIONS WITH RETAILERS; THAT 14 THERE WAS A POTENTIAL TO HAVE LABS, MINILABS, I DON'T KNOW WHAT 10:43AM THEY CALLED THEM AT THE TIME, IN RETAILERS; THAT THE MARKET WAS 15 10:43AM 16 HUGE; THAT THEY HAD A BETTER PRODUCT THAN THE TWO LEADING 10:44AM 17 COMPANIES, QUEST AND LABCORP; THAT THEY WERE GOING TO GO AFTER 10:44AM 18 THE QUEST AND LABCORP BUSINESS. 10:44AM 19 THEY WERE ALSO HAVING CONVERSATIONS WITH DIFFERENT 10:44AM 20 GOVERNMENTS THAT WERE HAVING DIFFERENT ISSUES; THEY WERE HAVING 10:44AM 21 CONVERSATIONS WITH THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT. 10:44AM 22 AND THERE WERE DIFFERENT TYPES OF DISEASES IN DIFFERENT 10:44AM PARTS OF THE COUNTRY AND THEY WERE STARTING TO LOOK AT THE 23 10:44AM 24 EMERGING MARKETS, TAKING THEIR TECHNOLOGY TO SOLVE NEW AND 10:44AM ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS WITH DIFFERENT KINDS OF DISEASES IN OTHER 25 10:44AM

10:44AM	1	PARTS OF THE WORLD.
10:44AM	2	Q. AND WAS THIS POSITIVE NEWS TO YOU AS AN INVESTOR IN THE
10:44AM	3	COMPANY?
10:44AM	4	A. YES, IT WAS.
10:44AM	5	Q. IN 2010, DID YOU CONTINUE TO DISCUSS WITH MS. HOLMES THE
10:44AM	6	POSSIBILITY OF AN IPO AT THERANOS?
10:44AM	7	A. YES, WE DISCUSSED THE POSSIBILITY OF AN IPO IN MOST
10:45AM	8	CONVERSATIONS AND EVERY YEAR.
10:45AM	9	Q. AND AT THAT TIME WAS MS. HOLMES STILL TELLING YOU THAT AN
10:45AM	10	IPO WAS STILL IN THERANOS'S FUTURE?
10:45AM	11	A. YES.
10:45AM	12	Q. IF I COULD ASK YOU TO TURN TO TAB 663 IN YOUR BINDER.
10:45AM	13	A. OKAY.
10:45AM	14	Q. IN 663, DO YOU SEE AN EMAIL CHAIN THAT INCLUDES MESSAGES
10:45AM	15	BETWEEN YOU AND MS. HOLMES?
10:45AM	16	A. YES.
10:45AM	17	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT OFFERS 663.
10:45AM	18	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, OTHER THAN THE STANDING
10:45AM	19	OBJECTION REGARDING THE TIME PERIOD, NO OBJECTION BEYOND THAT.
10:45AM	20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THAT'S NOTED.
10:45AM	21	THIS IS ADMITTED, AND IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
10:45AM	22	(GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 663 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
10:46AM	23	BY MR. BOSTIC:
10:46AM	24	Q. LET'S START ON PAGE 4 AT THE VERY BOTTOM.
10:46AM	25	DO YOU SEE MR. EISENMAN, WE'RE ABOUT TO SEE AN EMAIL

10:46AM	1	FROM YOU TO MS. HOLMES.
10:46AM	2	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:46AM	3	A. YES.
10:46AM	4	Q. AND LET'S GO TO PAGE 5 AND ZOOM IN ON THE TOP HALF OF THE
10:46AM	5	PAGE OR SO.
10:46AM	6	DO YOU SEE THIS IS A MESSAGE FROM MAY 3RD, 2010?
10:46AM	7	A. YES.
10:46AM	8	Q. SO A FEW YEARS AFTER YOUR FIRST INVESTMENT IN THE COMPANY;
10:46AM	9	CORRECT?
10:46AM	10	A. CORRECT.
10:46AM	11	Q. YOU WRITE TO MS. HOLMES, "CAN WE SCHEDULE OUR QUARTERLY
10:46AM	12	UPDATE THE WEEK OF JUNE 1ST THROUGH JUNE 4TH?"
10:46AM	13	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:46AM	14	A. YES.
10:46AM	15	Q. AND THEN YOU PROVIDE A NUMBERED LIST OF SOME QUESTIONS
10:46AM	16	THAT YOU HAVE TO MS. HOLMES ABOUT THERANOS; IS THAT RIGHT?
10:46AM	17	A. CORRECT.
10:46AM	18	Q. AND UNDER NUMBER 1 YOU WRITE, "YOU SAID THAT IN MARCH, YOU
10:46AM	19	WERE MANUFACTURING ABOUT 500,000 CARTRIDGES, AND SELLING ABOUT
10:46AM	20	400,000 AT ABOUT \$80 PER CARTRIDGE."
10:46AM	21	DO YOU REMEMBER MS. HOLMES MAKING THAT REPRESENTATION TO
10:46AM	22	YOU?
10:46AM	23	A. YES, I DO.
10:46AM	24	Q. YOU ASK, "CAN YOU SHARE THE SAME STATISTICS FOR APRIL, AND
10:47AM	25	AN ESTIMATE FOR MAY?"

10:47AM	1	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:47AM	2	A. YES.
10:47AM	3	Q. WHY WERE YOU INTERESTED IN HAVING THAT INFORMATION?
10:47AM	4	A. BECAUSE SHE TOLD ME THAT THERE WAS A DEMAND FOR A MILLION
10:47AM	5	CARTRIDGES CURRENTLY AND THEY WERE SCALING UP TO PRODUCE A
10:47AM	6	MILLION CARTRIDGES BY THE END OF THE YEAR, AND IF THEY'RE
10:47AM	7	SELLING HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF CARTRIDGES AT \$80 PER
10:47AM	8	CARTRIDGE, THAT'S A LOT OF REVENUE FOR THE COMPANY.
10:47AM	9	Q. UNDER ITEM 3 IN THE LIST, DO YOU SEE THAT THERE'S A
10:47AM	10	QUESTION ABOUT AN IPO AS WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING?
10:47AM	11	A. YES.
10:47AM	12	Q. LET'S GO TO PAGE 4. SO MOVING FORWARD IN TIME.
10:47AM	13	THERE'S A MESSAGE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PAGE FROM YOU DATED
10:47AM	14	MAY 10TH. IS THAT A WEEK AFTER YOUR FIRST EMAIL?
10:47AM	15	A. YES.
10:47AM	16	Q. AND YOU'RE ASKING FOR A RESPONSE; IS THAT RIGHT?
10:47AM	17	A. YES.
10:47AM	18	Q. LET'S GO TO PAGE 3 AND SEE MS. HOLMES'S RESPONSE.
10:48AM	19	A. OKAY.
10:48AM	20	Q. JUST GIVE US A SECOND FOR TECHNOLOGY TO CATCH UP.
10:48AM	21	OKAY. ON PAGE 3, LET'S ZOOM IN ON THE MIDDLE OF THE PAGE
10:48AM	22	TO MS. HOLMES'S RESPONSE.
10:48AM	23	DO YOU SEE SHE WRITES TO YOU NEAR THE TOP OF HER MESSAGE,
10:48AM	24	"JUNE IS A TOUGH MONTH FOR US AND I DON'T KNOW WHEN WE CAN DO
10:48AM	25	OUR NEXT CALL"?

10:48AM	1	A. YES.
10:48AM	2	Q. SHE THEN SAYS IN THE PARAGRAPH BELOW, "AS I DISCUSSED IN
10:48AM	3	OUR CALL IN MARCH, WE CANNOT PROVIDE THE LEVEL OF COMMUNICATION
10:48AM	4	YOU KEEP REQUESTING."
10:48AM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:48AM	6	A. YES.
10:48AM	7	Q. WHAT WAS HAPPENING AROUND THIS TIME WITH THE LEVEL OF
10:48AM	8	COMMUNICATION AND INFORMATION THAT YOU WERE GETTING FROM
10:49AM	9	THERANOS?
10:49AM	10	A. IT WAS DIMINISHING.
10:49AM	11	Q. AND WAS THAT A SUBJECT OF CONVERSATION BETWEEN YOU AND
10:49AM	12	MS. HOLMES?
10:49AM	13	A. I'M SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?
10:49AM	14	Q. WAS THE FACT THAT COMMUNICATION WAS DIMINISHING SOMETHING
10:49AM	15	THAT YOU TALKED ABOUT WITH MS. HOLMES?
10:49AM	16	A. I CAN'T REALLY ANSWER THAT BECAUSE IT WAS SOMETHING THAT I
10:49AM	17	WAS AWARE OF AND I WAS TRYING TO REMEDY, BUT THERE WAS THERE
10:49AM	18	WAS LESS COMMUNICATION SO IT WAS IT WAS MORE ONE-SIDED
10:49AM	19	THINKING THAT THIS IS THAT THE COMMUNICATION IS DIMINISHING.
10:49AM	20	I DON'T KNOW IF I'M ANSWERING THE QUESTION. YOU MAY WANT
10:49AM	21	TO RE-ASK IT.
10:49AM	22	Q. UNDERSTOOD.
10:49AM	23	A. OKAY.
10:49AM	24	Q. GOING BACK TO MS. HOLMES'S EMAIL, DO YOU SEE THAT SHE
10:49AM	25	SAYS, "WITH THE DEALS WE ARE FORMALIZING WITH RETAILERS, WE ARE

10:49AM	1	NOW OBLIGATED NOT TO DISCLOSE OUR PRODUCTION VOLUMES AND
10:50AM	2	CARTRIDGE SALE PRICES."
10:50AM	3	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:50AM	4	A. YES.
10:50AM	5	Q. AT ANY POINT IN YOUR DEALINGS WITH THERANOS, DID YOU SIGN
10:50AM	6	A NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT SO THAT YOU COULD RECEIVE
10:50AM	7	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FROM THE COMPANY?
10:50AM	8	A. NO.
10:50AM	9	Q. DID MS. HOLMES OR MR. BALWANI EVER ASK YOU TO SIGN A
10:50AM	10	NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT FOR THAT PURPOSE?
10:50AM	11	A. NO.
10:50AM	12	Q. WOULD YOU HAVE BEEN WILLING TO SIGN A NONDISCLOSURE
10:50AM	13	AGREEMENT IN ORDER TO GET MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY?
10:50AM	14	A. YES.
10:50AM	15	Q. DO YOU SEE THAT MS. HOLMES'S EMAIL ALSO SAYS, "AT THIS
10:50AM	16	POINT, WE ALSO DON'T HAVE ANY PLANS TO DO AN IPO ANY TIME
10:50AM	17	SOON"?
10:50AM	18	A. YES.
10:50AM	19	Q. WAS THAT DIFFERENT FROM WHAT SHE PREVIOUSLY TOLD YOU?
10:50AM	20	A. YES, 180 DEGREES.
10:50AM	21	Q. HOW SO?
10:50AM	22	AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT PAGE, SHE SAYS, "GIVEN YOUR
10:50AM	23	FRUSTRATION LEVEL AND OUR FRUSTRATION LEVEL WITH THIS
10:50AM	24	INTERACTION, I STRONGLY ENCOURAGE YOU TO RE-CONSIDER THE
10:50AM	25	OPPORTUNITY I PRESENTED ON OUR LAST CALL TO REALIZE THE RETURN

10:50AM	1	ON YOUR INVESTMENT."
10:50AM	2	WHAT IS THAT REFERRING TO?
10:50AM	3	A. WE WERE ON A CALL WHERE SHE SAID THAT THEY WERE TRYING TO
10:51AM	4	ARRANGE A FACILITY TO BUY OUR STOCK AT A LITTLE GREATER THAN
10:51AM	5	FIVE TIMES OUR ORIGINAL COST.
10:51AM	6	Q. AND AROUND THIS TIME PERIOD, DID YOU MAKE A DECISION ABOUT
10:51AM	7	WHETHER TO PURSUE THAT OPPORTUNITY OR NOT?
10:51AM	8	A. WE, WE DID PURSUE THAT OPPORTUNITY.
10:51AM	9	Q. DID YOU END UP SELLING YOUR SHARES AT THAT FIVE TIMES
10:51AM	10	RETURN?
10:51AM	11	A. NO. BECAUSE AS WE PURSUED THE OPPORTUNITY, THE COMPANY
10:51AM	12	WENT SILENT. THEY DID NOT FOLLOW THROUGH ON THEIR
10:51AM	13	COMMUNICATION.
10:51AM	14	Q. OKAY. LET'S GO TO PAGE 2 AND SEE YOUR RESPONSE TO
10:51AM	15	MS. HOLMES.
10:52AM	16	JUST GIVE US ONE MOMENT.
10:52AM	17	AND ON PAGE 2, LET'S ZOOM IN ON THE TOP HALF OF THE PAGE.
10:52AM	18	MR. EISENMAN, IS THIS YOUR RESPONSE TO MS. HOLMES?
10:52AM	19	A. YES, YES, IT IS.
10:52AM	20	Q. YOU WRITE THAT YOUR QUESTIONS WERE MERELY A FOLLOW-UP FROM
10:52AM	21	YOUR DECEMBER CALL.
10:52AM	22	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:52AM	23	A. YES.
10:52AM	24	Q. AND YOU SAY THAT MS. HOLMES SAID THAT THERANOS WAS CLOSE
10:52AM	25	TO ACHIEVING \$200 MILLION IN SALES FOR 2009 IN THAT DECEMBER

10:52AM	1	CALL.
10:52AM	2	DO YOU REMEMBER HER SAYING THAT?
10:52AM	3	A. I DO.
10:52AM	4	Q. UNDER ITEM NUMBER 2, DO YOU SEE THAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR 20
10:52AM	5	TO 30 MINUTES FOR AN UPDATE CALL?
10:52AM	6	A. YES.
10:52AM	7	Q. AND GOING DOWN IN THAT SAME EMAIL, DO YOU SEE UNDER ITEM 3
10:53AM	8	AND 4, THERE'S DISCUSSION ABOUT THE MILLION CARTRIDGES PER
10:53AM	9	MONTH FIGURE THAT WE DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY?
10:53AM	10	A. YES.
10:53AM	11	Q. AND YOU ALSO RELAY TO HER HER PREVIOUS STATEMENT THAT
10:53AM	12	THERE WAS A HIGH LIKELIHOOD OF AN IPO BY THE END OF 2010.
10:53AM	13	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:53AM	14	A. YES.
10:53AM	15	Q. LET'S LOOK AT ITEM 6 HERE.
10:53AM	16	YOU SAY, "BOTTOM LINE IN OUR PRIOR CONVERSATIONS, YOU
10:53AM	17	SET CERTAIN EXPECTATIONS ABOUT AN INVESTORS MEETING, AN IPO,
10:53AM	18	AND SALES AND PRODUCTION FIGURES. I DON'T MIND IF THESE ITEMS
10:53AM	19	CHANGE, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY THEY CHANGE."
10:53AM	20	DO YOU SEE THAT?
10:53AM	21	A. YES.
10:53AM	22	Q. AND DID THAT ACCURATELY REFLECT YOUR ATTITUDE AT THE TIME?
10:53AM	23	A. YES.
10:53AM	24	Q. AND WHY DID THESE THINGS MATTER TO YOU AS SOMEONE WHO HAD
10:53AM	25	MONEY INVESTED IN THE COMPANY?

10:53AM	1	A. BECAUSE THESE ARE METRICS THAT INCREASE VALUE, AND THESE
10:54AM	2	ARE ALL IMPORTANT METRICS.
10:54AM	3	SO IT'S A FINANCIAL IT'S OF IMPORTANCE FINANCIALLY.
10:54AM	4	IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT AS FAR AS CREDIBILITY, BECAUSE WHEN
10:54AM	5	SOMEONE COMMUNICATES INFORMATION AND THEY DON'T MEET A DEADLINE
10:54AM	6	AND THEY COMMUNICATE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND THEY DON'T MEET
10:54AM	7	A DEADLINE AND THIS BECOMES A PATTERN, THEN THERE'S A
10:54AM	8	CREDIBILITY ISSUE.
10:54AM	9	Q. SO YOU TESTIFIED THAT EVEN AFTER DISCUSSION OF A POTENTIAL
10:54AM	10	BUYOUT OF YOUR SHARES, YOU ENDED UP REMAINING AN INVESTOR IN
10:54AM	11	THERANOS; IS THAT RIGHT?
10:54AM	12	A. I HAD NO CHOICE. THERE WAS NO OPPORTUNITY TO SELL SHARES.
10:54AM	13	Q. AFTER THIS COMMUNICATION FROM MS. HOLMES ABOUT NOT BEING
10:54AM	14	ABLE TO GIVE YOU THE LEVEL OF COMMUNICATION THAT YOU WERE
10:54AM	15	REQUESTING, DID YOU CONTINUE TO HAVE SOME CALLS WITH HER?
10:54AM	16	A. YES, ATTEMPTED. ATTEMPTED CALLS.
10:55AM	17	Q. AND DID SOME OF THOSE CALLS ACTUALLY TAKE PLACE?
10:55AM	18	A. NO.
10:55AM	19	Q. DID YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH MS. HOLMES IN DECEMBER OF
10:55AM	20	THE FOLLOWING YEAR, 2011?
10:55AM	21	A. I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE NOTES.
10:55AM	22	Q. DO YOU HAVE THE NOTES?
10:55AM	23	A. YES.
10:55AM	24	Q. WOULD LOOKING AT THE NOTES REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?
10:55AM	25	A. YES.

10:55AM	1	Q. YES. LOOK AT IN TAB 14 OF THE BINDER, PAGE 28 NUMBER ON
10:55AM	2	THE BOTTOM.
10:55AM	3	ACTUALLY, PAGE 27 ACCORDING TO THE NUMBERS ON THE BOTTOM.
10:55AM	4	SORRY.
10:55AM	5	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
10:55AM	6	THE WITNESS: UM WELL, I'VE GOT ONE THAT SAYS
10:56AM	7	PAGE 28 AT THE TOP AND PAGE 27 AT THE BOTTOM. IS THAT THE ONE
10:56AM	8	YOU WANT ME TO LOOK AT?
10:56AM	9	BY MR. BOSTIC:
10:56AM	10	Q. THAT'S CORRECT.
10:56AM	11	A. OKAY.
10:56AM	12	Q. TAKE A MOMENT TO REVIEW THAT TO YOURSELF AND LET ME KNOW
10:56AM	13	IF THAT REFRESHES YOUR MEMORY.
10:56AM	14	A. OKAY. YES.
10:56AM	15	Q. DID YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH MS. HOLMES AT THE END OF
10:56AM	16	2011?
10:56AM	17	A. YES.
10:56AM	18	Q. AND WHAT DID MS. HOLMES TELL YOU THEN ABOUT THE REVENUE OF
10:56AM	19	THERANOS, IF ANYTHING?
10:56AM	20	A. ANTICIPATED \$500 MILLION IN REVENUE NEXT YEAR.
10:56AM	21	Q. THAT \$500 MILLION REVENUE NUMBER IS SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER
10:56AM	22	THAN THE \$200 MILLION REVENUE NUMBER THAT WE PREVIOUSLY
10:56AM	23	DISCUSSED.
10:56AM	24	A. YES.
10:56AM	25	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THERANOS EVER REACHED THAT

AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER MR. BALWANI WAS SOMEONE THAT

10:59AM

10:59AM

25

Q.

MS. HOLMES PUT YOU IN TOUCH WITH? 1 10:59AM I DON'T RECALL. 2 10:59AM Α. DURING YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI, DID HE TELL YOU 3 10:59AM 4 ANYTHING ABOUT THE STATE OF THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS AND THE 10:59AM PRODUCT ROLLOUT? 10:59AM YES, JUST READING FROM MY NOTES WHICH I --10:59AM Α. MS. WALSH: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 10:59AM BY MR. BOSTIC: 8 10:59AM 9 SO, MR. EISENMAN, WHAT I'LL ASK YOU TO DO IS REVIEW YOUR 10:59AM NOTES TO YOURSELF, AND TELL ME IF THE NOTES REFRESH YOUR MEMORY 10 10:59AM OF THAT CONVERSATION. 10:59AM 11 12 Α. OKAY. 10:59AM 13 AND THEN I'LL ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT YOU REMEMBER 10:59AM Ο. 14 FROM THAT CONVERSATION. 10:59AM OKAY. I REMEMBER THE CONVERSATION GENERALLY, AND THE 15 10:59AM NOTES REFRESH MY MEMORY OF THE CONVERSATION. 16 10:59AM 17 OKAY. SO TESTIFYING FROM YOUR MEMORY OF THAT Q. 10:59AM 18 CONVERSATION, WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER MR. BALWANI SAYING TO YOU 11:00AM 19 ABOUT THE STATE OF THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS AND ITS PRODUCTS? 11:00AM THAT THE COMPANY WAS GEARING UP; THAT THERE WAS MARKET 11:00AM 20 21 ACCEPTANCE; THAT THEY HAD A HEAD COUNT OF 340 PEOPLE; THEY WERE 11:00AM 22 TRYING TO HIRE 150 TO 200 PEOPLE; THERE WAS A PRODUCT ROLLOUT 11:00AM THAT WAS GOING TO OCCUR WITHIN THE NEXT MONTH OR TWO. 23 11:00AM 24 Q. AND FROM YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI, DID YOU HAVE 11:00AM AN UNDERSTANDING ABOUT WHAT THAT PRODUCT ROLLOUT MEANT? WHAT 25 11:00AM

DID IT MEAN FOR THIS COMPANY TO ROLL OUT ITS PRODUCT? 1 11:00AM IT MEANT THAT IT WAS GAINING WIDER MARKET ACCEPTANCE, AND 2 11:00AM IT WAS, IT WAS KIND OF THE ROAD TO ADDITIONAL SUCCESS. 3 11:00AM OKAY. DURING THAT CONVERSATION, DID MR. BALWANI TELL YOU 11:00AM 4 ANYTHING ABOUT THE STATE OF THE COMPANY'S TECHNOLOGY? 11:00AM NOT TO MY RECOLLECTION. 6 Α. 11:00AM DID MR. BALWANI TELL YOU, DURING THAT CONVERSATION, THAT 0. 11:00AM THE THERANOS EDISON ANALYZER ONLY HAD THE COMPONENTS TO DO ONE 8 11:01AM CATEGORY OF BLOOD TESTS? 9 11:01AM UM --10 11:01AM Α. MS. WALSH: OBJECTION. HE SAID HE DIDN'T RECALL, HE 11:01AM 11 11:01AM 12 DIDN'T RECALL ANYTHING ABOUT THE TECHNOLOGY. 13 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 11:01AM 14 BY MR. BOSTIC: 11:01AM WOULD YOU LIKE THE QUESTION? 15 Ο. 11:01AM NO. IN THE CONVERSATION, THEY WERE WAITING FOR THEIR 16 11:01AM 17 PARTNER TO PULL THE TRIGGER ON THEIR AGREEMENT WITH THEM. 11:01AM 18 AND IT'S KIND OF ASSUMED THAT IF A PARTNER IS GOING TO GET 11:01AM 11:01AM 19 INVOLVED IN A PRODUCT ROLLOUT, THAT THERE IS MARKET ACCEPTANCE, 20 THAT IT'S A PRODUCT THAT IS A VALUABLE PRODUCT THAT WORKS. 11:01AM 21 AND WHEN IT CAME TO THE ACTUAL TECHNOLOGICAL READINESS OF 11:01AM 0. 22 THE THERANOS ANALYZER, DID MR. BALWANI TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT 11:01AM THE LIMITATIONS OF WHAT THAT PRODUCT COULD DO OR HOW FAR ALONG 23 11:01AM 24 IT WAS IN DEVELOPMENT? 11:02AM 25 NO. 11:02AM Α.

BY MR. BOSTIC:

23

24

25

11:03AM

11:03AM

11:03AM

MAY WE PUBLISH?

THE COURT: YES.

11:03AM	1	Q. AND, MR. EISENMAN, DO YOU SEE ON YOUR SCREEN AND IT'S
11:03AM	2	ALSO AT TAB 1106 AN EMAIL I'M SORRY, AN ARTICLE IN "THE
11:03AM	3	WALL STREET JOURNAL" DATED SEPTEMBER 8TH, 2013 ENTITLED,
11:03AM	4	"ELIZABETH HOLMES: THE BREAKTHROUGH OF INSTANT DIAGNOSIS"?
11:03AM	5	A. I DO SEE THAT.
11:03AM	6	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER READING THIS ARTICLE IN "THE
11:03AM	7	WALL STREET JOURNAL" IN SEPTEMBER 2013?
11:03AM	8	A. YES, I DO RECALL READING THE ARTICLE.
11:03AM	9	Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOUR REACTION WAS TO SEEING THIS
11:03AM	10	ARTICLE?
11:03AM	11	A. ELATION.
11:03AM	12	Q. EXPLAIN THAT. WHY WERE YOU ELATED TO SEE THIS ARTICLE
11:04AM	13	COME OUT?
11:04AM	14	A. BECAUSE IT RATIFIED TO WHAT WE HAD BEEN LED TO BELIEVE ALL
11:04AM	15	ALONG, THAT THIS WAS A SUCCESSFUL TECHNOLOGY THAT HAD WIDE
11:04AM	16	ACCEPTANCE, AND THE COMPANY HAD THE POTENTIAL TO BE HUGELY
11:04AM	17	PROFITABLE.
11:04AM	18	AND "THE WALL STREET JOURNAL" IS A VERY CREDIBLE SOURCE OF
11:04AM	19	INFORMATION, SO WHEN WE, WHEN WE READ THIS ARTICLE, IT WAS JUST
11:04AM	20	A VERY SIGNIFICANT STAMP OF APPROVAL.
11:04AM	21	Q. IF I COULD DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FIRST INDENTED
11:04AM	22	PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 1.
11:04AM	23	DO YOU SEE THERE THERE IS SOME LANGUAGE ABOUT "DEVICES
11:04AM	24	THAT AUTOMATE AND MINIATURIZE MORE THAN 1,000 LABORATORY
11:04AM	25	TESTS."

AS TO THIS?

22

23

24

25

11:05AM

11:05AM

11:05AM

11:05AM

BY MR. BOSTIC:

Q. SO, MR. EISENMAN, LET ME ASK, DURING YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI, DID HE DISCUSS WITH YOU A ROLLOUT OF A

11:05AM	1	COMPANY PRODUCT?
11:05AM	2	A. CAN I GO BACK TO THE OTHER TAB?
11:05AM	3	IT WAS IN THAT CONVERSATION THAT THERE WAS A PRODUCT
11:05AM	4	ROLLOUT WITHIN 30 TO 60 DAYS, THEY WERE WAITING FOR THEIR
11:05AM	5	PARTNER TO PULL THE TRIGGER.
11:05AM	6	ACTUALLY, I DON'T KNOW IF WE NEED TO GO BACK. I RECALL
11:05AM	7	THAT.
11:05AM	8	Q. LET ME ASK YOU THEN, WHEN MR. BALWANI WAS DISCUSSING THE
11:05AM	9	ROLLOUT OF THAT PRODUCT, DID HE SAY ANYTHING INCONSISTENT WITH
11:05AM	10	THE CLAIMS IN "THE WALL STREET JOURNAL" ARTICLE THAT YOU'RE
11:05AM	11	LOOKING AT?
11:05AM	12	A. NO.
11:05AM	13	Q. LET'S LOOK AT PAGE 2 OF THE ARTICLE.
11:06AM	14	ABOUT HALFWAY DOWN THE PAGE, THERE'S A PARAGRAPH THAT
11:06AM	15	BEGINS, "THERANOS'S TECHNOLOGY."
11:06AM	16	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:06AM	17	A. YES.
11:06AM	18	Q. AND IT SAYS, "THERANOS'S TECHNOLOGY ELIMINATES MULTIPLE
11:06AM	19	LAB TRIPS BECAUSE IT CAN 'RUN ANY COMBINATION OF TESTS,
11:06AM	20	INCLUDING SETS OF FOLLOW-ON TESTS, ' AT ONCE, VERY QUICKLY, ALL
11:06AM	21	FROM A SINGLE MICRO-SAMPLE."
11:06AM	22	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:06AM	23	A. YES.
11:06AM	24	Q. WAS THIS FACT SOMETHING THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO YOU AS
11:06AM	25	SOMEONE WHO HAD INVESTED IN THE COMPANY?

11:07AM	1	LET ME ASK YOU TO TURN NEXT TO TAB 1334.
11:07AM	2	AND IN YOUR BINDER, ONCE YOU'RE THERE, DO YOU RECOGNIZE
11:07AM	3	THE DOCUMENT THAT IS AT TAB 1334?
11:08AM	4	A. YES.
11:08AM	5	Q. AND IS THAT AN EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN YOU AND THERANOS
11:08AM	6	RELATING TO SHAREHOLDER CONSENTS?
11:08AM	7	A. YES.
11:08AM	8	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT OFFERS 1334.
11:08AM	9	MS. WALSH: NO OBJECTION.
11:08AM	10	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
11:08AM	11	(GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 1334 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
11:08AM	12	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:08AM	13	Q. AND LET'S START AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 1.
11:08AM	14	DO YOU SEE, MR. EISENMAN, THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM THERANOS
11:08AM	15	TO YOU IN MID-DECEMBER 2013?
11:08AM	16	A. YES.
11:08AM	17	Q. AND IT INCLUDES A MEMO TO STOCKHOLDERS, IT SAYS, REGARDING
11:08AM	18	CERTAIN DEALS AND TRANSACTIONS.
11:08AM	19	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:08AM	20	A. YES.
11:08AM	21	Q. AND IF WE TURN TO THE FOLLOWING PAGE, PAGE 2, DO YOU SEE
11:08AM	22	THAT THERE IS A MEMO SENT TO YOU AS A THERANOS STOCKHOLDER?
11:09AM	23	A. YES.
11:09AM	24	Q. OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT THE TOP PARAGRAPH THERE.
11:09AM	25	AND DO YOU SEE THERANOS TELLS YOU, "WITH OUR LAUNCH TO

11:09AM	1	CONSUMER HEALTH CARE THIS YEAR, WE ARE RAPIDLY SCALING TO
11:09AM	2	ESTABLISH A NATIONAL FOOTPRINT AND CAPTURE THE OPPORTUNITY WE
11:09AM	3	HAVE TO SERVE AS THE ONLY CERTIFIED, NATIONAL LABORATORY
11:09AM	4	CAPABLE OF RUNNING ANY OF ITS LABORATORY TESTS FROM A FEW TINY
11:09AM	5	DROPLETS OF BLOOD."
11:09AM	6	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:09AM	7	A. YES.
11:09AM	8	Q. WAS THAT CONSISTENT WITH WHAT YOU HAD COME TO UNDERSTAND
11:09AM	9	OF THE CAPABILITIES OF THE THERANOS ANALYZER?
11:09AM	10	A. YES.
11:09AM	11	Q. AND AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT PARAGRAPH, DO YOU SEE THAT
11:09AM	12	THERE'S A CLAIM THAT, "WE ARE ACTIVELY INVESTING IN
11:09AM	13	INFRASTRUCTURE TO BUILD THIS NEW INDUSTRY WE HAVE CREATED"?
11:09AM	14	A. YES.
11:09AM	15	Q. WAS THIS GOOD NEWS TO YOU AS AN INVESTOR?
11:09AM	16	A. THIS WAS GREAT NEWS.
11:09AM	17	Q. THAT LANGUAGE AT THE TOP OF THE MEMO ABOUT RAPIDLY
11:10AM	18	SCALING, DID THAT SIGNAL ANYTHING TO YOU AS AN INVESTOR IN THE
11:10AM	19	COMPANY?
11:10AM	20	A. YES.
11:10AM	21	Q. AND WHAT DID THAT MEAN TO YOU?
11:10AM	22	A. IT MEANS THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WAS ACCEPTED IN THE
11:10AM	23	MARKETPLACE AND THEY WERE RAISING MONEY TO MEET THE POTENTIAL
11:10AM	24	INCREASED AND GROWING DEMAND.
11:10AM	25	Q. AND AS SOMEONE CONSIDERING WHETHER TO INVEST ADDITIONAL

INFORMATION THAT THE COMPANY PUTS OUT DIRECTLY VERSUS A THIRD

25

11:12AM

11:12AM	1	PARTY FINANCIAL PRESS THAT WRITES ABOUT THEM.
11:12AM	2	Q. AND DID YOU RELY ON THE INFORMATION YOU SAW ON THE WEBSITE
11:12AM	3	IN DECIDING WHETHER TO INVEST MORE MONEY IN THE COMPANY?
11:12AM	4	A. UM, GENERAL RECOLLECTION IS THAT I LOOKED AT THEIR WEBSITE
11:12AM	5	BEFORE AND AFTER THIS SECOND ROUND, AND IT WAS ONE OF MANY
11:12AM	6	FACTORS THAT I LOOKED TO TO MAKE AN ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT.
11:12AM	7	Q. AND SPECIFICALLY DID THE CONTENT OF THE WEBSITE MATTER TO
11:12AM	8	YOU AS SOMEONE CONSIDERING WHETHER TO INVEST MORE?
11:12AM	9	A. NO. IT WAS ONE OF MANY FACTORS THAT MATTERED TO ME.
11:12AM	10	Q. IF I COULD DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO TAB 4060.
11:12AM	11	YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THIS IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.
11:12AM	12	MAY WE PUBLISH?
11:13AM	13	THE COURT: YES.
11:13AM	14	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:13AM	15	Q. YOU MENTIONED MANY FACTORS THAT INFLUENCED YOUR DECISION
11:13AM	16	TO INVEST; IS THAT RIGHT, MR. EISENMAN?
11:13AM	17	A. YES.
11:13AM	18	Q. LOOKING AT EXHIBIT 4060, DO YOU SEE AT THE BOTTOM THAT
11:13AM	19	THERE'S AN EMAIL FROM SOMEONE NAMED PAT MENDENHALL?
11:13AM	20	A. YES.
11:13AM	21	Q. AND IT SAYS "I JUST SPENT 45 MINUTES SPEAKING WITH
11:13AM	22	SUNNY BALWANI, PRESIDENT AND COO OF THERANOS."
11:13AM	23	A. YES.
11:13AM	24	Q. AND WHO WAS PAT MENDENHALL TO YOU AT THIS TIME?

A. PAT MENDENHALL WAS A PARTNER OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISOR THAT

11:13AM 25

11:13AM	1	WAS MY FRIEND THAT WAS ELIZABETH'S FINANCIAL ADVISOR.
11:13AM	2	Q. AND WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THAT FINANCIAL ADVISOR, IF YOU
11:13AM	3	CAN REMIND US?
11:13AM	4	A. DAVID HARRIS.
11:13AM	5	Q. AND DO YOU SEE HERE THAT MR. MENDENHALL HAD EMAILED SOME
11:13AM	6	INFORMATION TO DAVID HARRIS ON DECEMBER 22ND, 2013?
11:13AM	7	A. YES.
11:13AM	8	Q. OKAY. AND LOOKING ABOVE THAT, DO YOU SEE THAT MR. HARRIS
11:14AM	9	THEN FORWARDED THAT INFORMATION TO YOU THAT SAME DAY,
11:14AM	10	DECEMBER 22ND?
11:14AM	11	A. YES.
11:14AM	12	Q. OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT THE INFORMATION THAT YOU GOT ON
11:14AM	13	DECEMBER 22ND.
11:14AM	14	LET'S TURN TO PAGE 2.
11:14AM	15	SO, MR. EISENMAN, LET ME ASK, FIRST OF ALL, WHEN YOU
11:14AM	16	RECEIVED THIS EMAIL ON DECEMBER 22ND, DO YOU REMEMBER REVIEWING
11:14AM	17	IT?
11:14AM	18	A. YES.
11:14AM	19	Q. AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE WERE YOU INTERESTED IN WHAT
11:14AM	20	MR. BALWANI HAD SAID ABOUT THERANOS?
11:14AM	21	A. WELL, THIS WAS A CONVERSATION THAT OCCURRED RIGHT BEFORE
11:14AM	22	WE MADE A DECISION TO INVEST IN THE FOLLOW-UP INVESTMENT ROUND
11:14AM	23	IN THERANOS.
11:14AM	24	Q. AND HOW DID THAT RELATE TO YOUR INTEREST IN STATEMENTS BY
11:14AM	25	MR. BALWANI?

11:14AM	1	A. IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT.
11:14AM	2	Q. AND WHY IS THAT?
11:14AM	3	A. BECAUSE IF, IF YOU'RE GOING TO INVEST MORE MONEY IN A
11:15AM	4	COMPANY, YOU WANT TO HAVE ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT IS
11:15AM	5	AVAILABLE, AND THIS WAS CRITICAL AND IMPORTANT INFORMATION THAT
11:15AM	6	WAS MADE AVAILABLE RIGHT BEFORE WE, WE MADE THE FINAL DECISION
11:15AM	7	TO INVEST AGAIN.
11:15AM	8	Q. AND I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT SOME OF THE SPECIFIC CLAIMS
11:15AM	9	THAT WERE RELAYED TO YOU FROM MR. BALWANI JUST BEFORE YOU MADE
11:15AM	10	THAT DECISION TO INVEST.
11:15AM	11	AND IF WE COULD START WITH ITEMS 3, 4, AND 5 ON THIS
11:15AM	12	NUMBERED LIST.
11:15AM	13	DO YOU SEE THOSE ITEMS?
11:15AM	14	A. YES.
11:15AM	15	Q. IT WAS RELAYED TO YOU THAT MR. BALWANI SAID THAT "THE
11:15AM	16	'SCIENCE' BEHIND THERANOS IS COMPLETE.
11:15AM	17	"NO NEW SCIENCE NEEDED.
11:15AM	18	"NO NEW INVENTION NEEDED."
11:15AM	19	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:15AM	20	A. YES.
11:15AM	21	Q. AND WAS THAT AN IMPORTANT FACT FOR YOU IN DETERMINING
11:15AM	22	WHETHER TO INVEST MORE MONEY IN THE COMPANY?
11:15AM	23	A. YES, IT WAS.
11:15AM	24	Q. AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHY?
11:15AM	25	A. WE HAD BEEN LED TO BELIEVE THAT THE SCIENCE WAS PERFECTED

AT MANY POINTS BEFORE THIS, BUT THIS WAS ANOTHER STATEMENT --1 11:16AM AND LET ME GO BACK. A LOT OF OUR CONVERSATIONS AND 2 11:16AM COMMUNICATION EARLY ON WERE WITH MS. HOLMES. 3 11:16AM 4 THIS WAS WITH ANOTHER TOP EXECUTIVE WITH THE COMPANY, AND 11:16AM AGAIN, TIMING WISE, THIS WAS BEFORE WE DECIDED TO INVEST MORE 11:16AM MONEY, AND THIS RATIFIED THAT THERE IS NO, NO RISK IN THIS 11:16AM COMPANY NOT BEING ABLE TO DO WHAT THE MARKET IS, IS 11:16AM ANTICIPATING THEM DOING, THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WAS PROVEN. 8 11:16AM 9 LET'S LOOK AT ITEMS 6, 7, AND 8 IN THAT SAME LIST. Q. 11:16AM AND AGAIN, SO SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT WAS RELAYED 10 11:16AM TO YOU AS HAVING COME FROM MR. BALWANI; CORRECT? 11:16AM 11 12 Α. YES. 11:16AM 13 Ο. AND HERE WE SEE CLAIMS THAT THERANOS IS "COMPLETELY 11:16AM 14 VERTICALLY INTEGRATED -- THEY OWN THE LAB AND THE 11:17AM 15 MANUFACTURING." 11:17AM DO YOU SEE THAT? 16 11:17AM 17 A. YES. 11:17AM 18 IT SAYS, "100 PERCENT MANUFACTURING IN THE U.S.A. 11:17AM Q. 11:17AM 19 "FULLY OWNED AND PROTECTED MANUFACTURING ASSURES PRICING 20 AND PROFIT STABILITY." 11:17AM 21 DO YOU SEE THAT? 11:17AM 22 YES. Α. 11:17AM AND DURING ANY OF YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH MS. HOLMES OR 23 Q. 11:17AM 24 MR. BALWANI BEFORE YOUR 2013 INVESTMENT, DID EITHER OF THEM 11:17AM 25 TELL YOU ABOUT THE COMPANY'S DEPENDENCE ON NON-THERANOS THIRD 11:17AM

11:17AM	1	PARTY ANALYZERS?
11:17AM	2	A. NO.
11:17AM	3	MS. WALSH: OBJECTION. LEADING.
11:17AM	4	THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE ANSWER WILL REMAIN.
11:17AM	5	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:17AM	6	Q. MR. EISENMAN, YOUR ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION?
11:17AM	7	A. NO.
11:17AM	8	Q. WOULD A FACT LIKE THAT HAVE BEEN AN IMPORTANT THING FOR
11:17AM	9	YOU TO KNOW AS SOMEONE CONSIDERING AN INVESTMENT?
11:17AM	10	A. THE FACT THAT SOME OF THE MANUFACTURING IS DONE OUTSIDE
11:17AM	11	UNITED STATES.
11:17AM	12	Q. LET ME ASK, IF YOU HAD BEEN ASKED OR BEEN TOLD THAT THE
11:18AM	13	COMPANY WAS DEPENDENT OR RELIANT ON NON-THERANOS THIRD PARTY
11:18AM	14	ANALYZERS FOR MANY OF ITS TESTS, WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A
11:18AM	15	RELEVANT FACT FOR YOU?
11:18AM	16	A. YES.
11:18AM	17	Q. AND WHY WAS THAT?
11:18AM	18	A. WE WERE UNDER THE UNDERSTANDING THAT IT WAS PROPRIETARY
11:18AM	19	TECHNOLOGY, THAT THEY HAD DEVELOPED THIS IN HOUSE, AND THIS IS
11:18AM	20	WHAT THEY WERE USING EXCLUSIVELY.
11:18AM	21	Q. CONTINUING IN THAT SAME THEME, LET'S LOOK AT ITEM 17 ON
11:18AM	22	THE LIST, AND DO YOU SEE THERE THAT THE CLAIM IS "THE
11:18AM	23	COMPETITION IS YEARS BEHIND TECHNOLOGY (MAYBE LONGER)."
11:18AM	24	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:18AM	25	A. YES.

DID THAT MATTER TO YOU AS AN INVESTOR? 1 Q. 11:18AM 2 Α. YES. 11:18AM AND LET'S LOOK UNDER FINANCIALS IN THIS LIST OF CLAIMS 3 11:18AM 4 THAT MR. BALWANI RELAYED TO YOU. AND UNDER FINANCIALS, DO YOU 11:18AM SEE ITEM 1 SAYS, "THEY PREFER TO KEEP THE COMPETITION GUESSING 11:18AM AS TO HOW MUCH MONEY THEY HAVE AND WHAT THEIR CURRENT REVENUE, 11:18AM PROFIT MARGINS, REVENUES SOURCES, ET CETERA, ARE"? 11:19AM 8 Α. YES. 11:19AM AND DO YOU SEE ITEMS 2 AND 3 SAY, "THEY CAN FUND GROWTH 9 Q. 11:19AM THRU CURRENT OPERATIONS -- NO NEED FOR CAPITAL 3 -- THEY ARE 10 11:19AM RAISING MONEY ONLY TO RAPIDLY ACCELERATE THE BUSINESS." 11:19AM 11 12 DO YOU SEE THAT? 11:19AM 13 Α. YES. 11:19AM 14 AND WAS THAT AN IMPORTANT THING FOR YOU TO KNOW AS AN 11:19AM Ο. 15 INVESTOR? 11:19AM 16 YES. Α. 11:19AM 17 AND WHAT DID THAT MEAN AS SOMEONE DECIDING TO INVEST MORE? Q. 11:19AM 18 THAT MEANT THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED, THEY WERE SUCCESSFUL, 11:19AM 11:19AM 19 THEY WERE GAINING MARKET ACCEPTANCE, AND THIS CAPITAL RAISE WAS TO HELP THEM GROW FASTER. 11:19AM 20 21 AND FINALLY LET'S LOOK AT ITEM 13 UNDER FINANCIALS. 11:19AM Ο. 22 DO YOU SEE THERE'S A STATEMENT ATTRIBUTED TO MR. BALWANI, 11:19AM THAT "THEY ARE AWARE THEY RAISED MONEY IN 2005 AND ARE 23 11:19AM 24 CONSCIOUS ABOUT NEEDING TO OFFER LIQUIDITY TO SHAREHOLDERS." 11:19AM DO YOU SEE THAT? 25 11:19AM

11:19AM	1	A. YES.
11:19AM	2	Q. AND IT SAYS, "THIS CAN HAPPEN IN A TRADITIONAL WAY LIKE
11:20AM	3	IPO OR NONTRADITIONAL WAY LIKE FACEBOOK IN PRIVATE ROUND
11:20AM	4	TRANSACTIONS."
11:20AM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:20AM	6	A. YES.
11:20AM	7	Q. AND DID THAT SIGNAL TO YOU THAT AN IPO WAS STILL A
11:20AM	8	POSSIBILITY IN THE NEAR FUTURE?
11:20AM	9	A. YES.
11:20AM	10	Q. OKAY. WE CAN SET THAT ASIDE.
11:20AM	11	LET'S LOOK NEXT AT TAB 1371 IN YOUR BINDER.
11:20AM	12	THE COURT: WHILE WE'RE DOING THAT, WHY DON'T WE
11:20AM	13	STAND UP AND STRETCH FOR A MOMENT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
11:20AM	14	1371, MR. BOSTIC?
11:20AM	15	MR. BOSTIC: 1371.
11:21AM	16	(STRETCHING.)
11:21AM	17	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:21AM	18	Q. MR. EISENMAN, DO YOU HAVE 1371 IN FRONT OF YOU?
11:21AM	19	A. YES.
11:21AM	20	Q. IS THIS AN EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN YOU AND MR. BALWANI
11:21AM	21	RELATING TO YOUR INVESTMENT IN 2013?
11:21AM	22	A. YES.
11:21AM	23	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT OFFERS PAGES
11:21AM	24	1 THROUGH 8 OF 1371.
11:21AM	25	MS. WALSH: NO OBJECTION.

11:21AM	1	THE COURT: THEY'RE ADMITTED, AND THEY MAY BE
11:21AM	2	PUBLISHED.
11:21AM	3	(GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 1371, PAGES 1-8, WAS RECEIVED IN
11:21AM	4	EVIDENCE.)
11:21AM	5	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:21AM	6	Q. LET'S START ON PAGE 6.
11:21AM	7	AND DO YOU SEE ON PAGE 6, THIS BEGINS WITH THE EMAIL THAT
11:21AM	8	YOU GOT FROM THERANOS ATTACHING THAT MEMO TO STOCKHOLDERS?
11:21AM	9	A. YES.
11:21AM	10	Q. AND LET'S CONTINUE UP THAT CHAIN AND GO TO PAGE 3, AND ON
11:21AM	11	PAGE 3 AT THE BOTTOM, DO YOU SEE THAT YOU WRITE AN EMAIL TO
11:21AM	12	THERANOS ASKING FOR A CALL TO INQUIRE ABOUT HOW MUCH ADDITIONAL
11:21AM	13	INVESTMENT IS AVAILABLE AND WHAT THE TIMING IS FOR PAPERWORK.
11:22AM	14	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:22AM	15	A. YES.
11:22AM	16	Q. AND IT SAYS, "WE MAY BE INTERESTED IN \$1 MILLION."
11:22AM	17	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:22AM	18	A. YES.
11:22AM	19	Q. AND THE DATE OF THIS EMAIL WAS DECEMBER 23RD; IS THAT
11:22AM	20	RIGHT?
11:22AM	21	A. YES.
11:22AM	22	Q. WAS THAT THE DAY AFTER YOU RECEIVED THE REPORT ABOUT
11:22AM	23	MR. MENDENHALL'S CALL WITH SUNNY BALWANI?
11:22AM	24	A. YES.
11:22AM	25	Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE EMAIL ABOUT THAT. SO YOU EMAILED

11:22AM	1	THERANOS'S EMAIL ADDRESS; CORRECT?
11:22AM	2	A. YES.
11:22AM	3	Q. AND YOU GET A RESPONSE BACK FROM SUNNY BALWANI.
11:22AM	4	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:22AM	5	A. YES.
11:22AM	6	Q. AND ON THAT SAME DAY HE WRITES YOU, "LET ME KNOW WHAT TIME
11:22AM	7	WOULD BE GOOD TO TALK AND I CAN CALL AND DISCUSS THIS."
11:22AM	8	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:22AM	9	A. YES.
11:22AM	10	Q. AND AFTER SOME FOLLOW-UP COMMUNICATIONS ABOUT THE CALL AND
11:22AM	11	TIMING, DID YOU END UP SPEAKING TO MR. BALWANI ON THAT DATE?
11:22AM	12	A. YES.
11:22AM	13	Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING SPECIFIC ABOUT WHAT YOU
11:23AM	14	LEARNED DURING THAT CALL?
11:23AM	15	A. DO YOU HAVE A NOTE THAT I CAN
11:23AM	16	Q. NO. I'M JUST ASKING YOU IF YOU REMEMBER RECEIVING ANY
11:23AM	17	IMPORTANT FACTS ABOUT THE COMPANY DURING THAT PARTICULAR CALL
11:23AM	18	WITH THE COMPANY?
11:23AM	19	A. MY RECOLLECTION IS IT WAS A GENERAL CALL JUST RATIFYING
11:23AM	20	ALL OF THESE THINGS THAT I HAD LEARNED FROM PAT MENDENHALL AND
11:23AM	21	FROM THE EMAIL THAT I GOT FROM THE COMPANY GENERALLY; THAT THE
11:23AM	22	TECHNOLOGY WORKED; THAT THIS WAS FOR GROWTH CAPITAL.
11:23AM	23	AND THEN ONE OTHER FACTOR THAT I LEARNED IS THAT THIS IS
11:23AM	24	WHAT IS CALLED A FRIENDS AND FAMILY ROUND; THAT THESE WERE FOR
11:23AM	25	EXISTING SHAREHOLDERS; IT WAS A SMALL FINANCIAL RAISE AT THE
		1

11:23AM	1	EQUIVALENT OF \$15 A SHARE. THEY WERE GOING TO CLOSE THIS OUT
11:23AM	2	AND RIGHT AFTER THE FIRST OF THE YEAR THERE WAS A MUCH LARGER
11:24AM	3	INSTITUTIONAL RAISE THAT PRESUMABLY WAS GOING TO BE AT A HIGHER
11:24AM	4	PLACE, IT WAS MENTIONED MAYBE \$17 PER SHARE, AND THE
11:24AM	5	INSTITUTIONAL ROUND WAS OVERSUBSCRIBED.
11:24AM	6	Q. AND DURING THAT CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI, DID
11:24AM	7	ANYTHING THAT HE SAID CHANGE THE PREVIOUS UNDERSTANDING YOU HAD
11:24AM	8	HAD ABOUT THE COMPANY'S TECHNOLOGY, WHAT IT COULD DO OR THINGS
11:24AM	9	LIKE THAT?
11:24AM	10	A. NO.
11:24AM	11	Q. AFTER SPEAKING WITH MR. BALWANI, DID YOU DECIDE TO INVEST
11:24AM	12	MORE MONEY IN THERANOS?
11:24AM	13	A. YES.
11:24AM	14	Q. APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH MORE DID YOU INVEST IN THE COMPANY
11:24AM	15	IN 2013?
11:24AM	16	A. \$100,000.
11:24AM	17	Q. IN CONNECTION WITH THAT INVESTMENT, DID YOU SIGN A STOCK
11:24AM	18	PURCHASE AGREEMENT?
11:24AM	19	A. YES.
11:24AM	20	Q. IF I COULD ASK YOU TO GO BACK TO 1334.
11:24AM	21	LET'S DISPLAY PAGE 59.
11:25AM	22	AND, MR. EISENMAN, IS THIS THE FIRST PAGE OF THE STOCK
11:25AM	23	PURCHASE AGREEMENT THAT YOU EXECUTED? IT'S ALSO ON THE SCREEN
11:25AM	24	IF THAT'S EASIER.
11:25AM	25	A. YES. YES.

11:25AM	1	Q. LET'S LOOK AT A FEW PROVISIONS HERE ON PAGE 65.
11:25AM	2	DO YOU SEE UNDER SECTION 4 HERE THERE'S A TITLE
11:25AM	3	REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF THE INVESTORS?
11:25AM	4	A. YES.
11:25AM	5	Q. UNDER 4.3 IT TALKS ABOUT INVESTMENT EXPERIENCE AND THE
11:25AM	6	INVESTOR HAVING SUBSTANTIAL EXPERIENCE IN EVALUATING AND
11:25AM	7	INVESTING IN PRIVATE PLACEMENT TRANSACTIONS OF SECURITIES AND
11:25AM	8	COMPANIES SIMILAR TO THE COMPANY.
11:25AM	9	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:25AM	10	A. YES.
11:25AM	11	Q. AND LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, TO PAGE 4.6.
11:25AM	12	LET ME ASK YOU, WERE YOU AN EXPERIENCED INVESTOR AT THIS
11:25AM	13	TIME?
11:25AM	14	A. YES.
11:26AM	15	Q. UNDER 4.6, DO YOU SEE THERE'S A REPRESENTATION THAT THE
11:26AM	16	INVESTOR IS AN ACCREDITED INVESTOR UNDER A CERTAIN REGULATION?
11:26AM	17	A. YES.
11:26AM	18	Q. AND WAS THAT TRUE FOR YOU AT THE TIME?
11:26AM	19	A. YES.
11:26AM	20	Q. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, 4.9.
11:26AM	21	DO YOU SEE THERE IT SAYS, "THE INVESTOR UNDERSTANDS AND
11:26AM	22	ACKNOWLEDGES THAT NO PUBLIC MARKET NOW EXISTS FOR ANY OF THE
11:26AM	23	SECURITIES ISSUED BY THE COMPANY"?
11:26AM	24	A. YES.
11:26AM	25	Q. AND DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT AT THE TIME?

11:26AM	1	A. YES.
11:26AM	2	Q. LET'S GO BACK TO PAGE 65 AND LOOK AT SECTION 4.4 AT THE
11:26AM	3	BOTTOM.
11:26AM	4	AND THAT'S TITLED SPECULATIVE NATURE OF INVESTMENT.
11:26AM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:26AM	6	A. YES.
11:26AM	7	Q. AND HERE IT SAYS, "THE INVESTOR UNDERSTANDS AND
11:26AM	8	ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE COMPANY HAS A LIMITED FINANCIAL AND
11:26AM	9	OPERATING HISTORY AND THAT AN INVESTMENT IN THE COMPANY IS
11:26AM	10	HIGHLY SPECULATIVE AND INVOLVES SUBSTANTIAL RISKS."
11:26AM	11	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:26AM	12	A. YES.
11:26AM	13	Q. AND DID YOU AGREE WITH THAT LANGUAGE AT THE TIME?
11:27AM	14	A. NO.
11:27AM	15	Q. YOU ENTERED INTO THIS AGREEMENT; CORRECT?
11:27AM	16	A. YES.
11:27AM	17	Q. AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY YOU DIDN'T
11:27AM	18	AGREE WITH THAT PARTICULAR LANGUAGE?
11:27AM	19	A. BECAUSE THE INFORMATION THAT I HAD AT THAT POINT IN TIME,
11:27AM	20	THIS WAS NOT A SPECULATIVE AND RISKY INVESTMENT. THE RISK HAD
11:27AM	21	BEEN TAKEN OUT.
11:27AM	22	Q. AND YOU SAY THE INFORMATION THAT YOU HAD AT THE TIME.
11:27AM	23	WHAT INFORMATION ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
11:27AM	24	A. THE EMAIL THAT I GOT FROM PAT MENDENHALL OF HIS
11:27AM	25	CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI, THE INFORMATION THAT CAME

11:27AM	1	DIRECTLY FROM THE THERANOS WEBSITE, FINANCIAL PRESS, BUT
11:27AM	2	PRIMARILY THE COMMUNICATION DIRECTLY FROM THE COMPANY AND
11:27AM	3	MR. BALWANI WERE OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE.
11:27AM	4	Q. LET'S LOOK AT 4.5, AND IT SAYS ACCESS TO DATA.
11:27AM	5	"SUCH AN INVESTOR HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK QUESTIONS
11:28AM	6	OF, AND RECEIVE ANSWERS FROM, THE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY
11:28AM	7	CONCERNING AGREEMENTS," AND OTHER ITEMS.
11:28AM	8	AND IT SAYS, "SUCH INVESTOR BELIEVES THAT IT HAS RECEIVED
11:28AM	9	ALL OF THE INFORMATION SUCH INVESTOR CONSIDERS NECESSARY OR
11:28AM	10	APPROPRIATE FOR DECIDING WHETHER TO PURCHASE THE SHARES."
11:28AM	11	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:28AM	12	A. YES.
11:28AM	13	Q. DID YOU AGREE WITH THAT LANGUAGE AT THE TIME?
11:28AM	14	A. NO.
11:28AM	15	Q. WHY NOT?
11:28AM	16	A. BECAUSE I HAD ALSO REQUESTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION, AND
11:28AM	17	THE OTHER CIRCUMSTANCE WAS THAT I INVESTED IN OTHER COMPANIES
11:28AM	18	AT VARIOUS STAGES, THEY PROVIDE EITHER THEY PROVIDE HISTORIC
11:28AM	19	FINANCIAL DATA, AND SOMETIMES THEY HAVE WHAT IS CALLED A PRO
11:28AM	20	FORMA, SOME FUTURE LOOKING ESTIMATES OF WHAT THEY THINK IS
11:28AM	21	GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE NEXT YEAR OR TWO.
11:28AM	22	I HAD REQUESTED THAT INFORMATION, BUT I NEVER RECEIVED IT.
11:28AM	23	Q. OKAY. SO I WANT YOU TO THINK ABOUT YOUR DECISION TO
11:28AM	24	INVEST IN 2013.
11:28AM	25	SO FAR WE HAVE DISCUSSED ATTEMPTS THAT YOU HAVE MADE TO
		1

GET MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY AND HOW THOSE ATTEMPTS 1 11:29AM WERE UNSUCCESSFUL; IS THAT RIGHT? 2 11:29AM YES. 3 Α. 11:29AM 4 Q. WE ALSO SAW SOME COMMUNICATION, AT LEAST WITH MS. HOLMES, 11:29AM THAT INDICATED SOME TENSION IN THE RELATIONSHIP; IS THAT FAIR 11:29AM 6 TO SAY? 11:29AM YES. Α. 11:29AM GIVEN THOSE ISSUES, WHY DID YOU MAKE THE DECISION TO 8 Q. 11:29AM INVEST MORE MONEY IN THE COMPANY IN 2013? 9 11:29AM BECAUSE THIS WAS WHAT'S CALLED A SEAT AT THE TABLE. WHEN 10 11:29AM Α. 11:29AM 11 YOU INVEST IN AN EARLY STAGE COMPANY, A LOT OF TIMES YOU'RE 12 GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO INVEST IN LATER ROUNDS BEFORE THE 11:29AM 13 GENERAL PUBLIC OR BEFORE INSTITUTIONS, AS WAS THE CASE IN THIS 11:29AM 14 SITUATION. 11:29AM WHEN WE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO INVEST IN THIS LATER ROUND, 15 11:29AM 16 WE WERE PRIVY TO A LOT MORE INFORMATION; WE WERE PRIVY TO 11:29AM 17 INFORMATION DIRECTLY FROM MR. BALWANI AND THE FINANCIAL PRESS 11:29AM 18 THAT THIS WAS TECHNOLOGY THAT WAS PROVEN, THAT WAS SUCCESSFUL, 11:29AM 19 THAT WAS WORKING; THERE WAS A LARGE MARKETPLACE THAT WAS 11:30AM 20 ALREADY ESTABLISHED BY THEIR COMPETITORS. 11:30AM 21 THEY HAD GIVEN US AN INDICATION THAT THIS WAS A BETTER 11:30AM 22 TECHNOLOGY FOR VARIOUS REASONS THAN THEIR COMPETITORS, AND THEY 11:30AM WERE GOING TO CAPTURE A LOT OF THAT MULTI, MULTI BILLION DOLLAR 23 11:30AM 24 MARKET. 11:30AM 25 SO IN SPITE OF THE FACT THAT I DID NOT HAVE EVERY PIECE OF 11:30AM

INFORMATION THAT I REQUESTED, I.E., THE FINANCIAL INFORMATION, 1 11:30AM I HAD ENOUGH INFORMATION TO ASSURE ME THAT THIS WAS A VERY, 2 11:30AM VERY GOOD INVESTMENT IN TERMS OF RISK AND REWARD. 3 11:30AM 4 AND THE OTHER CARROT, EVEN THOUGH IT WAS A SMALLER CARROT 11:30AM WAS THIS WAS A FAVOR TO EXISTING SHAREHOLDERS TO INVEST AT A 11:30AM 6 MORE FAVORABLE PRICE BECAUSE THERE WAS OVERSUBSCRIBED DEMAND TO 11:30AM INSTANTLY CLOSE THIS ROUND, MARK THE PRICE UP, AND RAISE A LOT 11:30AM MORE MONEY FROM INSTITUTIONS. 8 11:30AM LET ME ASK ABOUT THE TONE OF YOUR COMMUNICATIONS WITH 9 Q. 11:30AM MR. BALWANI AROUND THE TIME OF YOUR INVESTMENT IN 2013. 10 11:30AM AFTER YOU EMAILED AND INDICATED THAT YOU MIGHT BE 11:31AM 11 12 INTERESTED IN INVESTING ANOTHER MILLION DOLLARS, WHAT WAS THE 11:31AM 13 TONE OF YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI LIKE? 11:31AM 14 VERY CORDIAL. 11:31AM YOU CAN PUT THAT EXHIBIT ASIDE, AND LET'S LOOK AT 15 11:31AM EXHIBIT 2057 IN YOUR BINDER. LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'RE THERE. 16 11:31AM 17 YES. Α. 11:31AM 18 AFTER YOU INVESTED MORE MONEY IN THERANOS, DID YOU 11:31AM 19 CONTINUE TO TRY TO GET MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY? 11:31AM 11:31AM 20 Α. YES. 21 AND HOW DID YOU GO ABOUT DOING THAT? 11:31AM Ο. CALLS, EMAILS. 22 Α. 11:31AM AND WAS THE COMPANY -- LET ME ASK SPECIFICALLY. WERE 23 Q. 11:31AM 24 MS. HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI FORTHCOMING WITH YOU, FOLLOWING YOUR 11:31AM 25 INVESTMENT, ABOUT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY? 11:31AM

11:32AM	1	A. NO.
11:32AM	2	Q. DID YOU REVIEW PUBLICLY AVAILABLE INFORMATION ABOUT
11:32AM	3	THERANOS?
11:32AM	4	A. YES.
11:32AM	5	Q. AT 2057, DO YOU SEE AN EMAIL EXCHANGE BETWEEN YOU AND
11:32AM	6	MR. BALWANI RELATING TO SOME PUBLIC INFORMATION THAT YOU FOUND?
11:32AM	7	A. YES.
11:32AM	8	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT OFFERS 2057.
11:32AM	9	MS. WALSH: NO OBJECTION.
11:32AM	10	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
11:32AM	11	(GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 2057 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
11:32AM	12	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:32AM	13	Q. LET'S START ON PAGE 1 ACTUALLY, JUST THE BOTTOM HALF.
11:32AM	14	DO YOU SEE, MR. EISENMAN, THAT THIS BEGINS WITH AN
11:32AM	15	OCTOBER 8TH, 2014 EMAIL FROM YOU TO MR. BALWANI AND
11:32AM	16	MS. HOLMES?
11:32AM	17	A. YES.
11:32AM	18	Q. AND THE SUBJECT IS NEGATIVE REPORT ON THERANOS FROM UBS.
11:32AM	19	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:32AM	20	A. YES.
11:32AM	21	Q. AND WHAT WAS THIS ABOUT?
11:32AM	22	A. UBS IS A WALL STREET FIRM, A CREDIBLE FIRM, LARGE
11:33AM	23	WALL STREET FIRM, AND THEY PUT OUT A RESEARCH REPORT WITH
11:33AM	24	CASTING DOUBT ON SOME OF THERANOS'S CLAIMS.
11:33AM	25	Q. LET'S LOOK AT THAT REPORT BRIEFLY ON PAGE 2 OF YOUR EMAIL.

AND AT THE TOP OF THE PAGE THERE, DO YOU SEE THAT THERE'S 1 11:33AM A HEADING "THERANOS REMAINS A FOCUS FOR INVESTORS"? 2 11:33AM YES. 3 Α. 11:33AM 4 0. AND DO YOU SEE THAT IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT PARAGRAPH IT 11:33AM SAYS, "THE MODEL, AS SEEN IN A HANDFUL OF WALGREENS LOCATIONS, 11:33AM HAS EVOLVED INTO A TRADITIONAL REFERENCE LAB OFFERING WHERE 6 11:33AM BLOOD IS DRAWN MOSTLY THROUGH VENIPUNCTURE AND SENT TO A 11:33AM REGIONAL LAB LOCATION WITH A 24 PLUS HOUR TURN AROUND." 8 11:33AM DO YOU SEE THAT? 9 11:33AM 10 Α. YES. 11:33AM AND AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PARAGRAPH IT SAYS, "OUR 11:33AM 11 Q. 12 CONSULTANT BELIEVES IT IS HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT ALL OF THESE 11:33AM 13 TESTS ARE BEING DONE ON ONE MACHINE." 11:33AM 14 DO YOU SEE THAT? 11:33AM 15 Α. YES. 11:33AM 16 Q. WAS THAT INFORMATION CONCERNING TO YOU AT THE TIME? 11:33AM 17 Α. TREMENDOUSLY. 11:34AM 18 WHY? 11:34AM Q. 11:34AM 19 BECAUSE WE WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THE TECHNOLOGY 20 WORKED, IT WORKED ON SITE, IT WAS A SMALL BLOOD DRAW, IT WAS 11:34AM 21 ANALYZED WITHIN A PERIOD OF A FEW HOURS ON SITE, AND THIS 11:34AM 22 CONTRADICTED ALL OF THE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES THAT WE WERE LED 11:34AM TO BELIEVE THAT THERANOS HAD. 23 11:34AM 24 LET'S GO BACK TO PAGE 1 AND SEE YOUR EMAIL AND 11:34AM Q. 25 MR. BALWANI'S RESPONSE. 11:34AM

11:34AM	1	ACTUALLY, JUST BELOW THAT.
11:34AM	2	SORRY, MS. WACHS. CAPTURING THE ORIGINAL EMAIL. THANK
11:34AM	3	YOU.
11:34AM	4	DO YOU SEE AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT SECTION YOU WRITE ABOUT
11:34AM	5	THE UBS REPORT, "THEY CLAIM THAT THE BLOOD SAMPLES HAVE TO BE
11:34AM	6	SENT TO PALO ALTO, THEY ARE LESS RELIABLE THAN TRADITIONAL
11:35AM	7	TESTS, AND THE TURN-AROUND TIME IS OVER 24 HOURS"?
11:35AM	8	A. YES.
11:35AM	9	Q. WERE YOU RAISING THESE CONCERNS ASKING FOR A RESPONSE FROM
11:35AM	10	MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES?
11:35AM	11	A. YES.
11:35AM	12	Q. LET'S SEE MR. BALWANI'S RESPONSE JUST ABOVE THAT.
11:35AM	13	AND DO YOU SEE THAT HE WRITES BACK, "DOESN'T SURPRISE US.
11:35AM	14	SOUNDS LIKE AN UNINFORMED CONSULTANT."
11:35AM	15	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:35AM	16	A. YES.
11:35AM	17	Q. AND WHAT DID THAT RESPONSE SIGNAL TO YOU?
11:35AM	18	A. IT SIGNALLED THEY WERE HEDGING BECAUSE HE DID NOT DENY
11:35AM	19	WHAT WE HAD BEEN LED TO BELIEVE.
11:35AM	20	Q. YOU RESPONDED TO THAT EMAIL FROM MR. BALWANI WRITING, "THE
11:35AM	21	BLOOD SAMPLES CAN BE ANALYZED ON SITE, AND DON'T HAVE TO BE
11:35AM	22	SENT TO A LAB, CORRECT?"
11:35AM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:35AM	24	A. YES.
11:35AM	25	Q. AND MR. BALWANI WRITES BACK, "WE HAVEN'T ANNOUNCED THESE

11:35AM	1	DETAILS ABOUT WHAT CAN BE DONE. THANKS."
11:35AM	2	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:35AM	3	A. YES.
11:35AM	4	Q. AND THEN AT THE TOP YOU WRITE, "I THOUGHT ELIZABETH SAID
11:35AM	5	THE RESULTS WERE AVAILABLE IN 2-4 HOURS, WHICH IMPLIES THE
11:36AM	6	SAMPLES ARE TESTED LOCALLY."
11:36AM	7	YOU THEN SAY A COUPLE OF SENTENCES LATER, "IF THIS IS
11:36AM	8	PROPRIETARY INFO, I WILL STOP ASKING. I HAVE ALSO NOT RECEIVED
11:36AM	9	ANY INFORMATION ON HOW I CAN RECEIVE MY STOCK SPLIT SHARES."
11:36AM	10	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:36AM	11	A. YES.
11:36AM	12	Q. DID MR. BALWANI OR MS. HOLMES EVER GET BACK TO YOU TO
11:36AM	13	EXPLAIN TO YOU HOW THERANOS WAS ACTUALLY OPERATING IN TERMS OF
11:36AM	14	WHAT YOU READ IN THE UBS REPORT?
11:36AM	15	A. NO.
11:36AM	16	Q. OKAY. WE CAN SET THAT ASIDE.
11:36AM	17	LET'S LOOK AT 2468 IN YOUR BINDER.
11:36AM	18	LET'S MOVE FORWARD INTO 2015. LET ME ASK YOU, DURING THAT
11:36AM	19	TIME PERIOD, DID YOU CONTINUE TO HAVE COMMUNICATIONS WITH
11:36AM	20	MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES?
11:36AM	21	A. ATTEMPTED.
11:36AM	22	Q. AND WAS THE SUBJECT OF SOME OF THOSE ATTEMPTED
11:37AM	23	COMMUNICATIONS A POSSIBILITY OF GETTING RID OF YOUR SHARES IN
11:37AM	24	THERANOS?
11:37AM	25	A. YES.

11:37AM	1	Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW THAT CAME TO HAPPEN AND WHAT THE
11:37AM	2	CIRCUMSTANCES WERE?
11:37AM	3	A. I WAS ALWAYS LOOKING FOR LIQUIDITY EVENTS, WHETHER IT BE
11:37AM	4	BY IPO OR PRIVATE TRANSACTION OR A COMPANY BUYING BACK STOCK,
11:37AM	5	SO IT WAS JUST A CONSTANT ATTEMPT TO FIND OUT IF ANY OF THOSE
11:37AM	6	AVENUES WERE AVAILABLE.
11:37AM	7	Q. LOOKING AT TAB 2468, DO YOU SEE AN EMAIL EXCHANGE BETWEEN
11:37AM	8	YOU, MS. HOLMES, AND MR. BALWANI IN APRIL OF 2015 ON THAT
11:37AM	9	TOPIC?
11:37AM	10	A. YES.
11:37AM	11	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT OFFERS 2468.
11:37AM	12	MS. WALSH: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, EXCEPT THAT
11:37AM	13	THERE IS A REDACTION THAT WE WOULD REQUEST ON PAGE 2. IT'S THE
11:38AM	14	REFERENCE IN THE FIRST SENTENCE OF AT THE TOP OF PAGE 2.
11:38AM	15	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT SURE THAT THE
11:38AM	16	REDACTION IS NECESSARY, BUT WE'LL, WE'LL ABIDE BY THE COURT'S
11:38AM	17	ORDER.
11:38AM	18	MS. WALSH: JUST THE PERSONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.
11:38AM	19	THE COURT: YES. I SEE THAT.
11:38AM	20	CAN THAT BE REDACTED?
11:38AM	21	MR. BOSTIC: IT CAN BE, YOUR HONOR.
11:38AM	22	THE COURT: THIS IS FOLLOWING "RETIRING"?
11:38AM	23	MR. BOSTIC: THE REST OF THE SENTENCE FOLLOWING THE
11:38AM	24	WORD "RETIRING"?
11:38AM	25	THE COURT: JUST TO THE WORD "AND." I THINK THAT

11:38AM	1	CENTER PIECE THERE, IF YOU FOLLOW THAT.
11:38AM	2	MR. BOSTIC: JUST THE CLAUSE BETWEEN THE COMMAS?
11:38AM	3	THE COURT: CORRECT.
11:38AM	4	MR. BOSTIC: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD?
11:38AM	5	THE COURT: SURE.
11:38AM	6	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, WITH THAT REDACTION, MAY WE
11:39AM	7	PUBLISH?
11:39AM	8	THE COURT: YES, SURE.
11:39AM	9	MR. BOSTIC: AND IS THIS EXHIBIT ADMITTED,
11:39AM	10	YOUR HONOR?
11:39AM	11	THE COURT: IT IS.
11:39AM	12	MR. BOSTIC: APOLOGIES.
11:39AM	13	(GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 2468, REDACTED, WAS RECEIVED IN
11:39AM	14	EVIDENCE.)
11:39AM	15	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:39AM	16	Q. LOOKING AT THIS CHAIN, MR. EISENMAN, DO YOU SEE THAT WE'RE
11:39AM	17	LOOKING AT THIS EMAIL BETWEEN YOU, MR. BALWANI, AND MS. HOLMES
11:39AM	18	IN MARCH OF 2015?
11:39AM	19	A. YES.
11:39AM	20	Q. AND LET'S LOOK AT PAGE 2, AND AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE
11:39AM	21	YOU WRITE IN MARCH OF 2015 JUST TO MR. BALWANI.
11:39AM	22	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:39AM	23	A. YES.
11:39AM	24	Q. AND YOU SAY, "SUNNY,
11:39AM	25	"WE HAVE BEEN INFORMED OF AN OPPORTUNITY TO SELL THERANOS

11:41AM	1	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:41AM	2	A. YES.
11:41AM	3	Q. HAD YOU ACTUALLY GOTTEN A RESPONSE FROM MR. BALWANI
11:42AM	4	ANSWERING YOUR QUESTIONS?
11:42AM	5	A. NO.
11:42AM	6	Q. AROUND THIS TIME PERIOD, WERE MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES
11:42AM	7	GIVING YOU ANY SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY'S
11:42AM	8	ACTIVITIES OR ITS BUSINESS?
11:42AM	9	A. NO.
11:42AM	10	Q. GOING UP THE PAGE, LOOKING AT YOUR RESPONSE TO
11:42AM	11	MR. BALWANI, AND YOU INCLUDE MS. HOLMES AGAIN.
11:42AM	12	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:42AM	13	A. YES.
11:42AM	14	Q. YOU SAY, "THE RESPONSE I AM LOOKING FOR FROM YOU OR
11:42AM	15	ELIZABETH IS A BUSINESS UPDATE LIKE YOU PROVIDED LAST YEAR WHEN
11:42AM	16	WE DISCUSSED THE COMPANY'S TIMETABLE FOR A PRIVATE OR PUBLIC
11:42AM	17	LIQUIDITY EVENT," AND OTHER ITEMS.
11:42AM	18	DO YOU SEE THAT?
11:42AM	19	A. YES.
11:42AM	20	Q. AND IN THE ABOVE PARAGRAPH YOU WRITE, "IT IS REALLY UNFAIR
11:42AM	21	FOR YOU TO PLAY THIS CAT AND MOUSE GAME WITH ME. I HAVE BEEN A
11:42AM	22	SHAREHOLDER FOR OVER 9 YEARS AND I, AS WELL AS MY DAUGHTER,
11:42AM	23	ALSO AS A SHAREHOLDER, ARE IN A DIFFERENT STAGE OF LIFE. I
11:42AM	24	CAN'T MAKE A RATIONALE DECISION TO SELL OR HOLD MY STOCK WITH
11:42AM	25	THE LACK OF INFORMATION YOU HAVE PROVIDED."

11:44AM	1	MR. BOSTIC: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?
11:44AM	2	THE COURT: YES.
11:44AM	3	(DISCUSSION AMONGST GOVERNMENT COUNSEL OFF THE RECORD.)
11:44AM	4	BY MR. BOSTIC:
11:44AM	5	Q. MR. EISENMAN, MY LAST KIND OF CLEAN-UP QUESTION FOR YOU IS
11:44AM	6	THE TIMING OF YOUR INVESTMENT IN 2013. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN
11:44AM	7	THAT TOOK PLACE, THE ACTUAL INVESTMENT?
11:44AM	8	A. IT WAS END OF DECEMBER.
11:44AM	9	Q. END OF DECEMBER 2013?
11:44AM	10	A. YES.
11:44AM	11	Q. THANK YOU.
11:44AM	12	NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
11:44AM	13	THE COURT: YOU WILL HAVE CROSS-EXAMINATION?
11:44AM	14	MS. WALSH: I WILL, YOUR HONOR.
11:44AM	15	THE COURT: SHOULD WE TAKE OUR BREAK NOW, LADIES AND
11:44AM	16	GENTLEMEN?
11:44AM	17	WHY DON'T WE DO THAT? LET'S TAKE ABOUT 30 MINUTES, AND
11:44AM	18	THEN WE'LL RESUME WITH CROSS-EXAMINATION.
11:44AM	19	THE WITNESS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:44AM	20	(RECESS FROM 11:44 A.M. UNTIL 12:46 P.M.)
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

	1	AFTERNOON SESSION
12:46PM	2	(JURY IN AT 12:46 P.M.)
12:46PM	3	THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD.
12:46PM	4	ALL PARTIES PREVIOUSLY PRESENT ARE PRESENT ONCE AGAIN.
12:46PM	5	OUR JURY IS BACK.
12:46PM	6	MR. EISENMAN IS ON THE STAND.
12:46PM	7	I APOLOGIZE FOR THE DELAY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
12:46PM	8	MS. WALSH, YOU HAVE CROSS-EXAMINATION?
12:46PM	9	MS. WALSH: I DO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
12:47PM	10	MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
12:47PM	11	THE COURT: OH, YES. THANK YOU.
12:47PM	12	MS. WALSH: (HANDING.)
12:47PM	13	THE COURT: DO I GET ONE?
12:47PM	14	MS. WALSH: YES. IT'S RIGHT OVER HERE (INDICATING).
12:47PM	15	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
12:47PM	16	MS. WALSH: AND THE GOVERNMENT HAS ONE.
12:47PM	17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12:47PM	18	BY MS. WALSH:
12:47PM	19	Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. EISENMAN.
12:47PM	20	A. GOOD AFTERNOON.
12:47PM	21	Q. MY NAME IS AMY WALSH, AND I REPRESENT MR. BALWANI.
12:47PM	22	I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR TESTIMONY
12:47PM	23	TODAY.
12:47PM	24	I'D LIKE TO START WITH YOUR BACKGROUND.
12:47PM	25	YOU HAVE A LAW DEGREE; CORRECT?

12:47PM	1	A. I DID.
12:47PM	2	Q. YOU DID?
12:47PM	3	A. I DID. I DON'T HAVE ANY ACTIVE LICENSES NOW. I RETIRED
12:47PM	4	ABOUT FIVE OR SIX YEARS AGO.
12:47PM	5	Q. OKAY. BUT AT SOME POINT YOU BRIEFLY PRACTICED LAW;
12:47PM	6	CORRECT?
12:47PM	7	A. CORRECT.
12:47PM	8	Q. AND THE TYPE OF LAW THAT YOU PRACTICED WAS TRUSTS AND
12:48PM	9	ESTATES; IS THAT RIGHT?
12:48PM	10	A. YES.
12:48PM	11	Q. YOU WERE ALSO LICENSED AS A CPA; CORRECT?
12:48PM	12	A. CORRECT.
12:48PM	13	Q. AND THAT'S A CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT?
12:48PM	14	A. CORRECT.
12:48PM	15	Q. AND YOU, IN ADDITION TO BEING LICENSED, YOU WORKED AS AN
12:48PM	16	ACCOUNTANT; RIGHT?
12:48PM	17	A. YES.
12:48PM	18	Q. AND DURING YOUR WORK AS AN ACCOUNTANT, YOU FOCUSSED ON TAX
12:48PM	19	ISSUES; IS THAT CORRECT?
12:48PM	20	A. PRIMARILY DID TAX RETURNS.
12:48PM	21	Q. SO IS THE ANSWER YES TO MY QUESTION, YOU FOCUSSED ON TAX
12:48PM	22	ISSUES?
12:48PM	23	A. IT'S A LITTLE, IT'S A LITTLE NUANCED BECAUSE I WAS
12:48PM	24	PREPARING TAX RETURNS. I WASN'T REALLY RESEARCHING OR INVOLVED
12:48PM	25	IN TAX ISSUES.

12:48PM	1	Q. SO YOU WERE PREPARING TAX RETURNS; IS THAT RIGHT?
12:48PM	2	A. CORRECT.
12:48PM	3	Q. AND YOU WERE ALSO A CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER AT SOME
12:48PM	4	POINT IN TIME; CORRECT?
12:48PM	5	A. CORRECT.
12:48PM	6	Q. AND IN THAT WORK, YOU ADVISE PEOPLE ON HOW TO MANAGE THEIR
12:49PM	7	MONEY; IS THAT RIGHT?
12:49PM	8	A. THAT'S ONE OF THE AREAS. IT'S INSURANCE PLANNING,
12:49PM	9	RETIREMENT PLANNING, INVESTMENT PLANNING.
12:49PM	10	Q. SO YOU ADVISE PEOPLE ON HOW TO MANAGE THEIR MONEY; IS THAT
12:49PM	11	RIGHT?
12:49PM	12	A. YES.
12:49PM	13	Q. YOU WERE ALSO LICENSED TO TRADE SECURITIES; CORRECT?
12:49PM	14	A. CORRECT.
12:49PM	15	Q. AND YOU GOT YOUR LICENSE TO DO THAT IN AROUND 1980; IS
12:49PM	16	THAT RIGHT?
12:49PM	17	A. MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT IT WAS IN THE EARLY '80S.
12:49PM	18	I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY.
12:49PM	19	Q. OKAY. AND ONCE YOU WERE LICENSED TO TRADE SECURITIES, YOU
12:49PM	20	ADVISED CLIENTS ON HOW THEY SHOULD INVEST THEIR MONEY; IS THAT
12:49PM	21	RIGHT?
12:49PM	22	A. CORRECT.
12:49PM	23	Q. YOU WERE A WEALTH MANAGER DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR
12:49PM	24	CAREER; IS THAT RIGHT?
12:49PM	25	A. YES.

12:49PM	1	Q. AND WHAT THAT MEANS IS THAT YOU ADVISE YOUR CLIENTS ON HOW
12:49PM	2	TO POSITION THEIR ASSETS; IS THAT RIGHT?
12:50PM	3	A. YES.
12:50PM	4	Q. AND HOW TO WITHDRAWN.
12:50PM	5	YOU ALSO ADVISE THEM ON DIFFERENT THINGS TO INVEST IN; IS
12:50PM	6	THAT RIGHT?
12:50PM	7	A. YES.
12:50PM	8	Q. AND YOU, THROUGH THE COURSE OF YOUR CAREER, YOU'VE HAD
12:50PM	9	EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE IN INVESTING; IS THAT FAIR?
12:50PM	10	A. YES.
12:50PM	11	Q. YOU HAVE INVESTED IN PUBLIC COMPANIES; RIGHT?
12:50PM	12	A. YES.
12:50PM	13	Q. AND PRIVATE COMPANIES?
12:50PM	14	A. YES.
12:50PM	15	Q. AND IN START-UP COMPANIES; CORRECT?
12:50PM	16	A. YES.
12:50PM	17	Q. AND YOU'VE DONE THAT WORK FOR, BEFORE YOU RETIRED, FOR 30
12:50PM	18	OR 40 YEARS; RIGHT?
12:50PM	19	A. YES.
12:50PM	20	Q. NOW, YOU ALSO, YOU ALSO LOOK AT DEALS IN CONNECTION WITH
12:50PM	21	YOUR WIFE'S FAMILY OFFICE; IS THAT RIGHT?
12:50PM	22	A. UM, AGAIN, IT'S A LITTLE MORE NUANCED.
12:51PM	23	WE ALL INDEPENDENTLY LOOK FOR OPPORTUNITIES, AND WHEN WE
12:51PM	24	SEE OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE THINK ARE INTERESTING, WE SHARE.
12:51PM	25	Q. OKAY. SO YOU DISCUSS DEALS WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE INVOLVED

CORRECT. CORRECT.

Α.

12:53PM

THAT IS ONE OF THE PRIMARY REASONS, CORRECT.

25

Α.

12:54PM

AND THAT'S WHERE YOU'RE FROM; CORRECT?

24

25

12:55PM

12:55PM

Α.

Q.

YES.

THERANOS IN 2013; IS THAT FAIR?

23

24

25

12:56PM

12:57PM

12:57PM

Q.

OKAY. AND IT WAS MR. HARRIS'S CONTINUED CONFIDENCE IN

MS. HOLMES THAT WAS A FACTOR LEADING TO YOUR INVESTMENT IN

OKAY. SO IT'S TRUE, IS IT NOT, THAT ONE FACTOR IN YOUR

UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS

YES, I READ IT.

24

25

Q.

12:58PM

12:58PM

A. CORRECT.

21

22

23

24

25

12:59PM

12:59PM

12:59PM

12:59PM

12:59PM

- Q. AND THAT REPUTATION WAS AS A SUCCESSFUL INVESTOR IN START-UP COMPANIES; RIGHT?
- A. I KNEW OF HIS INVOLVEMENT WITH ORACLE, BUT I DIDN'T KNOW HIS OTHER HISTORY.

LEVEL OF NET WORTH; RIGHT?

25

01:02PM

01:02PM	1	A. CORRECT.
01:02PM	2	Q. AND YOU REPRESENTED, WHEN YOU ENTERED INTO THE AGREEMENT
01:02PM	3	TO BUY THERANOS SHARES, THAT YOU COULD BEAR THE RISK OF LOSING
01:02PM	4	THAT INVESTMENT; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:02PM	5	A. YES.
01:02PM	6	Q. AND THAT IT WOULDN'T IMPAIR YOUR FINANCIAL CONDITION IF
01:02PM	7	YOU LOST YOUR INVESTMENT; CORRECT?
01:02PM	8	A. CORRECT.
01:02PM	9	Q. AND WHEN YOU SIGNED THAT SHARE PURCHASE AGREEMENT IN 2006,
01:03PM	10	YOU MADE THOSE REPRESENTATIONS; RIGHT?
01:03PM	11	A. YES.
01:03PM	12	Q. OKAY. AND FOR YOUR 2006 INVESTMENT, YOU ALSO REPRESENTED
01:03PM	13	THAT YOU WERE AWARE THAT THIS WAS A SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT;
01:03PM	14	CORRECT?
01:03PM	15	A. WELL, AGAIN, THAT'S NUANCED. THAT'S WHAT THE LANGUAGE
01:03PM	16	SAYS IN THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT, OR THE AGREEMENT THAT I SIGNED,
01:03PM	17	WHICH IS SIMILAR TO AGREEMENTS THAT YOU ARE REQUESTED TO SIGN
01:03PM	18	ANY TIME YOU INVEST IN AN EARLY STAGE COMPANY, BUT IT SOMEWHAT
01:03PM	19	CONTRADICTED THE INFORMATION FLOW THAT I GOT BEFORE I MADE MY
01:03PM	20	INVESTMENT.
01:03PM	21	Q. OKAY. SO WITH REGARD TO THE 2006 AGREEMENT, IT WAS AN
01:03PM	22	AGREEMENT TO BUY SHARES; RIGHT?
01:03PM	23	A. YES.
01:03PM	24	Q. AND YOU SIGNED THE AGREEMENT; RIGHT?
01:03PM	25	A. YES.

01:03PM	1	Q. AND THERE WAS A PARAGRAPH IN THE AGREEMENT THAT SAID THIS
01:03PM	2	IS A SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT; IS THAT CORRECT? YES OR NO?
01:03PM	3	A. YES, CORRECT.
01:03PM	4	Q. REGARDING YOUR 2006 INVESTMENT, YOU INVESTED A TOTAL OF
01:04PM	5	\$1,134,243; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:04PM	6	A. YES.
01:04PM	7	Q. AND THE NUMBER OF SHARES THAT YOU BOUGHT WAS 402,214
01:04PM	8	SHARES?
01:04PM	9	A. YES.
01:04PM	10	Q. OKAY. AND THE PRICE PER SHARE IN 2006 WAS \$2.82; IS THAT
01:04PM	11	RIGHT?
01:04PM	12	A. YES.
01:04PM	13	Q. OKAY. SO AFTER YOUR 2006 INVESTMENT, YOU TESTIFIED THAT
01:04PM	14	YOU GOT QUARTERLY UPDATES FROM THE COMPANY; CORRECT?
01:04PM	15	A. CORRECT.
01:04PM	16	Q. AND YOU GOT THOSE FROM MS. HOLMES; RIGHT?
01:04PM	17	A. CORRECT.
01:04PM	18	Q. AND THEY, AGAIN, THEY WERE EXCLUSIVELY FROM MS. HOLMES;
01:04PM	19	RIGHT?
01:04PM	20	A. CORRECT.
01:04PM	21	Q. AND YOU UNDERSTOOD, OR YOU NOW UNDERSTAND THAT MR. BALWANI
01:05PM	22	DID NOT EVEN JOIN THERANOS UNTIL 2009; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:05PM	23	A. I DON'T RECALL WHEN HE JOINED.
01:05PM	24	Q. OKAY. BUT YOU KNOW HE WASN'T THERE IN 2006; RIGHT?
01:05PM	25	A. I DON'T KNOW THAT FOR A FACT, BUT I PRESUME SO.

01:05PM	1	Q. BECAUSE YOU NEVER COMMUNICATED WITH HIM AT THAT TIME;
01:05PM	2	CORRECT?
01:05PM	3	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
01:05PM	4	Q. AND YOU NEVER EVEN HEARD OF HIM AT THAT TIME; RIGHT?
01:05PM	5	A. CORRECT.
01:05PM	6	Q. YOU ALSO UNDERSTOOD, MR. EISENMAN, DIDN'T YOU, THAT YOU
01:05PM	7	HAD NO LEGAL RIGHT TO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY;
01:05PM	8	CORRECT?
01:05PM	9	A. CORRECT.
01:05PM	10	Q. ALL RIGHT. SO NOW LET'S TURN TO THE TIME PERIOD 2010 TO
01:05PM	11	2013.
01:05PM	12	AND I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO TURN IN YOUR BINDER TO
01:05PM	13	EXHIBIT 4 SORRY. EXHIBIT 14103.
01:06PM	14	A. OKAY.
01:06PM	15	Q. OKAY. LET ME JUST GET THERE.
01:06PM	16	ALL RIGHT. 14103 IS AN EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN YOU AND
01:06PM	17	MS. HOLMES, IS IT NOT?
01:06PM	18	A. YES.
01:06PM	19	Q. AND THE DATE OF THE CHAIN RANGES FROM MAY 3RD TO MAY 11TH,
01:06PM	20	2010; RIGHT?
01:06PM	21	A. YES.
01:06PM	22	Q. AND THIS IS ABOUT YOU ATTEMPTING TO GET UPDATES FROM
01:06PM	23	MS. HOLMES ABOUT THERANOS; RIGHT?
01:06PM	24	A. RIGHT.
01:06PM	25	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 14103.

01:06PM	1	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:06PM	2	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:06PM	3	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 14103 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:06PM	4	BY MS. WALSH:
01:06PM	5	Q. OKAY. SO LET'S GO TO THE FIRST EMAIL IN TIME.
01:07PM	6	(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
01:07PM	7	THE CLERK: I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS WRONG WITH THE
01:07PM	8	SCREEN.
01:07PM	9	MS. WALSH: I THINK IT'S JUST MINE.
01:07PM	10	THE COURT: ARE THE JUROR'S SCREENS UP?
01:07PM	11	YES. ALL RIGHT.
01:07PM	12	MS. WALSH: I CAN USE MY HARD COPY UNTIL WE FIX IT.
01:07PM	13	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
01:07PM	14	BY MS. WALSH:
01:07PM	15	Q. OKAY. SO TURNING TO THE FIRST EMAIL IN TIME, THAT'S ON
01:07PM	16	MAY 3RD, 2010; RIGHT?
01:08PM	17	A. YES.
01:08PM	18	Q. DO YOU SEE THAT, MR. EISENMAN?
01:08PM	19	A. YES.
01:08PM	20	Q. OKAY. AND IT'S FROM YOU TO ELIZABETH HOLMES; RIGHT?
01:08PM	21	A. YES.
01:08PM	22	Q. AND YOU'RE CC'ING CAROLYN BALKENHOL; RIGHT?
01:08PM	23	A. YES.
01:08PM	24	Q. AND WHO WAS CAROLYN BALKENHOL?
01:08PM	25	A. ELIZABETH'S ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT.

01:08PM	1	Q. OKAY. AND THE SUBJECT LINE IS JUNE QUARTERLY UPDATE.
01:08PM	2	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:08PM	3	A. YES.
01:08PM	4	Q. AND WHAT YOU SAY IS, "ELIZABETH,
01:08PM	5	"CAN WE SCHEDULE OUR QUARTERLY UPDATE THE WEEK OF JUNE 1ST
01:08PM	6	THROUGH JUNE 4TH?
01:08PM	7	"SINCE IT HAS BEEN 2 MONTHS SINCE WE TALKED, AND WILL
01:08PM	8	PROBABLY BE ANOTHER MONTH UNTIL WE TALK AGAIN, I WAS WONDERING
01:08PM	9	IF YOU COULD EMAIL ME A RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWING ITEMS?"
01:08PM	10	AND THEN YOU LIST ITEMS THAT YOU WANT INFORMATION ON?
01:08PM	11	A. YES.
01:08PM	12	Q. DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:08PM	13	A. YES.
01:08PM	14	Q. AND THE FIRST ITEM, YOU SAY, "YOU SAID THAT IN MARCH, YOU
01:08PM	15	WERE MANUFACTURING ABOUT 500,000 CARTRIDGES AND SELLING ABOUT
01:08PM	16	400,000 AT ABOUT \$80 PER CARTRIDGE. CAN YOU SHARE THE SAME
01:09PM	17	STATISTICS FOR APRIL, AND AN ESTIMATE FOR MAY?"
01:09PM	18	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:09PM	19	A. YES.
01:09PM	20	Q. AND THE SECOND QUESTION YOU ASK IS, "ARE YOU ENTERTAINING
01:09PM	21	ANY THOUGHTS OF A SHAREHOLDER'S MEETING? IF SO, WHEN?"
01:09PM	22	AND THE THIRD QUESTION IS, "IS THERE A POSSIBILITY OF AN
01:09PM	23	IPO IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE. IF SO, WHEN?"
01:09PM	24	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:09PM	25	A. YES.

01:09PM	1	Q. OKAY. AND THEN THE NEXT EMAIL UP IS AGAIN FROM YOU TO
01:09PM	2	MS. HOLMES AND YOU SAY, "WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE TO GET A RESPONSE
01:09PM	3	TODAY? I HAVE BEEN TRYING SINCE LAST MONDAY."
01:09PM	4	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:09PM	5	A. YES.
01:09PM	6	Q. AND THEN IT LOOKS LIKE YOU EMAIL AGAIN JUST WITH NO TEXT;
01:09PM	7	RIGHT?
01:09PM	8	DO YOU SEE THAT IN THE MIDDLE AT 8:12 A.M.?
01:09PM	9	A. YES.
01:09PM	10	Q. OKAY. AND THEN LET'S GO TO THE NEXT EMAIL UP. AND THIS
01:09PM	11	IS FROM MS. HOLMES TO YOU AND SHE SAYS, "ALAN,
01:09PM	12	"I RECEIVED YOUR EMAILS, AND CAROLYN JUST RELAYED TO ME
01:10PM	13	THE VOICEMAIL YOU LEFT TODAY. JUNE IS A TOUGH MONTH FOR US AND
01:10PM	14	I DON'T KNOW WHEN WE CAN DO OUR NEXT CALL. AS YOU KNOW, WE
01:10PM	15	DON'T DO QUARTERLY CALLS WITH OUR OTHER INVESTORS, MANY OF WHOM
01:10PM	16	INVESTED MUCH GREATER AMOUNTS THAN YOU DID, AND I CANNOT COMMIT
01:10PM	17	TO AN EXACT QUARTERLY SCHEDULE GOING FORWARD."
01:10PM	18	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:10PM	19	A. YES.
01:10PM	20	Q. AND THE NEXT PARAGRAPH SAYS, "AS DISCUSSED IN OUR CALL IN
01:10PM	21	MARCH, WE CANNOT PROVIDE THE LEVEL OF COMMUNICATION YOU KEEP
01:10PM	22	REQUESTING."
01:10PM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:10PM	24	A. YES.
01:10PM	25	Q. AND THEN SHE CONTINUES.

"WITH THE DEALS WE ARE FORMALIZING WITH RETAILERS, WE ARE 1 01:10PM 2 NOW OBLIGATED NOT TO DISCLOSE OUR PRODUCTION VOLUMES AND 01:10PM CARTRIDGE SALE PRICES. I CANNOT PROVIDE THE METRICS YOU ARE 3 01:10PM 01:10PM 4 REQUESTING IN YOUR EMAIL." AND THEN SHE GOES ON. 01:10PM 5 "AT THIS POINT, WE ALSO DON'T HAVE ANY PLANS TO DO AN IPO 01:10PM ANY TIME SOON. IN LINE WITH MY COMMENT ABOVE, WE CURRENTLY DO 01:10PM NOT HAVE A SHAREHOLDERS MEETING ON THE CALENDAR. I WILL BE 8 01:11PM REACHING OUT TO ALL SHAREHOLDERS IN THE COMING WEEKS TO 9 01:11PM CIRCULATE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR LIQUIDATION I REFERENCED ON OUR 10 01:11PM LAST CALL WITH EVERYONE." 01:11PM 11 01:11PM 12 DO YOU SEE THAT? 13 Α. YES. 01:11PM 14 Ο. AND THIS IS IN MAY 2010; RIGHT? 01:11PM 15 Α. YES. 01:11PM 16 Q. OKAY. 01:11PM 17 THE LAST PARAGRAPH SAYS, "GIVEN YOUR FRUSTRATION LEVEL AND 01:11PM 18 OUR FRUSTRATION LEVEL WITH THIS INTERACTION, I STRONGLY 01:11PM 01:11PM 19 ENCOURAGE YOU TO RE-CONSIDER THE OPPORTUNITY I PRESENTED ON OUR 20 LAST CALL TO REALIZE THE RETURN ON YOUR INVESTMENT. 01:11PM 21 RECOGNIZE YOU HAVE BEEN AN INVESTOR FOR SOME TIME, AND IF WE 01:11PM 22 PROCEED WITH THE TRANSACTION WE ARE PROPOSING WE CAN PROVIDE 01:11PM 23 YOU WITH A GREATER THAN 5 TIMES RETURN ON YOUR INVESTMENT IN 01:11PM 24 THERANOS. 01:11PM 25 "PLEASE LET ME KNOW." 01:11PM

01:11PM	1	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:11PM	2	A. YES.
01:11PM	3	Q. OKAY. AND AT THE TIME YOU GOT THIS EMAIL, YOU HAD NOT
01:12PM	4	SEEN ANY DOCUMENTS AT THE TIME RELATED TO ANY RETAIL
01:12PM	5	RELATIONSHIPS THERANOS WAS ENGAGING IN; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:12PM	6	A. YES.
01:12PM	7	Q. AND YOU WERE NOT AWARE AT THE TIME IF THERE WERE ANY
01:12PM	8	RESTRICTIONS PLACED ON THERANOS BY THE RETAIL RELATIONSHIPS ON
01:12PM	9	THE INFORMATION THEY COULD PROVIDE TO SHAREHOLDERS; IS THAT
01:12PM	10	RIGHT?
01:12PM	11	A. YES.
01:12PM	12	Q. AND YOU ALSO DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE FINAL AGREEMENT
01:12PM	13	WHETHER IN THE FINAL AGREEMENT THERE WAS ANY RESTRICTION ON THE
01:12PM	14	INFORMATION THAT THERANOS COULD PROVIDE; CORRECT?
01:12PM	15	A. CORRECT.
01:12PM	16	Q. BECAUSE YOU NEVER SAW THE FINAL AGREEMENT; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:12PM	17	A. YES.
01:12PM	18	Q. OKAY. OKAY. NOW IF YOU CAN TURN IN YOUR BINDER TO
01:12PM	19	EXHIBIT 12285.
01:13PM	20	DO YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU?
01:13PM	21	A. YES.
01:13PM	22	Q. OKAY. AND SO THIS IS AN EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN YOU AND
01:13PM	23	MS. HOLMES; CORRECT?
01:13PM	24	A. YES.
01:13PM	25	Q. AND IT IS ON OR IT SPANS JUNE 8TH TO JUNE 18TH, 2010;

01:13PM	1	RIGHT?
01:13PM	2	A. YES.
01:13PM	3	Q. AND, AGAIN, IT RELATES TO YOUR SEEKING INFORMATION FROM
01:13PM	4	THERANOS; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:13PM	5	A. YES.
01:13PM	6	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 12285.
01:13PM	7	MR. BOSTIC: 801, YOUR HONOR.
01:13PM	8	MS. WALSH: SO, YOUR HONOR, THIS AT LEAST GOES TO
01:14PM	9	MR. EISENMAN'S STATE OF MIND AS HE'S CONSIDERING INVESTING IN
01:14PM	10	THERANOS.
01:14PM	11	THE COURT: SO NOT FOR THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER
01:14PM	12	ASSERTED IN THE EMAIL? IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?
01:14PM	13	MS. WALSH: I MEAN, I DON'T THINK IT'S AN 801
01:14PM	14	PROBLEM, BUT TO THE EXTENT THAT THE COURT HAS ANY RESERVATION,
01:14PM	15	IT CERTAINLY IS RELEVANT AND NOT HEARSAY AS TO MR. EISENMAN'S
01:14PM	16	STATE OF MIND.
01:14PM	17	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
01:14PM	18	MR. BOSTIC: ON THAT POINT, YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT SURE
01:14PM	19	THE FOUNDATION HAS BEEN LAID, BUT THIS ACTUALLY IMPACTED THIS
01:14PM	20	WITNESS'S STATE OF MIND.
01:15PM	21	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO LAY A FOUNDATION FOR THE
01:15PM	22	STATE OF MIND ISSUE IF YOU CAN?
01:15PM	23	MS. WALSH: SURE. SURE, YOUR HONOR.
01:15PM	24	Q. SO OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEARS, MR. EISENMAN, YOU WERE
01:15PM	25	TRYING TO GET INFORMATION FROM THERANOS; RIGHT?

01:15PM	1	A. YES.
01:15PM	2	Q. AND YOU SENT MANY EMAILS TO TRY TO DO THAT; CORRECT?
01:15PM	3	A. CORRECT.
01:15PM	4	Q. AND YOU ENGAGED IN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH MS. HOLMES
01:15PM	5	ESPECIALLY TO TRY TO GET INFORMATION FROM HER; RIGHT?
01:15PM	6	A. YES.
01:15PM	7	Q. AND IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO GET INFORMATION FROM
01:15PM	8	THERANOS; CORRECT?
01:15PM	9	A. CORRECT.
01:15PM	10	Q. AND YOU HAD A LOT OF BACK AND FORTH WITH MS. HOLMES ABOUT
01:15PM	11	QUESTIONS YOU HAD AND RESPONSES THAT SHE GAVE; CORRECT?
01:15PM	12	A. CORRECT.
01:15PM	13	Q. AND IT WAS IMPORTANT TO YOUR DECISION TO INVEST AS TO THE
01:15PM	14	LEVEL OF INFORMATION THAT YOU WERE GETTING; RIGHT?
01:15PM	15	A. WELL, THIS IS 2010. I INVESTED IN 2006 AND AGAIN IN 2013.
01:16PM	16	SO THIS DIDN'T DIRECTLY RELATE TO MY DECISION TO INVEST.
01:16PM	17	Q. RIGHT. BUT OVER THE COURSE OF TIME, MR. EISENMAN, YOU
01:16PM	18	WERE EMAILING QUITE FREQUENTLY TO GET INFORMATION FROM
01:16PM	19	THERANOS, WERE YOU NOT?
01:16PM	20	A. CORRECT.
01:16PM	21	Q. AND THIS IS ONE OF THOSE EMAILS; RIGHT?
01:16PM	22	A. YES.
01:16PM	23	Q. AND GENERALLY GETTING INFORMATION FROM THERANOS WAS
01:16PM	24	IMPORTANT TO YOUR DECISION, TO YOUR INVESTMENT DECISIONS;
01:16PM	25	CORRECT?

01:16PM	1	A. WELL, AGAIN, THIS IS 2010 AND I INVESTED FOUR YEARS BEFORE
01:16PM	2	AND MY NEXT INVESTMENT WAS THREE YEARS LATER.
01:16PM	3	SO IT DID NOT DIRECTLY RELATE TO A DECISION TO INVEST.
01:16PM	4	Q. RIGHT. BUT IT WAS IMPORTANT GENERALLY FOR YOU TO GET
01:16PM	5	INFORMATION FROM THERANOS, WASN'T IT?
01:16PM	6	A. YES.
01:16PM	7	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 12285.
01:16PM	8	MR. BOSTIC: 801, 403.
01:16PM	9	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, THIS WITNESS HAS TESTIFIED
01:16PM	10	ABOUT TRYING TO GET INFORMATION FROM THERANOS, AND THIS IS JUST
01:16PM	11	ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF HIM TRYING TO DO THIS.
01:17PM	12	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, NO OBJECTION TO THE
01:17PM	13	COMMUNICATION FROM THE WITNESS THAT SHOWS THAT.
01:17PM	14	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, THIS WITNESS HAS ALSO
01:17PM	15	TESTIFIED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF LIQUIDATION EVENTS AND THIS
01:17PM	16	EMAIL DIRECTLY RESPONDS TO THAT.
01:17PM	17	AND EVEN IF WHAT IS IN IT IS TRUE OR NOT TRUE, IT GOES TO
01:17PM	18	HIS STATE OF MIND REGARDING THE OPPORTUNITIES TO LIQUIDATE.
01:17PM	19	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO ASK HIM ABOUT THAT?
01:17PM	20	MS. WALSH: SURE.
01:17PM	21	Q. SO, MR. EISENMAN, SINCE YOU INVESTED IN 2006, YOU ASKED
01:17PM	22	MANY QUESTIONS ABOUT WHEN IT WAS THAT THERE WOULD BE AN
01:17PM	23	OPPORTUNITY TO LIQUIDATE YOUR INVESTMENT IN THERANOS; IS THAT
01:18PM	24	RIGHT?
01:18PM	25	A. NOT EXACTLY.

I, I QUESTIONED THE COMPANY, OR ELIZABETH, ALONG THE WAY 1 01:18PM 2 ABOUT THE POTENTIAL FOR IPO, AND UPON AN IPO, THAT DOESN'T 01:18PM NECESSARILY MEAN THAT I WOULD LIQUIDATE, BUT THERE WOULD BE AN 3 01:18PM 01:18PM 4 OPPORTUNITY IF THERE WAS A PUBLIC MARKET. SO YOU QUESTIONED MS. HOLMES ABOUT OPPORTUNITIES TO 01:18PM 5 LIQUIDATE; IS THAT RIGHT? 6 01:18PM I QUESTIONED HER ABOUT OPPORTUNITIES ABOUT THE 01:18PM POTENTIAL TIMING FOR AN IPO. 8 01:18PM 9 AND AN IPO IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO LIQUIDATE, ISN'T IT? Q. 01:18PM IT MEANS THAT THERE IS A PUBLIC MARKET AND THEN YOU MAKE A 10 01:18PM Α. DECISION WHETHER TO LIQUIDATE. 01:18PM 11 01:18PM 12 I WAS NOT CONTEMPLATING A LIQUIDATION IN ANY OF THESE CONVERSATIONS. I WAS TRYING TO DETERMINE IF AND WHEN THERE 13 01:18PM WOULD BE AN IPO. 14 01:18PM SO, YES, THAT OPPORTUNITY WOULD BE THERE. BUT THAT 15 01:18PM DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THAT I WOULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THAT 16 01:18PM 17 OPPORTUNITY. 01:18PM 18 Q. RIGHT. 01:18PM 01:18PM 19 SO AN IPO GIVES YOU THE OPPORTUNITY TO LIQUIDATE YOUR 20 THERANOS SHARES; IS THAT RIGHT? 01:19PM Α. 21 THAT'S CORRECT. 01:19PM MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 12285. 22 01:19PM 23 THE COURT: YOU KNOW, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THIS 01:19PM 24 INFORMATION IS ALREADY IN FRONT OF THE JURY THROUGH ANOTHER --01:19PM 25 I DO SEE SOME 801 ISSUES WITH THE FIRST PAGE, PAGE 1. 01:19PM

01:19PM	1	LET ME JUST SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION AT THIS POINT, AND IF
01:19PM	2	YOU WANT TO LAY A FOUNDATION TO CHANGE THAT?
01:19PM	3	MS. WALSH: OKAY. AND, YOUR HONOR, ON THE HEARSAY
01:19PM	4	ISSUE, EVEN IF IT'S NOT COMING IN FOR THE TRUTH, SO THERE
01:19PM	5	WOULDN'T BE ANY 801 ISSUE.
01:19PM	6	THE COURT: WELL, I SUPPOSE THEN IT'S A 104 ISSUE.
01:19PM	7	WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF SOME OF THIS OTHER THE COLLOQUY
01:19PM	8	HERE?
01:19PM	9	MS. WALSH: JUST THE OPPORTUNITIES TO LIQUIDATE AND
01:19PM	10	THE QUESTIONS ABOUT
01:19PM	11	THE COURT: I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU.
01:20PM	12	THE SECOND PARAGRAPH MIGHT HAVE 403 ISSUES.
01:20PM	13	MS. WALSH: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH OF MS. HOLMES'S
01:20PM	14	EMAIL?
01:20PM	15	THE COURT: YES.
01:20PM	16	MS. WALSH: I SEE.
01:20PM	17	WE CAN REDACT THAT PARAGRAPH.
01:20PM	18	I'M REALLY INTERESTED IN ONE SENTENCE IN THE FIRST
01:20PM	19	PARAGRAPH, THE THIRD PARAGRAPH, AND SOME OF THE BULLETS.
01:20PM	20	THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH, I'M HAPPY TO REDACT THAT.
01:20PM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
01:20PM	22	IF YOU COULD REDACT THE SECOND PARAGRAPH IN MS. HOLMES'S
01:20PM	23	EMAIL
01:20PM	24	MS. WALSH: GREAT.
01:20PM	25	THE COURT: THEN I'LL ALLOW THIS TO COME IN.

01:20PM	1	MS. WALSH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:20PM	2	AND CAN IT BE PUBLISHED WITH THAT REDACTION?
01:20PM	3	THE COURT: YES. YES.
01:20PM	4	MS. WALSH: GREAT.
01:20PM	5	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 12285, REDACTED, WAS RECEIVED IN
01:20PM	6	EVIDENCE.)
01:20PM	7	BY MS. WALSH:
01:20PM	8	Q. SO TURNING TO THE FIRST EMAIL IN TIME, MR. EISENMAN, THIS
01:20PM	9	IS TUESDAY, JUNE 8TH, 2010, FROM YOU TO MS. HOLMES REGARDING A
01:20PM	10	JUNE CALL.
01:20PM	11	AND YOU SAY, "ELIZABETH,
01:21PM	12	I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO CONTACT YOU OR CAROLYN"
01:21PM	13	THAT'S MS. HOLMES'S ASSISTANT; RIGHT?
01:21PM	14	A. YES.
01:21PM	15	Q "FOR THE PAST WEEK BY EMAIL AND PHONE TO SCHEDULE OUR
01:21PM	16	JUNE CALL, AND A JULY MEETING. IN MY 23 YEARS IN THE
01:21PM	17	INVESTMENT BUSINESS, I HAVE NEVER BEEN TREATED WITH SUCH
01:21PM	18	DISREGARD. MY INVESTMENT MAY PALE IN COMPARISON TO SOME OF
01:21PM	19	YOUR OTHER INVESTORS, BUT IT IS EXTREMELY MATERIAL TO ME. WILL
01:21PM	20	YOU OR CAROLYN PLEASE RESPOND REGARDING THE JUNE CALL AND THE
01:21PM	21	JULY MEETING."
01:21PM	22	AND THEN MS. HOLMES RESPONDS TO YOU AND SHE SAYS, "ALAN,
01:21PM	23	"AS I HAVE COMMUNICATED MULTIPLE TIMES, WE CANNOT COMMIT
01:21PM	24	TO QUARTERLY INVESTOR UPDATES, ESPECIALLY ON AN EXACT SCHEDULE.
01:21PM	25	WE ARE WORKING ON PROCESSING YOUR REQUEST TO GET YOU THE PAPERS

01:21PM	1	ON THE TRANSACTION TO SELL YOUR SHARES. WE NEED TO PROCESS
01:21PM	2	THAT BEFORE SCHEDULING ANY MEETING AT THERANOS WHICH WOULD
01:21PM	3	NOT BE NECESSARY AT THAT POINT."
01:21PM	4	AND THEN GOING DOWN TO THE PARAGRAPH STARTING WITH "AS YOU
01:21PM	5	HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED, THERANOS IS AN EARLY STAGE LIFE SCIENCES
01:22PM	6	STARTUP AND BY IT'S VERY NATURE CARRIES IMMENSE RISK AND
01:22PM	7	UNPREDICTABILITY AS YOU ALREADY KNOW AS A SAVVY INVESTOR. THIS
01:22PM	8	MAY NOT CHANGE FOR YEARS TO COME."
01:22PM	9	DO YOU SEE THAT, MR. EISENMAN?
01:22PM	10	A. YES.
01:22PM	11	Q. OKAY. AND THEN GOING DOWN TO THE SECOND BULLET WHERE SHE
01:22PM	12	SAYS, "I HAVE INCLUDED ANSWERS TO YOUR QUESTIONS FROM YOUR
01:22PM	13	VARIOUS EMAILS BELOW."
01:22PM	14	THE SECOND BULLET SAYS, "PER YOUR QUESTION, WE DID NOT
01:22PM	15	ACHIEVE \$200 MILLION IN SALES IN 2009."
01:22PM	16	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:22PM	17	A. YES.
01:22PM	18	Q. SO SHE'S TELLING YOU WHAT THERANOS DID NOT ACHIEVE; RIGHT?
01:22PM	19	A. RIGHT.
01:22PM	20	Q. AND THEN IF WE GO DOWN TO THE FOURTH BULLET, SHE SAYS, "WE
01:22PM	21	CURRENTLY DO NOT HAVE PLANS TO GO IPO BY THE END OF 2011 AND DO
01:22PM	22	NOT HAVE 'A NEW WINDOW' PER YOUR EMAIL."
01:22PM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:22PM	24	A. YES.
01:22PM	25	Q. OKAY. WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.

01:23PM	1	IF YOU CAN TURN IN YOUR BINDER TO 14224.
01:23PM	2	A. OKAY.
01:23PM	3	Q. IS THAT AN EMAIL BETWEEN YOU AND MS. HOLMES, CC'ING
01:23PM	4	DAVID HARRIS AND CAROLYN BALKENHOL?
01:23PM	5	A. YES.
01:23PM	6	Q. AND THAT'S THE DATE OF THE EMAIL IS JULY 1ST, 2010; IS
01:23PM	7	THAT RIGHT?
01:23PM	8	A. YES.
01:23PM	9	Q. AND IT RELATES TO A DECISION TO SELL STOCK; CORRECT?
01:23PM	10	A. YES.
01:23PM	11	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 14224.
01:23PM	12	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:23PM	13	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:23PM	14	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 14224 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:23PM	15	BY MS. WALSH:
01:23PM	16	Q. OKAY. SO THIS EMAIL, MR. EISENMAN, IS ABOUT A MONTH AFTER
01:23PM	17	THE EMAIL THAT WE LAST JUST SAW FROM MS. HOLMES WHERE SHE
01:23PM	18	WAS TALKING ABOUT AN OFFER TO SO THAT YOU COULD SELL YOUR
01:24PM	19	STOCK; RIGHT?
01:24PM	20	A. RIGHT.
01:24PM	21	Q. AND WHAT YOU SAY IN THIS EMAIL IS, "ELIZABETH,
01:24PM	22	"I HAVEN'T MADE A DECISION WHETHER TO SELL STOCK."
01:24PM	23	AND THEN, "ACCORDINGLY, I WOULD GREATLY APPRECIATE IF YOU
01:24PM	24	WOULD TRY TO SCHEDULE OUR QUARTERLY UPDATE," AND SO FORTH.
01:24PM	25	DO YOU SEE THAT?

01:24PM	1	A. YES.
01:24PM	2	Q. AND SO YOU HADN'T DECIDED WHETHER YOU WERE GOING TO SELL
01:24PM	3	YOUR STOCK; RIGHT?
01:24PM	4	A. CORRECT.
01:24PM	5	Q. OKAY. LET'S TURN TO 14225.
01:24PM	6	A. OKAY.
01:24PM	7	Q. OKAY. AND THIS IS AN EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN YOU AND
01:24PM	8	MS. HOLMES AND MS. BALKENHOL IN JULY 2010 ALSO TALKING ABOUT
01:24PM	9	TRYING TO GET INFORMATION REGARDING THERANOS.
01:24PM	10	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:24PM	11	A. YES.
01:24PM	12	Q. OKAY. AND IT'S YOU WANT TO KNOW VARIOUS PIECES OF
01:25PM	13	INFORMATION.
01:25PM	14	YOU'RE EMAILING THEM TRYING TO GET THIS INFORMATION AS A
01:25PM	15	PART OF JUST KEEPING UP WITH WHAT THERANOS WAS DOING; RIGHT?
01:25PM	16	A. YES.
01:25PM	17	Q. MONITORING YOUR INVESTMENT; CORRECT?
01:25PM	18	A. IT WAS, IT WAS MONITORING THE INVESTMENT GENERALLY.
01:25PM	19	BUT SPECIFICALLY IT WAS POTENTIALLY AN OFFER TO BUY STOCK,
01:25PM	20	SO I WAS PURSUING THAT OFFER, AND ALSO TRYING TO PURSUE WHAT
01:25PM	21	INFORMATION THEY WOULD SHARE TO MAKE AN INTELLIGENT DECISION
01:25PM	22	WHETHER OR NOT TO SELL STOCK.
01:25PM	23	Q. OKAY. SO YOU WERE MAKING IT YOU WANTED INFORMATION TO
01:25PM	24	MAKE AN ASSESSMENT; CORRECT?
01:25PM	25	A. CORRECT.

01:25PM	1	Q. OKAY.
01:25PM	2	YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 14225.
01:25PM	3	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:25PM	4	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:25PM	5	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 14225 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:25PM	6	BY MS. WALSH:
01:25PM	7	Q. LET'S TURN TO THE FIRST EMAIL IN TIME.
01:25PM	8	THIS IS FROM YOU TO ELIZABETH HOLMES, CC'ING DAVID HARRIS
01:25PM	9	AND CAROLYN BALKENHOL, AND THAT'S ON JULY 13TH, 2010.
01:26PM	10	AND YOU SAY, "ELIZABETH,
01:26PM	11	"I HEARD YESTERDAY THAT YOU COMPLETED AN EQUITY OFFERING.
01:26PM	12	I ALSO HEARD FROM DAVID THAT THE OFFER TO BUY STOCK MAY NOT
01:26PM	13	COME UNTIL YEAR END, INSTEAD OF BY THE END OF THE SUMMER."
01:26PM	14	AND THEN YOU SAY AT THE END, "ALSO, CAN YOU PLEASE TELL ME
01:26PM	15	WHAT VALUATION THIS EQUITY ROUND WAS PRICED?"
01:26PM	16	SO YOU WANT TO KNOW THE PRICE; RIGHT?
01:26PM	17	A. RIGHT.
01:26PM	18	Q. AND THEN IN RESPONSE MS. HOLMES SAYS, "ALAN,
01:26PM	19	"PER MY PREVIOUS EMAIL I WILL CALL YOU WHEN WE HAVE
01:26PM	20	SOMETHING CONCRETE."
01:26PM	21	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:26PM	22	A. YES.
01:26PM	23	Q. AND THEN YOU SAY, "ELIZABETH,
01:26PM	24	"I AM REALLY TRYING TO KEEP MY EMAILS TO A MINIMUM. CAN
01:26PM	25	YOU RESPOND TO MY INQUIRY BELOW ABOUT THE PRICING OF THE EQUITY

01:26PM	1	ROUND AND IF THE OFFER TO BUY OUR STOCK IS DELAYED UNTIL YEAR
01:26PM	2	END?
01:26PM	3	"ALSO, YOUR RESPONSE TO AN UPDATE CALL IS VAGUE. DO YOU
01:27PM	4	THINK YOU CAN SCHEDULE A MEETING?"
01:27PM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:27PM	6	A. YES.
01:27PM	7	Q. AND LET'S GO TO THE NEXT ONE UP IN THE EMAIL CHAIN. THIS
01:27PM	8	ONE YOU TOOK MS. HOLMES OFF OF THE CHAIN; RIGHT? THIS IS THE
01:27PM	9	ONE AT 1:14 P.M.?
01:27PM	10	A. AGAIN, I DON'T RECALL THIS MAY HAVE BEEN INTENDING TO
01:27PM	11	GO TO ELIZABETH AND FOR SOME REASON IT ONLY GOT SENT TO HER
01:27PM	12	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT.
01:27PM	13	Q. OKAY. BUT ELIZABETH HOLMES DOES NOT APPEAR ON THIS EMAIL;
01:27PM	14	RIGHT?
01:27PM	15	A. RIGHT.
01:27PM	16	Q. AND SO YOU'RE EMAILING HER ASSISTANT; CORRECT?
01:27PM	17	A. YES.
01:27PM	18	Q. AND THEN YOU SAY, "AS YOU CAN SEE, THIS WAS SENT ON
01:27PM	19	JULY 13TH, AND AGAIN ON JULY 16TH WITH NO RESPONSE. CAN YOU,"
01:27PM	20	THEN IN ALL CAPS, "PLEASE RESPOND TODAY?"
01:27PM	21	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:27PM	22	A. YES.
01:27PM	23	Q. AND YOU WERE AWARE, MR. EISENMAN, THAT PUTTING ALL CAPS IN
01:27PM	24	EMAILS IS YELLING IN AN EMAIL.
01:27PM	25	WERE YOU AWARE OF THAT?

1 01:27PM 2 01:27PM 3 01:28PM 01:28PM 4 01:28PM 5 01:28PM 01:28PM 8 01:28PM 9 01:28PM 10 01:28PM 01:28PM 11 01:28PM 12 13 01:28PM 14 01:28PM 15 01:28PM 16 01:28PM 17 01:28PM 18 01:28PM 01:28PM 19 20 01:29PM 21 01:29PM 01:29PM 22 23 01:29PM 24 01:29PM

25

01:29PM

- A. NO.
- Q. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PART OF THE CHAIN.

THIS IS FROM MS. HOLMES'S ASSISTANT TO YOU AND SHE SAYS, "ALAN,

"I HAVEN'T BEEN RESPONDING, BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE ANY NEW INFORMATION FOR YOU, AND I ASSUMED THAT WOULD ONLY FRUSTRATE YOU. I ASSURE YOU THAT AS SOON AS I HAVE NEW INFORMATION, YOU WILL BE THE VERY FIRST TO KNOW."

DO YOU SEE THAT?

- A. YES.
- Q. AND THEN LET'S GO UP TO THE NEXT PART OF THE CHAIN, WHICH IS YOUR RESPONSE TO, AGAIN, MS. HOLMES'S ASSISTANT.

AND YOU SAY, "SURELY YOU CAN TELL ME THE PRICING OF THE EQUITY OFFERING. IF YOU DON'T KNOW, PLEASE ASK ELIZABETH. SHE SHOULD ALSO BE ABLE TO LET ME KNOW IF THE OFFERING MATERIALS HAVE BEEN DELAYED. IN HER ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION TO ME, SHE SAID WE WOULD RECEIVE THEM THIS SUMMER. IN HER COMMUNICATION TO DAVID, SHE SAID WE WOULD RECEIVE THEM BY THE END OF THE YEAR. IF ELIZABETH WOULD SCHEDULE OUR CALL, SHE COULD CLEAR UP A LOT OF THIS CONFUSION. WE ARE ALMOST INTO AUGUST FOR OUR JUNE CALL. MY WIFE IS A PARTNER IN THIS INVESTMENT, AND THE TWO OF US REALLY DON'T APPRECIATE THE COMMUNICATION BREAKDOWN."

DO YOU SEE THAT?

A. YES.

Q. AND THEN YOU EMAIL AGAIN, I GUESS THIS IS AN HOUR LATER ON

THE SAME DAY, TO MS. HOLMES'S ASSISTANT. 1 01:29PM "I WOULD GREATLY APPRECIATE AN ANSWER TODAY. THANKS 2 01:29PM AGAIN, ALAN." 3 01:29PM DO YOU SEE THAT? 01:29PM 4 01:29PM 5 Α. YES. AND THEN YOU EMAIL AGAIN A COUPLE OF DAYS LATER AND YOU 0. 01:29PM SAY, "NEITHER OF US WANTS TO HAVE MULTIPLE EMAILS FOR WHAT 01:29PM SHOULD BE A STRAIGHTFORWARD AND SIMPLE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION. 8 01:29PM 9 IS THERE A REASON YOU HAVEN'T ANSWERED THE EMAIL BELOW? I 01:29PM WOULD GREATLY APPRECIATE A RESPONSE TODAY." 10 01:29PM DO YOU SEE THAT? 01:29PM 11 12 Α. YES. 01:29PM 13 0. AND THEN THE NEXT EMAIL UP IS THE NEXT DAY, AND YOU EMAIL 01:29PM MS. HOLMES'S ASSISTANT, COPYING MS. HOLMES AGAIN, YOU PUT HER 14 01:29PM BACK INTO THE CHAIN, AND YOU SAY, "IS THERE A REASON MY EMAILS 15 01:29PM 16 ARE NOT GETTING A RESPONSE? THIS IS UPSETTING TO BOTH ME AND 01:29PM 17 MY WIFE. PLEASE CALL OR EMAIL TODAY." 01:30PM 18 DO YOU SEE THAT? 01:30PM 01:30PM 19 Α. YES. 20 AND THEN MS. HOLMES FINALLY RESPONDS AT THE END OF THE 01:30PM 21 CHAIN AND SHE SAYS, "ALAN, 01:30PM 22 "YOUR CONTINUED DAILY CALLS AND EMAILS AFTER WE'VE ALREADY 01:30PM 23 TOLD YOU MULTIPLE TIMES THAT WE DO NOT HAVE ADDITIONAL 01:30PM 24 INFORMATION WE CAN DISCLOSE BEYOND WHAT WE'VE ALREADY SHARED 01:30PM 25 WITH DAVID ARE UPSETTING TO US. ONCE WE HAVE ADDITIONAL 01:30PM

01:30PM	1	INFORMATION WE CAN SHARE WITH YOU WE WILL CONTACT YOU AS
01:30PM	2	MENTIONED MULTIPLE TIMES BEFORE YOU'RE NOT GETTING A
01:30PM	3	RESPONSE BECAUSE IT IS NOT AN EFFECTIVE USE OF OUR TIME TO KEEP
01:30PM	4	REPEATING THIS."
01:30PM	5	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:30PM	6	A. YES.
01:30PM	7	Q. OKAY. WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.
01:30PM	8	NOW, IF YOU COULD TURN TO 14226 IN YOUR BINDER.
01:31PM	9	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:31PM	10	A. YES.
01:31PM	11	Q. AND IS THIS ANOTHER EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN YOU AND MS. HOLMES
01:31PM	12	TRYING TO GET INFORMATION ABOUT THERANOS?
01:31PM	13	A. YES.
01:31PM	14	Q. AND THIS IS IN SEPTEMBER 2010; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:31PM	15	A. YES.
01:31PM	16	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 14226.
01:31PM	17	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:31PM	18	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:31PM	19	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 14226 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:31PM	20	BY MS. WALSH:
01:31PM	21	Q. OKAY. TURNING TO THE FIRST EMAIL IN TIME FROM YOU TO
01:31PM	22	MS. HOLMES ON SEPTEMBER 27TH, 2010, THE SUBJECT LINE IS
01:31PM	23	LARRY ELLISON.
01:31PM	24	"ELIZABETH,
01:31PM	25	"I NOTICED THAT LARRY IS NOT CURRENTLY LISTED AS A

01:31PM	1	DIRECTOR. DID HE GO OFF THE BOARD? IF SO, WHY? ALSO, DO YOU
01:31PM	2	HAVE ANY VISIBILITY WHEN WE WILL RECEIVE INFORMATION ABOUT THE
01:31PM	3	DUTCH OFFER?"
01:31PM	4	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:31PM	5	A. YES.
01:31PM	6	Q. OKAY. AND THEN SHE RESPONDS, "ALAN,
01:31PM	7	"I RECEIVED THIS EMAIL FROM SATURDAY AS WELL AT THIS
01:31PM	8	POINT, PER OUR CALL, THERE IS NO NEW INFORMATION TO BE SHARED
01:31PM	9	WITH OUR INVESTOR BASE. AS DISCUSSED, WHEN WE COMMUNICATE
01:32PM	10	ANYTHING WITH OUR INVESTOR BASE, YOU WILL BE INCLUDED ON THOSE
01:32PM	11	COMMUNICATIONS AS WELL."
01:32PM	12	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:32PM	13	A. YES.
01:32PM	14	Q. OKAY. WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.
01:32PM	15	LET'S GO TO 12185.
01:32PM	16	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:32PM	17	A. YES.
01:32PM	18	Q. OKAY. THIS IS AN EMAIL IN FEBRUARY 2011. SO WE'RE
01:32PM	19	MARCHING FORWARD IN TIME THROUGH THE YEARS. WE'RE NOW IN 2011.
01:32PM	20	AND IT'S BETWEEN YOU AND MS. HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI.
01:32PM	21	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:32PM	22	A. YES.
01:32PM	23	Q. AND THIS IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF YOUR TRYING TO GET
01:33PM	24	INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY AGAIN; CORRECT?
01:33PM	25	A. CORRECT.

01:33PM	1	Q. AND IT RELATES TO A POSSIBLE TENDER AND THE BUSINESS;
01:33PM	2	CORRECT?
01:33PM	3	A. CORRECT.
01:33PM	4	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 12185.
01:33PM	5	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:33PM	6	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:33PM	7	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 12185 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:33PM	8	BY MS. WALSH:
01:33PM	9	Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S START WITH THE FIRST EMAIL IN TIME.
01:33PM	10	ON JANUARY 31ST, MR. EISENMAN, YOU EMAIL MS. HOLMES AND
01:33PM	11	MR. BALWANI.
01:33PM	12	AND WHAT YOU SAY IS "ANOTHER 2 MONTHS HAVE PASSED WITHOUT
01:33PM	13	ANY UPDATES ON THE TENDER OR THE BUSINESS. CAN WE SCHEDULE A
01:33PM	14	CALL SOMETIME OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS?"
01:33PM	15	AND THEN MR. BALWANI REPLIES ON FEBRUARY 1ST AND HE SAYS,
01:33PM	16	"THERE IS NO QUARTERLY UPDATE WE PROVIDE. AS MENTIONED TO YOU
01:33PM	17	BEFORE, WE WILL SEND UPDATES TO ALL INVESTORS AT THE SAME
01:33PM	18	TIME."
01:33PM	19	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:33PM	20	A. YES.
01:34PM	21	Q. AND THEN YOU RESPOND IN THE NEXT PART OF THE CHAIN.
01:34PM	22	AND YOU'RE SENDING IT TO MR. BALWANI, BUT YOU SAY
01:34PM	23	"ELIZABETH,
01:34PM	24	"YOU HAVE PROVIDED A QUARTERLY UPDATE TO ME, AND SOMETIMES
01:34PM	25	TO OTHER INVESTORS, FROM THE TIME I INVESTED IN THERANOS OVER

01:34PM	1	4 YEARS AGO, UNTIL THE MIDDLE OF LAST YEAR. YOU ALSO INDICATED
01:34PM	2	THAT THERE WOULD BE THE KIND OF INFORMATION I WAS LOOKING FOR
01:34PM	3	WHEN THE TENDER MATERIALS WERE TO BE CIRCULATED. NOW, YOU HAVE
01:34PM	4	LEFT US TOTALLY CLUELESS IF AND WHEN THESE MATERIALS WILL BE
01:34PM	5	CIRCULATED. I UNDERSTAND YOU DON'T HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO
01:34PM	6	UPDATE US, BUT IT WOULD BE APPRECIATED IF YOU WOULD ARRANGE A
01:34PM	7	CALL."
01:34PM	8	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:34PM	9	A. YES.
01:34PM	10	Q. AND THEN YOU EMAIL AGAIN A FEW DAYS LATER, I GUESS THREE
01:34PM	11	DAYS LATER, SAYING, "ELIZABETH,
01:34PM	12	"SENT 3 DAYS AGO WITH NO RESPONSE. CAN YOU PLEASE EMAIL
01:34PM	13	OR CALL THIS WEEKEND IF YOU ARE TOO BUSY TODAY."
01:35PM	14	AND THEN MR. BALWANI RESPONDS, "ALAN.
01:35PM	15	WE HAVE ALREADY RESPONDED TO YOUR EMAILS. NOTHING MORE TO
01:35PM	16	ADD."
01:35PM	17	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:35PM	18	A. YES.
01:35PM	19	Q. WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.
01:35PM	20	LET'S GO TO 12252. AGAIN, WE ARE GOING FORWARD IN TIME.
01:35PM	21	I'LL WAIT UNTIL YOU GET THERE. OKAY.
01:35PM	22	IS THIS AN EMAIL ON MAY 16TH, 2012?
01:35PM	23	A. YES.
01:35PM	24	Q. AND IT'S FROM IT'S BETWEEN YOU AND A PERSON NAMED
01:35PM	25	NANCY MINNIG; CORRECT?

01:35PM	1	A. CORRECT.
01:35PM	2	Q. AND NANCY MINNIG WAS THE ASSISTANT FOR DON LUCAS; CORRECT?
01:35PM	3	A. CORRECT.
01:35PM	4	Q. AND DON LUCAS WAS ON THE BOARD OF THERANOS; RIGHT?
01:35PM	5	A. RIGHT.
01:35PM	6	Q. AND THIS IS ANOTHER EMAIL OF YOU TRYING TO GET INFORMATION
01:35PM	7	ABOUT THERANOS; CORRECT?
01:35PM	8	A. CORRECT.
01:35PM	9	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 12252.
01:36PM	10	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:36PM	11	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:36PM	12	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 12252 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:36PM	13	BY MS. WALSH:
01:36PM	14	Q. SO ON THE BOTTOM EMAIL, MS. MINNIG SAYS, "HI ALAN,
01:36PM	15	"MY APOLOGIES FOR NOT GETTING BACK TO YOU. I SPOKE TO DON
01:36PM	16	AND HE DOESN'T HAVE ANY FURTHER INFORMATION AT THIS TIME.
01:36PM	17	THERE HAVE BEEN ARTICLES IN THE NEWS AS YOU HAD MENTIONED YOU
01:36PM	18	WERE AWARE OF AND DON SUGGESTED THAT YOU CONTINUE TO FOLLOW THE
01:36PM	19	COMPANY IN THIS MANNER. WHEN THERE IS INFORMATION THAT DON CAN
01:36PM	20	SHARE WITH YOU WE WILL BE IN TOUCH."
01:36PM	21	AND THEN YOU RESPOND, "THANKS NANCY. CAN YOU ASK DON IF
01:36PM	22	IT IS REASONABLE TO EXPECT SOME TYPE OF UPDATE THIS YEAR?"
01:36PM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:36PM	24	A. YES.
01:36PM	25	Q. AND AT SOME POINT AFTER THIS EMAIL, YOU LEARNED THAT

01:36PM	1	MR. LUCAS RELAYED TO MS. HOLMES THAT YOU HAD TRIED TO CONTACT
01:36PM	2	HIM; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:36PM	3	A. YES.
01:36PM	4	Q. OKAY. SO LET'S TURN TO 713 IN YOUR BINDER.
01:37PM	5	I THINK THIS IS IN EVIDENCE, 713?
01:37PM	6	THE COURT: DO YOU SHOW IT IN EVIDENCE, CHERE?
01:37PM	7	THE CLERK: NO.
01:37PM	8	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:37PM	9	BY MS. WALSH:
01:37PM	10	Q. DO YOU HAVE 713 IN FRONT OF YOU?
01:37PM	11	A. YES.
01:37PM	12	Q. AND THIS IS ANOTHER EMAIL CHAIN WITH MS. MINNIG; RIGHT?
01:37PM	13	A. RIGHT.
01:37PM	14	Q. AND THAT IS NOVEMBER 2012; CORRECT?
01:37PM	15	A. CORRECT.
01:37PM	16	Q. AND THIS IS MORE COMMUNICATIONS OF YOU TRYING TO GET
01:37PM	17	INFORMATION FROM MR. LUCAS; RIGHT?
01:37PM	18	A. CORRECT.
01:37PM	19	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 713.
01:37PM	20	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:37PM	21	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:37PM	22	(GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 713 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:38PM	23	BY MS. WALSH:
01:38PM	24	Q. LET'S GO TO PAGE 3.
01:38PM	25	AND THIS IS FROM MS. MINNIG TO MS. HOLMES ON

01:39PM	1	BELIEVE THAT THERE WOULD BE EVENTS HAPPENING BEFORE THE END OF
01:39PM	2	2012 THAT WOULD BE PUBLICLY COMMUNICATED. HAS THIS CHANGED?"
01:39PM	3	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:39PM	4	A. YES.
01:39PM	5	Q. AND THEN MS. HOLMES EMAILS YOU BACK SAYING, "NO, ALAN, IT
01:39PM	6	HAS BEEN ABOUT THAT LONG SINCE YOU DECIDED TO START HARASSING
01:39PM	7	OUR CHAIRMAN, DESPITE THE FACT THAT WE COMMUNICATED MULTIPLE
01:39PM	8	TIMES THAT IF YOU DID SO, WE WOULD NO LONGER RESPOND TO YOUR
01:39PM	9	REQUESTS TO TALK WITH YOU IN LIGHT OF ALL OF OUR PAST
01:39PM	10	INTERACTIONS. TO THE COMPANY'S AMAZEMENT, YOU HAVE CONTINUED
01:39PM	11	TO DO SO ON AN ONGOING BASIS EVER SINCE WE HAD THOSE
01:40PM	12	CONVERSATIONS WITH YOU."
01:40PM	13	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:40PM	14	A. YES.
01:40PM	15	Q. OKAY. THEN YOU EMAIL MS. MINNIG AGAIN AND YOU SAY, I
01:40PM	16	DON'T KNOW HOW TO REACT TO ELIZABETH'S EMAIL, AND YOU APOLOGIZE
01:40PM	17	IF YOU WERE OUT OF LINE.
01:40PM	18	AND YOU ASK HER, IS THERE A HAPPY MEDIUM THAT YOU OR DON
01:40PM	19	CAN SUGGEST?
01:40PM	20	AND SHE EMAILS YOU BACK SAYING, "HELLO ALAN,
01:40PM	21	"OUR OFFICE DOES NOT HAVE ANY FURTHER SUGGESTIONS TO OFFER
01:40PM	22	YOU."
01:40PM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:40PM	24	A. YES.
01:40PM	25	Q. OKAY. AND THEN AFTER MR. LUCAS DECLINED TO COMMUNICATE

01:40PM	1	WITH YOU, YOU TRIED CONTACTING OTHER THERANOS BOARD MEMBERS; IS
01:40PM	2	THAT RIGHT?
01:40PM	3	A. CAN YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC?
01:40PM	4	Q. SURE. YOU REACHED OUT TO BILL FRIST; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:40PM	5	A. THAT IS A BOARD MEMBER, NOT MEMBERS.
01:40PM	6	Q. SURE. DID YOU REACH OUT TO BILL FRIST?
01:41PM	7	A. YES, I DID.
01:41PM	8	Q. OKAY. AND HE'S A FORMER SENATOR; RIGHT?
01:41PM	9	A. YES.
01:41PM	10	Q. AND HE WAS THE FORMER MAJORITY LEADER IN THE U.S. SENATE;
01:41PM	11	IS THAT CORRECT?
01:41PM	12	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
01:41PM	13	Q. AND HE WAS A WELL RESPECTED SURGEON; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:41PM	14	A. CORRECT.
01:41PM	15	Q. AND YOU DIDN'T KNOW HIM PERSONALLY; RIGHT?
01:41PM	16	A. CORRECT.
01:41PM	17	Q. BUT HE WAS A FAMILY FRIEND; RIGHT?
01:41PM	18	A. CORRECT.
01:41PM	19	Q. HE WAS A FRIEND OF YOUR FATHER-IN-LAW'S; CORRECT?
01:41PM	20	A. CORRECT.
01:41PM	21	Q. AND YOUR FATHER-IN-LAW IS FROM TENNESSEE; CORRECT?
01:41PM	22	A. CORRECT.
01:41PM	23	Q. AND SENATOR FRIST REPRESENTED THE STATE OF TENNESSEE;
01:41PM	24	RIGHT?
01:41PM	25	A. YES.

01:41PM	1	Q. OKAY. AND YOU SUCCEEDED IN CONTACTING DR. FRIST; RIGHT?
01:41PM	2	A. YES.
01:41PM	3	Q. AND YOU ASKED HIM SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THERANOS; RIGHT?
01:41PM	4	A. YES.
01:41PM	5	Q. BUT ULTIMATELY SENATOR FRIST STOPPED COMMUNICATING WITH
01:41PM	6	YOU ABOUT THERANOS; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:41PM	7	A. YES.
01:41PM	8	Q. SO WE'VE GONE THROUGH A LOT OF EMAILS. IT'S FAIR TO SAY
01:42PM	9	THAT YOU BECAME VERY FRUSTRATED WITH THE LEVEL OF COMMUNICATION
01:42PM	10	YOU WERE GETTING ABOUT DETAILS FROM THERANOS; RIGHT?
01:42PM	11	A. YES.
01:42PM	12	Q. YOU FELT YOU WERE NOT GETTING THE LEVEL OF COMMUNICATION
01:42PM	13	THAT YOU WANTED; RIGHT?
01:42PM	14	A. YES.
01:42PM	15	Q. OR THE INFORMATION THAT YOU SOUGHT; CORRECT?
01:42PM	16	A. CORRECT.
01:42PM	17	Q. BUT ULTIMATELY YOU DECIDED TO ULTIMATELY INCREASE YOUR
01:42PM	18	STAKE IN THERANOS IN 2013; RIGHT?
01:42PM	19	A. YES.
01:42PM	20	Q. NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU LOOKED AT THE THERANOS WEBSITE
01:42PM	21	BEFORE YOUR INVESTMENT IN 2013; RIGHT?
01:42PM	22	A. YES.
01:42PM	23	Q. AND YOU BECAME AWARE THAT THERANOS WAS LAUNCHING ITS
01:42PM	24	SERVICES IN WALGREENS; RIGHT?
01:42PM	25	A. YES.

01:42PM	1	Q. AND YOU READ PUBLICITY AT THE TIME ABOUT THE WALGREENS
01:42PM	2	LAUNCH; CORRECT?
01:42PM	3	A. CORRECT.
01:42PM	4	Q. AND THEN THERE CAME A TIME IN DECEMBER OF 2013 WHERE YOU
01:42PM	5	BECAME AWARE OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO INCREASE YOUR STAKE IN
01:42PM	6	THERANOS; RIGHT?
01:42PM	7	A. YES.
01:42PM	8	Q. OKAY. SO LET'S TURN TO 16 1363. 1363.
01:43PM	9	A. MY BINDER GOES FROM 1362 TO 1370.
01:43PM	10	Q. YEP. OKAY.
01:43PM	11	TAKE A LOOK AT 1362.
01:43PM	12	A. OKAY.
01:43PM	13	Q. OKAY. DO YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT IT OF YOU?
01:44PM	14	A. YES.
01:44PM	15	Q. ALL RIGHT. AND IS THIS AN EMAIL CHAIN IN DECEMBER OF 2013
01:44PM	16	BETWEEN YOU AND MR. BALWANI ABOUT INVESTING IN THERANOS IN
01:44PM	17	2013?
01:44PM	18	A. YES.
01:44PM	19	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 1362.
01:44PM	20	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
01:44PM	21	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
01:44PM	22	(GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 1362 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
01:44PM	23	BY MS. WALSH:
01:44PM	24	Q. OKAY. AND IN THIS EMAIL IN THE MIDDLE OF PAGE 1
01:44PM	25	MR. BALWANI SAYS TO YOU, "ALAN.

01:44PM	1	"I CHECKED WITH OUR LEGAL ABOUT YOUR QUESTION ABOUT
01:44PM	2	INVESTING THROUGH A NEW ENTITY. THIS SHOULDN'T BE AN ISSUE."
01:44PM	3	RIGHT?
01:44PM	4	A. YES.
01:44PM	5	Q. AND YOU REPLY TO HIM, "SUNNY,
01:44PM	6	"WE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING INVESTMENTS."
01:45PM	7	AND THEN YOU LIST THE DIFFERENT PEOPLE AND DIFFERENT
01:45PM	8	INVESTMENTS; CORRECT?
01:45PM	9	A. YES.
01:45PM	10	Q. WITH THE DIFFERENT AMOUNTS?
01:45PM	11	A. RIGHT.
01:45PM	12	Q. AND THAT WAS ON DECEMBER 24TH, 2013; RIGHT?
01:45PM	13	A. YES.
01:45PM	14	Q. AND THEN VERY CLOSE IN TIME TO THAT LET'S TURN TO
01:45PM	15	EXHIBIT 1371.
01:45PM	16	A. I DON'T HAVE A 1371.
01:45PM	17	THE COURT: 70?
01:45PM	18	MS. WALSH: ACTUALLY, 1371 IS IN EVIDENCE. IF WE
01:45PM	19	COULD JUST DOUBLE-CHECK THAT.
01:45PM	20	I THINK IT'S THE FIRST EIGHT PAGES.
01:45PM	21	THE CLERK: IT IS.
01:45PM	22	MS. WALSH: YEAH.
01:45PM	23	SO IF WE COULD PUBLISH THAT, YOUR HONOR?
01:45PM	24	THE COURT: YES.
01:45PM	25	BY MS. WALSH:

01:45PM	1	Q. IT WILL BE ON YOUR SCREEN, MR. EISENMAN.
01:45PM	2	A. YEAH.
01:45PM	3	Q. AND WHAT I WANT TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 1.
01:46PM	4	THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 1, YOU EMAIL MR. BALWANI; RIGHT?
01:46PM	5	A. RIGHT.
01:46PM	6	Q. DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:46PM	7	A. YES.
01:46PM	8	Q. OKAY. THAT'S ON DECEMBER 29TH, 2013, AT 9:09 A.M.; RIGHT?
01:46PM	9	A. RIGHT.
01:46PM	10	Q. OKAY. AND YOU'RE ASKING, "DID YOU GET MY MESSAGE LAST
01:46PM	11	THURSDAY?"
01:46PM	12	YOU'VE BEEN OUT OF THE COUNTRY.
01:46PM	13	AND THEN YOU POSE THREE QUESTIONS TO HIM:
01:46PM	14	"WHAT IS THE SIZE OF THIS ROUND?"
01:46PM	15	RIGHT?
01:46PM	16	A. RIGHT.
01:46PM	17	Q. "ARE ANY DIRECTORS PARTICIPATING IN THIS ROUND?
01:46PM	18	AND "AM I CORRECT THAT THERE WILL BE ANOTHER ROUND OF
01:46PM	19	APPROXIMATELY 200 MILLION IN JANUARY AT A HIGHER PRICE?"
01:46PM	20	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:46PM	21	A. YES.
01:46PM	22	Q. AND MR. BALWANI RESPONDS.
01:46PM	23	AND THIS IS BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY INVESTED IN 2013; RIGHT?
01:46PM	24	A. RIGHT.
01:46PM	25	Q. AND MR. BALWANI RESPONDS:

01:46PM	1	"ALAN.
01:46PM	2	"WE CAN'T ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS ABOUT DIRECTORS, OTHER
01:47PM	3	INVESTORS AND CERTAINLY NOT ABOUT ANY FUTURE INVESTMENT ROUNDS
01:47PM	4	AND SPECULATE ON WHAT THOSE VALUATIONS MAY BE. THOSE MAY OR
01:47PM	5	MAY NOT HAPPEN."
01:47PM	6	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:47PM	7	A. YES.
01:47PM	8	Q. AND THEN HE SAYS, "SECONDLY, MY PERSONAL ASSISTANTS ARE
01:47PM	9	NOT PRIVY TO CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION SO PLEASE DON'T
01:47PM	10	LEAVE MESSAGES WITH THEM ABOUT COMPANY'S FINANCIAL DETAILS AND
01:47PM	11	ACTIVITIES."
01:47PM	12	DO YOU SEE THAT?
01:47PM	13	A. YES.
01:47PM	14	Q. SO MR. BALWANI WAS SAYING TO YOU ON DECEMBER 29TH, I'M NOT
01:47PM	15	GOING TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU POSED TO ME; RIGHT?
01:47PM	16	A. YES.
01:47PM	17	Q. OKAY. OKAY. SO YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU HAD A PHONE CALL
01:48PM	18	WITH MR. BALWANI LEADING UP TO YOUR INVESTMENT IN 2013; IS THAT
01:48PM	19	RIGHT?
01:48PM	20	A. YES.
01:48PM	21	Q. AND THAT HE TOLD YOU ABOUT THE ACTIVITIES OF THERANOS; IS
01:48PM	22	THAT RIGHT? IS THAT WHAT YOU TESTIFIED TO?
01:48PM	23	A. I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.
01:48PM	24	Q. YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT A PHONE CALL THAT YOU HAD WITH
01:48PM	25	MR. BALWANI; CORRECT?

01:48PM	1	A. CORRECT.
01:48PM	2	Q. AND DURING THAT PHONE CALL, HE TOLD YOU ABOUT WHAT
01:48PM	3	THERANOS WAS DOING AT THE TIME; IS THAT RIGHT?
01:49PM	4	A. CAN YOU CLARIFY THAT? WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHAT
01:49PM	5	Q. WELL, I'M ASKING, YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT THAT PHONE CALL;
01:49PM	6	RIGHT?
01:49PM	7	A. YES.
01:49PM	8	Q. AND YOU TESTIFIED THAT THE PHONE CALL WAS ABOUT THERANOS'S
01:49PM	9	ACTIVITIES AND TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER ITEMS ABOUT THERANOS DURING
01:49PM	10	THIS PHONE CALL? THAT'S WHAT YOU TESTIFIED TO?
01:49PM	11	A. OKAY.
01:49PM	12	Q. OKAY. BUT DURING THAT PHONE CALL, MR. EISENMAN, LEADING
01:49PM	13	UP TO YOUR INVESTMENT, THAT PHONE CALL WAS JUST ABOUT THE
01:49PM	14	ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS OF GETTING YOUR INVESTMENT FILLING
01:49PM	15	OUT THE RIGHT FORMS AND THE RIGHT NUMBERS FOR THE DIFFERENT
01:49PM	16	ENTITIES THAT YOU WERE INVESTING THROUGH; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
01:49PM	17	A. NO. THE PHONE CALL WAS MORE DETAILED THAN THAT.
01:49PM	18	Q. UH-HUH. OKAY.
01:49PM	19	AND YOU TESTIFIED THAT SO WITHDRAWN.
01:49PM	20	SO THE PHONE CALL WAS MORE DETAILED? IS THAT WHAT YOUR
01:49PM	21	TESTIMONY IS?
01:49PM	22	A. YES.
01:49PM	23	Q. AND YOU'VE TESTIFIED BEFORE THAT YOU TAKE DETAILED NOTES
01:50PM	24	OF PHONE CALLS; RIGHT?
01:50PM	25	A. YES.

01:50PM	1	Q. AND YOU TAKE NOTES OF PHONE CALLS TO KEEP TRACK OF WHAT
01:50PM	2	PEOPLE SAY; RIGHT?
01:50PM	3	A. RIGHT.
01:50PM	4	Q. AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO DO THAT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU GET
01:50PM	5	THEIR WORDS DOWN RIGHT; CORRECT?
01:50PM	6	A. CORRECT.
01:50PM	7	Q. BECAUSE YOU'VE SAID SOMETIMES PEOPLE EXAGGERATE; RIGHT?
01:50PM	8	A. CORRECT.
01:50PM	9	Q. OKAY. SO I WANT YOU TO LOOK IN THE WHITE BINDER AT YOUR
01:50PM	10	NOTES OF ALL OF THE DIFFERENT PHONE CALLS THAT YOU'VE HAD WITH
01:50PM	11	THERANOS WITH MS. HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI.
01:50PM	12	I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU THE NUMBER IN A SECOND. OKAY?
01:50PM	13	MR. BOSTIC: OBJECTION. MISSTATES THE NATURE OF
01:50PM	14	EXHIBIT 14.
01:50PM	15	THE COURT: WELL,
01:50PM	16	MS. WALSH: I CAN REPHRASE.
01:50PM	17	THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING TO ASK HIM TO DO
01:50PM	18	SOMETHING?
01:50PM	19	MS. WALSH: YEAH.
01:50PM	20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
01:50PM	21	BY MS. WALSH:
01:50PM	22	Q. SO IN THE WHITE BINDER, MR. EISENMAN, PLEASE TURN TO
01:50PM	23	EXHIBIT 14.
01:50PM	24	A. OKAY.
01:50PM	25	Q. DO YOU HAVE IT?

01:51PM	1	A. YES.
01:51PM	2	Q. EXHIBIT 14 CONTAINS THE NOTES OF CONVERSATIONS THAT YOU'VE
01:51PM	3	HAD WITH VARIOUS PEOPLE ABOUT THERANOS OVER THE YEARS; CORRECT?
01:51PM	4	A. CORRECT.
01:51PM	5	Q. AND THIS CONTAINS ALL OF YOUR NOTES OF YOUR CONVERSATIONS;
01:51PM	6	RIGHT?
01:51PM	7	A. RIGHT.
01:51PM	8	Q. THERE ISN'T ANYTHING MISSING FROM IT; RIGHT?
01:51PM	9	A. RIGHT.
01:51PM	10	Q. BECAUSE YOU TURNED ALL OF THOSE OVER TO THE GOVERNMENT;
01:51PM	11	RIGHT?
01:51PM	12	A. RIGHT.
01:51PM	13	Q. OKAY. AND YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT WHAT YOU SAY NOW IS A
01:51PM	14	DETAILED CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI AT THE END OF DECEMBER,
01:51PM	15	RIGHT BEFORE YOU INVESTED, THAT CONTAINED DETAILS ABOUT
01:51PM	16	THERANOS; IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY?
01:51PM	17	A. MY TESTIMONY IS THAT WE THERE WERE SOME QUESTIONS
01:51PM	18	ASKED. I DON'T RECALL THAT IT WAS A DETAILED CONVERSATION, BUT
01:51PM	19	I DO RECALL THAT THERE WERE QUESTIONS ASKED BEFORE WE MADE THE
01:51PM	20	FINAL DECISION TO INVEST.
01:51PM	21	Q. DIDN'T YOU JUST TESTIFY THAT IT WAS A DETAILED
01:51PM	22	CONVERSATION? YOU JUST TESTIFIED TO THAT.
01:51PM	23	A. THEN I STAND CORRECTED.
01:52PM	24	Q. OKAY. SO IT WASN'T, IT WASN'T A CONVERSATION ABOUT THE
01:52PM	25	DETAILS OF THERANOS, WAS IT?

01:52PM	1	A. NO. NO.
01:52PM	2	YOU'RE TWISTING MY WORDS. I'M SORRY.
01:52PM	3	THERE WERE SOME ELEMENTS IN THAT CONVERSATION ABOUT THE
01:52PM	4	DETAILS OF THERANOS, BUT IT WASN'T A DETAILED CONVERSATION.
01:52PM	5	THERE WERE A FEW BULLETS POINTS THAT WERE DISCUSSED IN
01:52PM	6	THAT CONVERSATION.
01:52PM	7	Q. OKAY. WHAT WERE THOSE BULLET POINTS?
01:52PM	8	A. IF THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED AND CONFIRMING THAT THIS WAS A
01:52PM	9	ROUND THAT WAS GOING TO CLOSE, AND THERE WAS GOING TO BE A
01:52PM	10	LARGER ROUND THAT WAS GOING TO OCCUR RIGHT AFTER THIS ROUND
01:52PM	11	CLOSED, AN INSTITUTIONAL ROUND. THAT'S WHAT I WAS TOLD.
01:52PM	12	Q. OKAY. SO ON THE SECOND POINT, WHETHER THERE WAS GOING TO
01:52PM	13	BE A LARGER ROUND, IF YOU LOOK AT EXHIBIT 1371, WHICH IS THE
01:52PM	14	EMAIL THAT YOU HAVE WITH MR. BALWANI, HE SPECIFICALLY DECLINES
01:52PM	15	TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION; RIGHT?
01:52PM	16	A. THE ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT WHAT I LOOKED AT A MINUTE
01:52PM	17	AGO?
01:52PM	18	Q. YEAH, 13
01:53PM	19	A. OKAY. BUT THAT CONTRADICTED WHAT HE TOLD ME IN THAT
01:53PM	20	CONVERSATION BEFORE WE INVESTED.
01:53PM	21	Q. I SEE. SO YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT YOU HAD A PHONE CALL
01:53PM	22	WITH MR. BALWANI WHERE YOU ASKED HIM, HOW BIG IS THE NEXT ROUND
01:53PM	23	GOING TO BE? IS THAT RIGHT? IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY?
01:53PM	24	A. I DON'T THINK THAT WAS IN THE PHONE CALL. THAT WAS IN THE
01:53PM	25	EMAIL, HOW LARGE WAS THE NEXT ROUND. I WAS CONFIRMING I

DON'T REMEMBER THE SOURCE, BUT SOMEWHERE THERE WAS INFORMATION 1 01:53PM THAT THE INSTITUTIONAL ROUND WAS \$200 MILLION DOLLARS, AND I 2 01:53PM WAS TRYING TO CONFIRM THAT THAT WAS ACCURATE. 3 01:53PM 4 Q. RIGHT. AND IN THE EMAIL, HE WON'T CONFIRM THAT; RIGHT? 01:53PM IN THE EMAIL, YOU'RE CORRECT, HE DID NOT CONFIRM WHAT HE 01:53PM 5 SAID TO ME IN THE CONVERSATION BEFORE I INVESTED IN DECEMBER. 01:53PM SO YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT YOU HAD A PHONE CALL WITH 0. 01:53PM MR. BALWANI WHERE HE CONFIRMED THE SIZE OF THE ROUND? IS THAT 8 01:53PM 9 YOUR TESTIMONY? YOU REMEMBER THAT? 01:53PM NO. MY TESTIMONY IS THAT HE CONFIRMED GETTING THE 10 01:53PM Α. INFORMATION THAT THIS WAS A FRIENDS AND FAMILY ROUND -- I'M 01:54PM 11 12 SORRY. LET ME START OVER. 01:54PM 13 HE CONFIRMED THAT THIS WAS A SMALLER FUND RAISING ROUND 01:54PM 14 FOR EXISTING SHAREHOLDERS, THEY CALLED IT FRIENDS AND FAMILY 01:54PM ROUND, AND IT WAS GOING TO BE PRICED AT \$15 A SHARE; THEY WERE 15 01:54PM GOING TO CLOSE THIS ROUND BY THE END OF THE YEAR; THEY 16 01:54PM 17 IMMEDIATELY HAD TEED UP OR LINED UP A MUCH LARGER INSTITUTIONAL 01:54PM 18 ROUND AT A HIGHER PRICE, AT \$17 A SHARE. 01:54PM 01:54PM 19 SO YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI TOLD YOU IN DECEMBER 2013? 01:54PM 20 21 YES. 01:54PM Α. 22 IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY? 0. 01:54PM 23 Α. YES. 01:54PM 24 AND YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT HE TOLD YOU THAT ON THE PHONE, 01:54PM Q. EVEN THOUGH HE'S REFUSING TO TELL YOU THAT IN THE EMAIL; IS 25 01:54PM

01:54PM	1	THAT RIGHT?
01:54PM	2	A. YES.
01:54PM	3	Q. OKAY. AND WHERE SO TURNING BACK TO YOUR NOTES, WHICH
01:54PM	4	IS EXHIBIT 14, THAT CONTAINS ALL OF YOUR NOTES OF ALL OF THE
01:54PM	5	CONVERSATIONS THAT YOU'VE HAD ABOUT THERANOS; RIGHT?
01:55PM	6	MR. BOSTIC: MISSTATES THE TESTIMONY AND THE
01:55PM	7	EXHIBIT.
01:55PM	8	MS. WALSH: WELL, LET ME ASK AGAIN.
01:55PM	9	Q. YOU SEE EXHIBIT 14; RIGHT?
01:55PM	10	A. YES.
01:55PM	11	Q. AND EXHIBIT 14 CONTAINS YOUR NOTES OF CONVERSATIONS THAT
01:55PM	12	YOU'VE HAD WITH OTHERS ABOUT THERANOS OVER THE YEARS, DOES IT
01:55PM	13	NOT?
01:55PM	14	A. IT DOES NOT CONTAIN EVERY BIT OF CONVERSATION THAT I HAD
01:55PM	15	WITH EVERY IN EVERY ONE OF THOSE SITUATIONS.
01:55PM	16	Q. BUT IT CONTAINS ALL OF YOUR NOTES OF CONVERSATIONS; RIGHT?
01:55PM	17	A. YES, IT CONTAINS ALL OF MY NOTES OF CONVERSATIONS, THAT IS
01:55PM	18	CORRECT.
01:55PM	19	Q. RIGHT. AND SO I WOULD LIKE YOU TO LOOK THROUGH EXHIBIT 14
01:55PM	20	FOR WHERE THERE IS A NOTE REFLECTING THE TELEPHONE CALL WITH
01:55PM	21	MR. BALWANI IN LATE DECEMBER 2013 THAT YOU JUST TESTIFIED
01:55PM	22	ABOUT.
01:56PM	23	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
01:56PM	24	THE WITNESS: IT'S APPARENTLY NOT WRITTEN DOWN IN MY
01:56PM	25	NOTES.

01:59PM	1	DO YOU HAVE 28198?
01:59PM	2	A. YES.
01:59PM	3	Q. WHY DON'T YOU TURN TO PAGE 4 OF THAT EXHIBIT.
01:59PM	4	A. OKAY.
01:59PM	5	Q. AND 28198 REFLECTS A MEETING THAT YOU HAD WITH THE
01:59PM	6	GOVERNMENT; RIGHT?
01:59PM	7	A. OKAY.
01:59PM	8	Q. AND THAT WAS BACK IN 2018; RIGHT?
01:59PM	9	A. YES.
01:59PM	10	Q. IF YOU LOOK AT THE TOP RIGHT OF THE DOCUMENT?
01:59PM	11	A. YES.
01:59PM	12	Q. DO YOU SEE THAT DATE?
02:00PM	13	AND 2018 WAS MUCH CLOSER IN TIME TO THE EVENTS IN
02:00PM	14	QUESTION; CORRECT?
02:00PM	15	A. CORRECT.
02:00PM	16	Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE TOP TWO PARAGRAPHS, JUST READ THE
02:00PM	17	TOP PARAGRAPH AND THEN THE FIRST SENTENCE OF THE FIRST FULL
02:00PM	18	PARAGRAPH ON THAT PAGE.
02:00PM	19	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:00PM	20	A. YES.
02:00PM	21	Q. DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT MR. BALWANI DID
02:00PM	22	NOT
02:00PM	23	A. I'M STILL READING.
02:00PM	24	Q. SURE.
02:01PM	25	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

02:01PM	1	BY MS. WALSH:
02:01PM	2	Q. HAVE YOU FINISHED READING, MR. EISENMAN?
02:01PM	3	A. NOT YET.
02:01PM	4	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:01PM	5	THE WITNESS: I'VE FINISHED READING.
02:01PM	6	BY MS. WALSH:
02:01PM	7	Q. OKAY. SO I WANT TO FOCUS YOU ON THE FIRST SENTENCE OF THE
02:01PM	8	FIRST FULL PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 4.
02:01PM	9	A. OKAY.
02:01PM	10	Q. DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT MR. BALWANI DID
02:01PM	11	NOT DESCRIBE THERANOS'S ACTIVITIES DURING HIS CALLS WITH YOU
02:01PM	12	REGARDING THE 2013 INVESTMENT?
02:01PM	13	A. WELL, IT CLARIFIES THAT HE DID NOT DISCUSS THE BUSINESS OF
02:01PM	14	THERANOS.
02:01PM	15	BUT I HAVE A RECOLLECTION THAT I WAS TOLD THAT THIS WAS A
02:02PM	16	FRIENDS AND FAMILY ROUND; IT WAS A \$15 ROUND; THAT THIS WAS AN
02:02PM	17	INCENTIVE TO INVEST AT THIS POINT IN TIME BECAUSE THERE WAS A
02:02PM	18	FOLLOW-UP ROUND IMMEDIATELY AFTER THIS CLOSED AT \$17.
02:02PM	19	Q. BUT MR. BALWANI DID NOT TALK ABOUT THERANOS'S ACTIVITIES
02:02PM	20	DURING THIS CONVERSATION; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
02:02PM	21	A. DID NOT TALK NO, HE DID NOT TALK ABOUT THE ACTUAL
02:02PM	22	BUSINESS ACTIVITIES.
02:02PM	23	AND IT'S BEEN A LONG TIME AGO, BUT I SEEM TO RECALL THAT I
02:02PM	24	ASKED THE SAME QUESTION THAT I ASKED EVERY TIME. I TRIED, TO
02:02PM	25	EITHER ELIZABETH OR SUNNY, DOES THE TECHNOLOGY WORK?
		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

02:02PM	1	AND MY RECOLLECTION IS, WHETHER IT WAS FROM THIS
02:02PM	2	CONVERSATION OR WHETHER IT WAS FROM A CONVERSATION THAT
02:02PM	3	MR. BALWANI HAD A WEEK EARLIER WITH PAT MENDENHALL THAT WE
02:02PM	4	TALKED ABOUT EARLIER IN COURT, THAT HE VERBALLY COMMUNICATED
02:02PM	5	THAT THE SCIENCE WAS PROVEN, THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED, AND
02:02PM	6	THAT WAS A VERY IMPORTANT FACTOR THAT I HAD IN FRONT OF ME A
02:02PM	7	WEEK BEFORE, OR AT THAT POINT IN TIME BEFORE I DECIDED TO MAKE
02:02PM	8	MY INVESTMENT.
02:02PM	9	Q. RIGHT. AND I'M NOT ASKING ABOUT MR. MENDENHALL.
02:03PM	10	I'M ASKING ABOUT WHAT MR. BALWANI TOLD YOU, YOU PERSONALLY
02:03PM	11	IN THE CONVERSATION IN LATE DECEMBER 2013.
02:03PM	12	A. OKAY.
02:03PM	13	Q. AND I WANT TO BE REALLY CLEAR ABOUT THIS: YOU DON'T HAVE
02:03PM	14	A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION THAT HE TALKED TO YOU ABOUT THE
02:03PM	15	THERANOS TECHNOLOGY OR THE THERANOS BUSINESS IN THAT TELEPHONE
02:03PM	16	CALL; IS THAT RIGHT?
02:03PM	17	A. IT IS CORRECT THAT I DON'T HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION.
02:03PM	18	I KNOW WHEN I DO BUSINESS
02:03PM	19	Q. I'M JUST ASKING ABOUT YOUR RECOLLECTION, NOT THE WAY YOU
02:03PM	20	DO BUSINESS.
02:03PM	21	SO IF THAT'S A "NO, I DON'T HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION,"
02:03PM	22	THAT WILL SUFFICE.
02:03PM	23	A. OKAY.
02:03PM	24	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, I ASK THAT THE WITNESS BE
02:03PM	25	ALLOWED TO COMPLETE HIS ANSWER.

02:03PM	1	THE COURT: HAVE YOU COMPLETED YOUR ANSWER, SIR?
02:03PM	2	THE WITNESS: NO.
02:03PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU CAN COMPLETE IT.
02:03PM	4	THE WITNESS: OKAY. EVERY OPPORTUNITY THAT I HAVE,
02:03PM	5	ESPECIALLY BEFORE I INVEST MONEY, I ASK AS MANY QUESTIONS AS I
02:03PM	6	CAN THAT I THINK WILL BE ANSWERED.
02:03PM	7	AND I DON'T HAVE A CLEAR RECOLLECTION IF I ASKED
02:04PM	8	MR. BALWANI IF THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED.
02:04PM	9	I THINK I I THINK I DID, BUT I DON'T HAVE A CLEAR
02:04PM	10	RECOLLECTION.
02:04PM	11	BUT I DID BASICALLY HAVE MR. BALWANI TELLING OUR GROUP,
02:04PM	12	THROUGH PAT MENDENHALL, IN AN EMAIL ONE WEEK EARLIER, THAT THE
02:04PM	13	SCIENCE WORKED, THAT IT WAS, IT WAS THERE WAS NO DOUBT THAT
02:04PM	14	THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED.
02:04PM	15	AND THAT WAS INFORMATION THAT CAME DIRECTLY TO ME, AND
02:04PM	16	THAT WAS ONE OF THE KEY FACTORS IN MY MAKING A DECISION TO
02:04PM	17	INVEST MORE MONEY.
02:04PM	18	BY MS. WALSH:
02:04PM	19	Q. AND I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT MY QUESTION WAS
02:04PM	20	ANSWERED.
02:04PM	21	DO YOU HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION OF MR. BALWANI TELLING
02:04PM	22	YOU IN THAT PHONE CALL IN DECEMBER OF 2013 ANYTHING ABOUT
02:04PM	23	THERANOS TECHNOLOGY OR THERANOS ACTIVITIES?
02:04PM	24	A. I DO NOT HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION.
02:04PM	25	Q. OKAY. LET'S TURN TO EXHIBIT 12999.

02:05PM	1	A. OKAY.
02:05PM	2	Q. OKAY. THIS IS AN EMAIL BETWEEN YOU AND MS. HOLMES AND
02:05PM	3	MR. BALWANI IN JANUARY 2015; CORRECT?
02:05PM	4	A. CORRECT.
02:05PM	5	Q. AND IT'S ABOUT INFORMATION YOU HAD RECEIVED THROUGH A
02:05PM	6	GOOGLE ALERT ABOUT THERANOS; RIGHT?
02:05PM	7	A. RIGHT.
02:05PM	8	Q. AND YOU'RE FOLLOWING UP WITH THEM TO ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT
02:05PM	9	IT; CORRECT?
02:05PM	10	A. CORRECT.
02:05PM	11	MS. WALSH: YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 12999.
02:05PM	12	MR. BOSTIC: 801, YOUR HONOR.
02:06PM	13	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:06PM	14	THE COURT: MS. WALSH.
02:06PM	15	MS. WALSH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
02:06PM	16	IT'S COMING IN, AGAIN, FOR MR. EISENMAN'S STATE OF MIND.
02:06PM	17	HE'S CONTINUING TO FOLLOW UP WITH MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES
02:06PM	18	ABOUT THE STATUS OF THERANOS.
02:06PM	19	AND THE GOVERNMENT, I THINK, OFFERED ANOTHER EMAIL OUTSIDE
02:06PM	20	OF THE TIME PERIOD FOR THE SAME PURPOSE, SO THIS IS IN THE SAME
02:06PM	21	CATEGORY.
02:06PM	22	THE COURT: YOU'RE ASKING THAT THE ENTIRE DOCUMENT,
02:06PM	23	INCLUDING THE PAGE 8769?
02:06PM	24	MS. WALSH: LET ME JUST TAKE A LOOK.
02:06PM	25	THE COURT: IT'S THE LAST PAGE OF THIS.

02:06PM	1	MS. WALSH: I WAS, YOUR HONOR.
02:07PM	2	BUT IF THAT'S IF THE COURT WOULD PREFER, WE CAN REDACT
02:07PM	3	THAT.
02:07PM	4	THE COURT: NO, THAT'S FINE. I JUST WANTED TO KNOW
02:07PM	5	HOW MUCH OF THIS.
02:07PM	6	ALL RIGHT. I'LL ADMIT IT, AND IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
02:07PM	7	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 12999 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
02:07PM	8	BY MS. WALSH:
02:07PM	9	Q. OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT PAGE 1.
02:07PM	10	ACTUALLY, LET'S LOOK AT IT'S NOT THERE ARE NO PAGE
02:07PM	11	NUMBERS, SO LET'S LOOK AT THE PAGE ENDING WITH BATES STAMP
02:07PM	12	8768.
02:07PM	13	OKAY. MR. EISENMAN, THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM YOU ON
02:07PM	14	JANUARY 21ST, 2015. IT'S TO MS. HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI
02:07PM	15	REGARDING A GOOGLE ALERT THAT YOU GOT; CORRECT?
02:07PM	16	A. CORRECT.
02:07PM	17	Q. OKAY. AND YOU SAY, "ELIZABETH AND SUNNY,
02:07PM	18	"THIS IS THE SECOND GOOGLE ALERT I HAVE RECEIVED RECENTLY
02:07PM	19	THAT CLAIMS THAT THERANOS COULD BECOME OBSOLETE. CAN WE HAVE A
02:07PM	20	BRIEF CATCH UP CALL THIS WEEK? PLEASE DON'T IGNORE THIS
02:08PM	21	REQUEST."
02:08PM	22	AND MR. BALWANI RESPONDS, "ALAN.
02:08PM	23	"I THINK THESE EMAILS ARE BEYOND RIDICULOUS. YES,
02:08PM	24	THERANOS LIKE ANY OTHER COMPANY, CAN BECOME OBSOLETE. THIS
02:08PM	25	DOES NOT WARRANT INVESTOR CALLS AND UPDATES BECAUSE SOMEONE

02:08PM	1	WROTE AN ARTICLE THAT THERANOS CAN BECOME OBSOLETE.
02:08PM	2	"YOU CONTINUE TO BOMBARD US WITH EMAILS AND PHONE CALLS
02:08PM	3	EVEN THOUGH JUST 12 SHORT MONTHS AGO I SPOKE WITH YOU IN DETAIL
02:08PM	4	THAT YOU WILL NOT GET UPDATES, THAT YOU SHOULDN'T INVEST AND
02:08PM	5	THAT MANAGEMENT WILL NOT BE SPENDING ANY TIME WITH YOU
02:08PM	6	PROVIDING YOU UPDATES AND RESPONDING TO YOUR EMAILS ABOUT
02:08PM	7	BUSINESS OPERATIONS, STRATEGY AND DETAILS.
02:08PM	8	"YOU WILL GET UPDATES ALONG WITH OTHER INVESTORS."
02:08PM	9	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:08PM	10	A. YES.
02:08PM	11	Q. AND YOU RESPOND "SUNNY,
02:08PM	12	"WHEN WE SPOKE SEVERAL MONTHS AGO, YOU SAID THAT WE COULD
02:08PM	13	HAVE A SHORT QUARTERLY CALL. I DON'T THINK THIS IS AN
02:08PM	14	UNREASONABLE REQUEST."
02:08PM	15	AND THEN MR. BALWANI RESPONDS, "ALAN.
02:08PM	16	"NO ONE FROM THIS COMPANY HAS EVER COMMITTED TO A SHORT
02:09PM	17	QUARTERLY CALL WITH YOU OR ANY OTHER INVESTOR SELECTIVELY. I
02:09PM	18	WAS VERY EXPLICITLY DISCOURAGING YOU FROM INVESTING IN JANUARY
02:09PM	19	OF 2014 AND NO INFORMATION HAS BEEN DISSEMINATED."
02:09PM	20	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:09PM	21	A. YES.
02:09PM	22	Q. WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.
02:09PM	23	OKAY. LET'S TURN NOW TO 3530.
02:09PM	24	A. OKAY.
02:09PM	25	Q. OKAY. AND THIS SHOULD BE 3550.

02:09PM	1	IS THAT WHAT YOU HAVE, MR. EISENMAN?
02:09PM	2	A. THE AMENDED RESTATED
02:09PM	3	Q. YEAH.
02:09PM	4	A. IT SAYS 3530 IN MY BOOK.
02:10PM	5	Q. OKAY. OKAY. SO THIS IS A LET ME FIRST DIRECT YOUR
02:10PM	6	ATTENTION TO PAGE 1 WHICH SAYS, AMENDED AND RESTATED SERIES C-1
02:10PM	7	PREFERRED STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT, INITIAL CLOSING DATE
02:10PM	8	JULY 1ST, 2010.
02:10PM	9	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:10PM	10	A. YES.
02:10PM	11	Q. AND THEN I'M GOING TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION
02:10PM	12	MR. BOSTIC: I APOLOGIZE. THIS IS NOT IN EVIDENCE,
02:10PM	13	YOUR HONOR.
02:10PM	14	THE CLERK: IT IS IN EVIDENCE.
02:10PM	15	MS. WALSH: IT IS IN EVIDENCE?
02:10PM	16	THE CLERK: 3530 IS IN EVIDENCE.
02:10PM	17	MR. BOSTIC: MY APOLOGIES.
02:10PM	18	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
02:10PM	19	BY MS. WALSH:
02:10PM	20	Q. OKAY. SO, MR. EISENMAN, LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO
02:10PM	21	PAGE 29 OF THIS DOCUMENT.
02:10PM	22	THAT'S YOUR SIGNATURE; RIGHT?
02:10PM	23	A. YES.
02:10PM	24	Q. AND IT'S DATED 12/30/13; RIGHT?
02:11PM	25	A. RIGHT.

02:11PM	1	Q. AND THEN PAGE 11 OF THE DOCUMENT, SECTION 4.4, THIS
02:11PM	2	STATES, "THE SPECULATIVE NATURE OF THE AGREEMENT."
02:11PM	3	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:11PM	4	A. YES.
02:11PM	5	Q. AND I KNOW YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THAT YOU DISAGREED WITH
02:11PM	6	THIS.
02:11PM	7	A. YES.
02:11PM	8	Q. BUT YOU SIGNED THIS AGREEMENT; RIGHT?
02:11PM	9	A. YES.
02:11PM	10	Q. AND IT CONTAINS THIS PROVISION; CORRECT?
02:11PM	11	A. CORRECT.
02:11PM	12	Q. AND WHAT THE PROVISION SAYS IS, "SUCH INVESTOR UNDERSTANDS
02:11PM	13	AND ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE COMPANY HAS A LIMITED FINANCIAL AND
02:11PM	14	OPERATING HISTORY AND THAT AN INVESTMENT IN THE COMPANY IS
02:11PM	15	HIGHLY SPECULATIVE AND INVOLVES SUBSTANTIAL RISKS."
02:11PM	16	CORRECT? THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS; RIGHT?
02:11PM	17	A. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.
02:11PM	18	Q. AND THEN LET'S GO TO PAGE 42 OF THE EXHIBIT.
02:12PM	19	IT SHOWS THE NUMBER OF SHARES YOU'RE PURCHASING; IS THAT
02:12PM	20	RIGHT?
02:12PM	21	A. RIGHT.
02:12PM	22	Q. AND THAT'S 6,666; IS THAT CORRECT?
02:12PM	23	A. CORRECT.
02:12PM	24	Q. AND FOR A TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT OF 99,990; CORRECT?
02:12PM	25	A. CORRECT.

02:12PM	1	Q. AND THE PURCHASE PRICE WAS \$15 PER SHARE; RIGHT?
02:12PM	2	A. RIGHT.
02:12PM	3	Q. SO THE TOTAL AMOUNT THAT YOU INVESTED IN THERANOS AFTER
02:12PM	4	2013 WAS \$1,234,233; CORRECT?
02:12PM	5	A. CORRECT.
02:12PM	6	Q. AND YOU HAD 408,880 SHARES; CORRECT?
02:12PM	7	A. I DON'T RECALL THE NUMBER OF SHARES.
02:12PM	8	Q. BUT IF YOU, IF YOU ADD YOUR 2006 INVESTMENT WITH THE 2013,
02:12PM	9	DOES THAT SOUND ABOUT RIGHT?
02:12PM	10	A. IT SOUNDS REASONABLE.
02:12PM	11	Q. YEAH. OKAY. LET'S GO TO 14103.
02:13PM	12	A. OKAY.
02:13PM	13	Q. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 14103 IS IN EVIDENCE.
02:13PM	14	AND I WANT TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO MS. HOLMES'S THE
02:13PM	15	TOP EMAIL, HER LAST PARAGRAPH ABOUT THE FRUSTRATION WITH THE
02:13PM	16	LEVEL OF INTERACTION.
02:13PM	17	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:13PM	18	A. YES.
02:13PM	19	Q. AND SHE WAS STRONGLY ENCOURAGING YOU TO RECONSIDER THE
02:13PM	20	OPPORTUNITY TO SELL YOUR SHARES; RIGHT?
02:13PM	21	A. RIGHT.
02:13PM	22	Q. AND SHE WAS SAYING THAT WE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH A GREATER
02:14PM	23	THAN FIVE TIMES RETURN ON YOUR INVESTMENT; CORRECT?
02:14PM	24	A. THAT'S WHAT SHE PROPOSED.
02:14PM	25	Q. OKAY. AND THAT WAS IN 2010; RIGHT?

02:14PM	1	A. RIGHT. BUT AS YOU KNOW, THIS NEVER CAME TO FRUITION.
02:14PM	2	Q. OKAY. LET'S GO TO 2468.
02:14PM	3	2468 IS IN EVIDENCE.
02:14PM	4	AND IF WE LOOK AT PAGE 2.
02:14PM	5	THIS IS IN 2015, MARCH OF 2015.
02:14PM	6	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:14PM	7	A. YES.
02:14PM	8	Q. OKAY. AND YOU'RE DESCRIBING AN OFFER FROM BROADMARK TO
02:14PM	9	PURCHASE THERANOS STOCK; IS THAT RIGHT?
02:14PM	10	A. NO, IT WASN'T AN OFFER.
02:14PM	11	Q. WELL, AN OPPORTUNITY TO SELL THERANOS STOCK; IS THAT
02:14PM	12	RIGHT?
02:14PM	13	A. IT WAS A POTENTIAL OPPORTUNITY. THERE WERE OPPORTUNITIES
02:15PM	14	THAT CAME ALONG THAT WEREN'T LEGITIMATE.
02:15PM	15	Q. OKAY. THIS WAS, AS YOU STATE IN THE EMAIL, YOU STATE, "WE
02:15PM	16	HAVE BEEN INFORMED OF AN OPPORTUNITY TO SELL THERANOS STOCK."
02:15PM	17	IS THAT WHAT YOU SAY IN THE EMAIL?
02:15PM	18	A. IT WAS A POTENTIAL OPPORTUNITY. I DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER IT
02:15PM	19	WAS LEGITIMATE OR NOT.
02:15PM	20	Q. DO YOU SEE IN THE EMAIL, "WE HAVE BEEN INFORMED OF AN
02:15PM	21	OPPORTUNITY TO SELL THERANOS STOCK"?
02:15PM	22	A. YES.
02:15PM	23	Q. ARE THOSE YOUR WORDS?
02:15PM	24	A. YES.
02:15PM	25	Q. OKAY. AND MR. BALWANI RESPONDS SAYING, "THE LAST

02:17PM	1	LEGITIMATE, OR IF IT MAY HAVE BEEN LEGITIMATE IN THE EARLY
02:17PM	2	STAGES, SHE DROPPED THE BALL.
02:17PM	3	Q. AND LET'S TURN NOW TO 13150.
02:17PM	4	THIS IS AN EMAIL BETWEEN YOU AND CHRIS BOIES; RIGHT?
02:17PM	5	A. YES.
02:17PM	6	Q. AND IT'S ON AUGUST 18TH, 2015; CORRECT?
02:17PM	7	A. CORRECT.
02:17PM	8	Q. AND THE SUBJECT IS THERANOS FOLLOW UP FROM OUR
02:17PM	9	CONVERSATION TODAY; RIGHT?
02:17PM	10	A. RIGHT.
02:17PM	11	Q. AND CHRIS BOIES WAS THE SON OF DAVID BOIES; RIGHT?
02:17PM	12	A. YES.
02:17PM	13	Q. WHO WAS THE LAWYER FOR THERANOS; RIGHT?
02:17PM	14	A. DAVID BOIES WAS THE LAWYER FOR THERANOS. FOR THERANOS.
02:17PM	15	CHRIS APPARENTLY WAS DOING SOME THERANOS WORK BECAUSE HE'S
02:17PM	16	THE ONE THAT APPROACHED ME.
02:17PM	17	Q. OKAY. AND IN THIS EMAIL HE SAYS I'M SORRY.
02:18PM	18	YOU SAY, "CHRIS,
02:18PM	19	"I CIRCLE BACK WITH SOME OF THE"
02:18PM	20	I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS NOT IN EVIDENCE.
02:18PM	21	I OFFER 13150.
02:18PM	22	MR. BOSTIC: NO OBJECTION.
02:18PM	23	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED. IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
02:18PM	24	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 13150 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
02:18PM	25	MS. WALSH: SORRY. NOW I CAN READ THE EMAIL.

02:18PM	1	Q. "CHRIS,
02:18PM	2	"I CIRCLED BACK WITH SOME OF THE EARLY STAGE CO-INVESTORS
02:18PM	3	TODAY."
02:18PM	4	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:18PM	5	A. YES.
02:18PM	6	Q. "FIRST OF ALL, THEY THOUGHT THERE WAS A POST MONEY ROUND
02:18PM	7	AT \$19? IS THIS TRUE? THEY ALSO FELT THAT CURRENT VALUATION
02:18PM	8	IS PROBABLY WELL IN EXCESS OF THE \$15 OFFERED BY THE COMPANY."
02:18PM	9	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:18PM	10	A. YES.
02:18PM	11	Q. OKAY. AND SO THIS IS AN EMAIL ABOUT A POTENTIAL BUYOUT;
02:18PM	12	RIGHT?
02:18PM	13	A. YES.
02:18PM	14	Q. AND IT'S A BUYOUT AT \$15 PER SHARE; RIGHT?
02:18PM	15	A. POTENTIAL.
02:18PM	16	Q. AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN, TO YOU, BASED ON THE VALUATION
02:18PM	17	OF THE COMPANY AT THE TIME, WORTH ABOUT \$25 MILLION; IS THAT
02:19PM	18	RIGHT?
02:19PM	19	A. POTENTIAL. IT'S NOT A DONE DEAL UNTIL BOTH PARTIES AGREE,
02:19PM	20	AND THIS IS ANOTHER OFFER THAT CHRIS BOIES DID NOT FOLLOW
02:19PM	21	THROUGH.
02:19PM	22	Q. BUT IF IT HAD COME TO FRUITION, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN
02:19PM	23	\$25 MILLION FOR YOU; RIGHT?
02:19PM	24	A. IF IT HAD. THAT'S SPECULATIVE. THIS WAS ANOTHER OFFER AS
02:19PM	25	THE FIRST OFFER AT THE GREATER THAN FIVE TIMES THAT WAS PUT OUT

02:19PM	1	THERE, BUT THERE WAS NO FOLLOW THROUGH.
02:19PM	2	I FOLLOWED THROUGH. I WAS PURSUING THIS OFFER AND IT WENT
02:19PM	3	AWAY.
02:19PM	4	CHRIS BOIES STOPPED COMMUNICATING WITH ME WHEN I WAS
02:19PM	5	TRYING TO PURSUE THIS OFFER.
02:19PM	6	Q. SO IT'S CLEAR THAT A SALE DIDN'T HAPPEN.
02:19PM	7	MY QUESTION IS JUST ABOUT THE MATH.
02:19PM	8	IF YOU HAD SOLD YOUR STOCK PURSUANT TO THIS OFFER, YOU
02:19PM	9	WOULD HAVE MADE ABOUT \$25 MILLION; IS THAT RIGHT? JUST THE
02:20PM	10	MATH? IS THAT RIGHT?
02:20PM	11	A. I DON'T SEE WHERE THAT IS RELEVANT. I'M SORRY.
02:20PM	12	Q. IS THE MATH RIGHT?
02:20PM	13	THE COURT: CAN YOU ANSWER HER QUESTION?
02:20PM	14	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
02:20PM	15	IF THIS OFFER WAS LEGITIMATE, AND THEY FOLLOWED THROUGH ON
02:20PM	16	THIS OFFER, THAT IS THE POTENTIAL.
02:20PM	17	BUT MY TESTIMONY IS THAT THIS WAS ANOTHER ILLEGITIMATE
02:20PM	18	OFFER. THEY DID NOT FOLLOW THROUGH WITH THIS OFFER, AND I
02:20PM	19	DON'T KNOW WHY BECAUSE I'VE PURSUED THE OFFER AND CHRIS BOIES
02:20PM	20	DROPPED THE BALL. HE STOPPED COMMUNICATING.
02:20PM	21	BY MS. WALSH:
02:20PM	22	Q. OKAY. SO PUT ASIDE LEGITIMATE, NOT LEGITIMATE, I'M JUST
02:20PM	23	FOCUSSED ON THE MATH.
02:20PM	24	IF YOU HAD ACCEPTED THIS OFFER AND THE DEAL HAD GONE
02:20PM	25	THROUGH, YOU WOULD HAVE MADE \$25 MILLION BASED ON THE VALUATION

02:20PM	1	OF THERANOS AT THE TIME. IS THAT MATH CORRECT?
02:20PM	2	A. IT'S HARD TO ANSWER BECAUSE IT WAS NOT AN OFFER. IT WAS
02:20PM	3	NOT A LEGITIMATE OFFER.
02:20PM	4	Q. IS THE MATH CORRECT?
02:20PM	5	A. IF THAT WAS A LEGITIMATE OFFER AND I HAD ACCEPTED IT, THE
02:21PM	6	MATH IS CORRECT.
02:21PM	7	Q. SO THE ANSWER IS YES, THE MATH IS CORRECT? IS THAT YOUR
02:21PM	8	ANSWER?
02:21PM	9	A. YES.
02:21PM	10	Q. LET'S TURN TO 13191.
02:21PM	11	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:21PM	12	A. YES.
02:21PM	13	Q. THIS IS AN EMAIL BETWEEN YOU AND SOME INDIVIDUALS AT A
02:21PM	14	PLACE CALLED SHARES POST.
02:21PM	15	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:21PM	16	A. YES.
02:21PM	17	Q. AND IT'S IN SEPTEMBER 2015; CORRECT?
02:21PM	18	A. YES.
02:21PM	19	Q. AND THIS EMAIL RELATED TO YOU PURSUING OR TRYING TO SELL
02:22PM	20	YOUR STOCK; IS THAT RIGHT?
02:22PM	21	A. YES.
02:22PM	22	Q. OKAY.
02:22PM	23	YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER 13191.
02:22PM	24	MR. BOSTIC: 801, YOUR HONOR.
02:22PM	25	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

02:22PM	1	MS. WALSH: MR. EISENMAN HAS TESTIFIED THAT HIS
02:22PM	2	INVESTMENT IS NOW WORTHLESS, AND THIS GOES TO ANOTHER INSTANCE
02:22PM	3	OF HIM BEING GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY TO SELL AND HIM NOT SELLING.
02:22PM	4	MR. BOSTIC: THAT SOUNDS LIKE IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR
02:22PM	5	THE TRUTH, YOUR HONOR.
02:22PM	6	THE COURT: IS IT?
02:22PM	7	MS. WALSH: IT GOES TO HIS STATE OF MIND.
02:22PM	8	THE COURT: SO IS IT OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH OF THE
02:22PM	9	MATTER ASSERTED?
02:22PM	10	MS. WALSH: NO.
02:22PM	11	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
02:22PM	12	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, THE WITNESS'S STATE OF MIND
02:22PM	13	POST-INVESTMENT IS NOT AN ISSUE IN THIS CASE.
02:22PM	14	MS. WALSH: WELL, IT
02:22PM	15	THE COURT: WELL, THAT WAS MY NEXT QUESTION.
02:22PM	16	GO AHEAD.
02:22PM	17	MS. WALSH: WELL, IT IS AN ISSUE IF MR. EISENMAN
02:22PM	18	TESTIFIED ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED WITH HIS STOCK AT THE END OF THE
02:23PM	19	DAY OR WHAT WAS THE VALUE OF HIS SHARES AT THE END OF THE DAY.
02:23PM	20	THE COURT: I'M NOT CERTAIN I CAPTURE THAT.
02:23PM	21	THIS IS POST-INVESTMENT?
02:23PM	22	MS. WALSH: IT IS POST-INVESTMENT.
02:23PM	23	THE OTHER THING I WOULD JUST POINT OUT IS THAT THE TOP
02:23PM	24	EMAIL IS A SERIES OF QUESTIONS. SO THEY'RE NOT FACTUAL
02:23PM	25	ASSERTIONS, THEY'RE JUST QUESTIONS BEING POSED.

02:23PM	1	THE COURT: WELL, IT ALSO CREATES SOME 403 TYPE
02:23PM	2	ISSUES, DOES IT? IT SEEMS IT MIGHT.
02:23PM	3	MS. WALSH: IT IS PROBATIVE OF MR. EISENMAN'S
02:24PM	4	OPPORTUNITIES TO SELL HIS STOCK.
02:24PM	5	I DON'T THINK THERE ARE ANY ASSERTIONS IN HERE THAT GO TO
02:24PM	6	THE TRUTH. THERE'S NO ASSERTION THAT IS BEING MADE. THERE ARE
02:24PM	7	QUESTIONS BEING POSED.
02:24PM	8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THERE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSIONS
02:24PM	9	ABOUT THIS.
02:24PM	10	I'LL PERMIT THIS TO BE ADMITTED, BUT NOT FOR THE TRUTH OF
02:24PM	11	THE MATTER ASSERTED, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, NOT FOR THE TRUTH OF
02:24PM	12	ANY OF THE ITEMS, INCLUDING NUMBERS AND THOSE THINGS THAT ARE
02:24PM	13	ASSERTED IN THESE DOCUMENTS.
02:24PM	14	THIS JUST GOES TO THE WITNESS'S STATE OF MIND IN REGARDS
02:24PM	15	TO HIS FORMER TESTIMONY ABOUT HIS THOUGHTS ABOUT VALUATION AND
02:24PM	16	SELLING AND FOR THAT LIMITED PURPOSE.
02:24PM	17	IT'S ADMITTED, AND IT MAY BE PUBLISHED.
02:24PM	18	MS. WALSH: THANK YOU.
02:24PM	19	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 13191 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
02:24PM	20	BY MS. WALSH:
02:24PM	21	Q. OKAY. IF WE CAN GO TO THE EMAIL FIRST IN TIME,
02:24PM	22	SEPTEMBER 3RD, 2015.
02:24PM	23	MR. EISENMAN, YOU WRITE TO A FELLOW NAMED BRYSON TOMBRIDGE
02:24PM	24	AT SHARES POST; RIGHT?
02:24PM	25	A. YES.

- Q. AND WHAT IS SHARES POST?
- A. IT IS A MARKET MAKER THAT TRIES TO MATCH BUYERS AND SELLERS WITH NONPUBLIC STOCKS, NONPUBLIC SECURITIES.
- "I WANTED TO MAKE SURE YOU RECEIVED ALL DOCUMENTATION TO LIST MY THERANOS FOR SALE. COULD YOU UPDATE ME ON THE CURRENT MARKET... WHERE STOCK HAS BEEN TRADING, BOTH GROSS SALES PRICE AND NET OF COMMISSIONS, AND ALSO HOW MUCH STOCK IS IN FRONT OF ME AND AT WHAT PRICE."

OKAY. AND WHAT YOU SAY TO MR. TOMBRIDGE IS, "BRYSON,

DO YOU SEE THAT?

A. YES.

0.

Q. AND THEN MR. TOMBRIDGE WRITES BACK SAYING "HI ALAN,

"YES, WE RECEIVED YOUR DOCUMENTATION."

AND HE SAYS SOME MORE THINGS.

"WE COMPLETED A TRANSACTION AT THE PRICE OF 14.75.

"IN TERMS OF THE MARKET, WE HAVE A LARGE BLOCK OF SELLERS
AT \$19 PER SHARE."

AND THEN YOU WRITE, "HI BRYSON,

"THIS CONFLICTS WITH MY CONVERSATION WITH GENO. I THOUGHT
HE SAID THAT YOUR FIRM HAD DONE SEVERAL TRANSACTIONS IN
THERANOS STOCK, AND CURRENT TRANSACTIONS WERE SELLING IN THE
\$17 TO \$19 PRICE RANGE BEFORE COMMISSION. HE ALSO SAID THAT
THE COMPANY HAD EXERCISED ITS FIRST RIGHT OF REFUSAL ON SOME OF
THE TRANSACTIONS AND PURCHASED STOCK FOR AS MUCH AS \$17.40. I
NEED CURRENT AND ACCURATE INFORMATION, SO I CAN SET MY PRICES

02:26PM	1	AND QUANTITIES. CAN YOU CONFIRM WITH ZENO AND RESPOND TODAY?"
02:26PM	2	I THINK IT'S ACTUALLY GENO.
02:26PM	3	AND GENO RESPONDS SAYING "HI ALAN,
02:26PM	4	"SORRY, I HAVE JUST RETURNED FROM A WEEK LONG VACATION AND
02:26PM	5	I ACTUALLY WAS UNPLUGGED FOR ONCE. NO RUSH AS WE HAVE SEVERAL
02:26PM	6	LARGE BLOCKS TO FILL IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS BEFORE WE GET TO
02:26PM	7	YOUR PRICE LEVEL."
02:26PM	8	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:26PM	9	A. YES.
02:26PM	10	Q. AND YOU RESPOND, "GENO,
02:26PM	11	"I NEED ACCURATE INFORMATION. PLEASE LET ME KNOW THE
02:27PM	12	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT OF THERANOS YOUR FIRM HAS CROSSED SINCE
02:27PM	13	INCEPTION."
02:27PM	14	AND BY "CROSS" YOU MEAN?
02:27PM	15	A. MATCHING BUYERS AND SELLERS.
02:27PM	16	Q. BY "CROSS" YOU MEAN MATCHING BUYERS AND SELLERS?
02:27PM	17	A. YES.
02:27PM	18	Q. "WHAT ARE THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS OF LARGER TRADE? WHAT ARE
02:27PM	19	THE GROSS AND NET PRICES OF THE MOST RECENT TRADES? WHAT NET
02:27PM	20	PRICE CAN I EXPECT FOR MY STOCK IF I WANT TO SELL 100,000, A
02:27PM	21	MILLION, OR 10 MILLION? IS THE MARKET LIQUID ENOUGH TO ABSORB
02:27PM	22	\$10 MILLION WITHOUT LOWERING MY PRICE?"
02:27PM	23	DO YOU SEE THAT?
02:27PM	24	A. YES.
02:27PM	25	Q. AND WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.

02:27PM	1	OKAY. SO YOU'VE TESTIFIED TODAY ABOUT INFORMATION THAT
02:28PM	2	YOU WANTED TO GET FROM THERANOS THAT YOU DID NOT GET. IS THAT
02:28PM	3	FAIR?
02:28PM	4	A. YES.
02:28PM	5	Q. AND THAT'S INFORMATION THAT YOU ASKED FROM MS. HOLMES AND
02:28PM	6	MR. BALWANI; RIGHT?
02:28PM	7	A. YES.
02:28PM	8	Q. AND SO LET'S TALK ABOUT OTHER INFORMATION THAT MR. BALWANI
02:28PM	9	MAY NOT HAVE GIVEN YOU. OKAY?
02:28PM	10	A. OKAY.
02:28PM	11	Q. DID MR. BALWANI TELL YOU THAT HE GUARANTEED A LINE OF
02:28PM	12	CREDIT FOR THERANOS FOR \$10 MILLION?
02:28PM	13	A. NO.
02:28PM	14	Q. DID HE TELL YOU THAT THAT WAS IN 2009 DURING THE HEIGHT OF
02:28PM	15	THE FINANCIAL CRISIS?
02:28PM	16	A. NO.
02:28PM	17	Q. DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE USED HIS PERSONAL MONEY TO DO
02:28PM	18	THAT?
02:28PM	19	A. NO.
02:28PM	20	Q. AND DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE DIDN'T CHARGE INTEREST ON
02:28PM	21	HAVING HIS MONEY TIED UP IN THAT WAY?
02:28PM	22	A. NO.
02:28PM	23	Q. OKAY. DID MR. BALWANI TELL YOU THAT HE MADE NO MORE THAN
02:28PM	24	\$99,000 A YEAR AS A CEO AT A COMPANY THAT WAS VALUED AT
02:28PM	25	\$9 BILLION?

02:28PM	1	A. NO.
02:29PM	2	Q. AND DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE NEVER ASKED FOR ANY OTHER
02:29PM	3	COMPENSATION FROM THE COMPANY?
02:29PM	4	A. NO.
02:29PM	5	Q. DID HE TELL YOU THAT WALGREENS MADE A COMMITMENT TO
02:29PM	6	THERANOS AT THE END OF DECEMBER OF 2013 TO DO A NATIONAL
02:29PM	7	ROLLOUT OF THERANOS TECHNOLOGY?
02:29PM	8	A. I'M SORRY. DID HE TELL ME?
02:29PM	9	Q. DID MR. BALWANI TELL YOU THAT?
02:29PM	10	A. I DON'T THINK I GOT THAT INFORMATION FROM MR. BALWANI.
02:29PM	11	Q. OKAY. AND DID MR. BALWANI TELL YOU THAT WALGREENS AGREED
02:29PM	12	TO PAY, IN DECEMBER OF 2013, \$75 MILLION TO THERANOS? DID HE
02:29PM	13	TELL YOU THAT?
02:29PM	14	A. NO.
02:29PM	15	MS. WALSH: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?
02:29PM	16	THE COURT: YES.
02:29PM	17	(DISCUSSION AMONGST DEFENSE COUNSEL OFF THE RECORD.)
02:29PM	18	MS. WALSH: I DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS.
02:29PM	19	THE COURT: WILL YOU HAVE REDIRECT?
02:29PM	20	MR. BOSTIC: I WILL, YOUR HONOR.
02:30PM	21	THE COURT: I WONDER IF WE SHOULD TAKE OUR BREAK NOW
02:30PM	22	BEFORE YOUR REDIRECT, OR DO YOU THINK WE SHOULD GO FORWARD WITH
02:30PM	23	THAT?
02:30PM	24	MR. BOSTIC: I THINK NOW MAY BE A GOOD TIME FOR A
02:30PM	25	BREAK, YOUR HONOR.

02:30PM	1	THE COURT: WELL, LET'S DO THAT NOW, LADIES AND
02:30PM	2	GENTLEMEN. LET'S TAKE A BREAK NOW. WE'LL TAKE ABOUT 25 OR
02:30PM	3	30 MINUTES, AND THEN WE'LL RESUME.
02:30PM	4	(RECESS FROM 2:30 P.M. UNTIL 3:01 P.M.)
03:07PM	5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD.
03:07PM	6	SORRY FOR THE DELAY.
03:07PM	7	THE PARTIES WHO WERE PREVIOUSLY PRESENT ARE PRESENT ONCE
03:07PM	8	AGAIN.
03:07PM	9	MR. BOSTIC, YOU HAVE QUESTIONS?
03:07PM	10	MR. BOSTIC: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
03:07PM	11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
03:07PM	12	BY MR. BOSTIC:
03:07PM	13	Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. EISENMAN.
03:07PM	14	A. GOOD AFTERNOON.
03:07PM	15	Q. I'D LIKE TO START ON THE TOPIC OF THE EFFORTS THAT YOU
03:07PM	16	MADE TO EXPLORE POTENTIAL SALES OF YOUR THERANOS SHARES IN
03:07PM	17	2015.
03:07PM	18	DO YOU HAVE THAT IN MIND?
03:07PM	19	A. YES.
03:07PM	20	Q. LET ME START BY ASKING, WHY WERE YOU INTERESTED IN
03:08PM	21	POTENTIAL OPPORTUNITIES TO SELL YOUR THERANOS SHARES IN 2015?
03:08PM	22	A. BECAUSE I HAD AN OVERWEIGHTED POSITION IF THE MARKET WAS
03:08PM	23	AS IT WAS PRESENTED IN FINANCIAL PRESS, AND PARTIALLY A
03:08PM	24	FRUSTRATION BECAUSE I HAD NO TANGIBLE FINANCIAL INFORMATION TO
03:08PM	25	BASE THE VALUE OF MY SHARES.

03:08PM	1	Q. SO DID YOUR LACK OF INFORMATION FROM MR. BALWANI AND
03:08PM	2	MS. HOLMES CONTRIBUTE TO YOUR INTEREST IN POTENTIALLY PARTING
03:08PM	3	WAYS WITH THE COMPANY?
03:08PM	4	A. YES, IT DID.
03:08PM	5	Q. JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, AT ANY POINT AFTER YOU
03:08PM	6	INVESTED IN 2013, WAS THERE A COMPLETE OFFER TO SELL YOUR
03:08PM	7	SHARES THAT YOU COULD HAVE ACCEPTED?
03:08PM	8	A. NO.
03:08PM	9	Q. IF THERE HAD BEEN AN OFFER TO SELL YOUR SHARES THAT YOU
03:08PM	10	COULD HAVE ACCEPTED, DID YOU HAVE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU
03:09PM	11	WOULD HAVE NEEDED TO EVALUATE THAT OFFER?
03:09PM	12	A. NO, I DIDN'T.
03:09PM	13	Q. AND WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
03:09PM	14	A. I HAD NO EVEN BASIC FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT REVENUES
03:09PM	15	THAT THE COMPANY HAD DONE HISTORICALLY, WHAT THEY WERE
03:09PM	16	CURRENTLY DOING. THERE WAS BASICALLY NO DESPITE MY MANY
03:09PM	17	ATTEMPTS, THERE WAS NO RELEVANT OR MATERIAL INFORMATION THAT I
03:09PM	18	COULD OBTAIN FROM ANY SOURCE.
03:09PM	19	Q. LET'S TALK SOME MORE ABOUT THOSE ATTEMPTS THAT YOU MADE TO
03:09PM	20	GET INFORMATION, AND LET'S GO BACK TO 2010.
03:09PM	21	DO YOU RECALL MS. WALSH SHOWING YOU SOME EMAILS DURING THE
03:09PM	22	SUMMER OF 2010 WHERE YOU WERE CONTACTING MS. HOLMES AND
03:09PM	23	MR. BALWANI TRYING TO GET MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY?
03:09PM	24	A. YES.
03:09PM	25	Q. AND WERE THOSE EFFORTS, GENERALLY SPEAKING, SUCCESSFUL OR

03:09PM	1	UNSUCCESSFUL IN GETTING INFORMATION?
03:09PM	2	A. UNSUCCESSFUL.
03:09PM	3	Q. AT THAT TIME IN MID-2010, HOW MUCH OF YOUR MONEY WAS
03:10PM	4	INVESTED IN THE COMPANY?
03:10PM	5	A. ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT DOLLARS?
03:10PM	6	Q. DOLLARS, YES.
03:10PM	7	A. IN 2010? OF THE FAMILY INVESTMENTS, MY PERSONAL
03:10PM	8	INVESTMENT WAS APPROXIMATELY \$450,000.
03:10PM	9	Q. AND OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT, INCLUDING INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED
03:10PM	10	WITH YOU, WHAT WAS THE TOTAL THAT YOU HAD INVESTED?
03:10PM	11	A. THE TOTAL AMOUNT FOR MY IMMEDIATE FAMILY WAS APPROXIMATELY
03:10PM	12	A MILLION BETWEEN 1 MILLION 1 AND 1 MILLION 2.
03:10PM	13	Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER ABOUT QUARTERLY CALLS THAT YOU HAD
03:10PM	14	PREVIOUSLY HAD WITH MS. HOLMES.
03:10PM	15	DO YOU RECALL THAT?
03:10PM	16	A. YES.
03:10PM	17	Q. AND HOW LONG WERE THOSE QUARTERLY CALLS?
03:10PM	18	A. HOW LONG WAS THE DURATION OF EACH CALL?
03:10PM	19	Q. ON AVERAGE, YES.
03:10PM	20	A. ON AVERAGE, 5 TO 15 MINUTES.
03:10PM	21	Q. SO ARE WE TALKING ABOUT APPROXIMATELY ONE HOUR PER YEAR OF
03:10PM	22	PHONE CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN YOU AND MS. HOLMES DURING THAT TIME
03:10PM	23	PERIOD?
03:10PM	24	A. YES, YES.
03:11PM	25	Q. AND AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE MAKING THESE REQUESTS FOR

03:11PM	1	INFORMATION, DID YOU THINK IT WAS REASONABLE THAT YOUR MILLION
03:11PM	2	DOLLAR INVESTMENT IN THE COMPANY WOULD ENTITLE YOU TO AN HOUR A
03:11PM	3	YEAR OF THE CEO'S TIME?
03:11PM	4	A. YES.
03:11PM	5	Q. DO YOU RECALL SEEING IN SOME OF THE COMMUNICATIONS FROM
03:11PM	6	THERANOS THAT IF INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED TO OTHER
03:11PM	7	SHAREHOLDERS, YOU WOULD RECEIVE THAT INFORMATION AS WELL?
03:11PM	8	A. YES.
03:11PM	9	Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF OTHER SHAREHOLDERS OR
03:11PM	10	POTENTIAL SHAREHOLDERS OF THERANOS RECEIVING MORE DETAILED
03:11PM	11	INFORMATION THAN WHAT YOU WERE GIVEN?
03:11PM	12	A. WE HAD SOME COMMUNICATION THROUGH DAVID HARRIS THAT THERE
03:11PM	13	WERE OTHER SHAREHOLDERS THAT, THAT WERE PRIVY TO FINANCIAL
03:11PM	14	INFORMATION.
03:11PM	15	Q. IS THAT ONE OF THE REASONS WHY YOU WERE PRESSING THERANOS
03:11PM	16	TO GET THOSE DISCLOSURES YOURSELF?
03:11PM	17	A. THAT WAS ONE OF MANY REASONS.
03:12PM	18	Q. AT ANY POINT WHEN YOU WERE ASKING FOR MORE INFORMATION
03:12PM	19	FROM THERANOS, WAS YOUR GOAL TO GET INFORMATION THAT WAS NOT
03:12PM	20	GOING TO BE SHARED WITH OTHER INVESTORS?
03:12PM	21	A. I'M SORRY. COULD YOU RESTATE THE QUESTION?
03:12PM	22	Q. LET ME ASK IT A DIFFERENT WAY.
03:12PM	23	AT ANY POINT WAS YOUR GOAL TO GET AN ADVANTAGE, AS
03:12PM	24	COMPARED TO OTHER INVESTORS, BY GETTING INFORMATION THAT THEY
03:12PM	25	DID NOT HAVE?

03:12PM	1	A. NO.
03:12PM	2	MS. WALSH: OBJECTION. LEADING.
03:12PM	3	THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE ANSWER CAN REMAIN.
03:12PM	4	BY MR. BOSTIC:
03:12PM	5	Q. AND YOUR ANSWER, MR. EISENMAN?
03:12PM	6	A. NO.
03:12PM	7	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR, EXHIBIT 3387 WAS PREVIOUSLY
03:12PM	8	ADMITTED.
03:12PM	9	MAY WE PUBLISH?
03:12PM	10	THE COURT: YES.
03:12PM	11	BY MR. BOSTIC:
03:12PM	12	Q. LET'S START WITH PAGE 143.
03:12PM	13	MR. EISENMAN, DO YOU SEE ON THE SCREEN A DOCUMENT THAT IS
03:12PM	14	LABELLED CONFIDENTIAL INVESTMENT MATERIALS FOR DANIEL MOSLEY?
03:12PM	15	A. YES.
03:12PM	16	Q. AND DO YOU KNOW THE NAME DANIEL MOSLEY?
03:12PM	17	A. NO.
03:12PM	18	Q. DO YOU SEE THE THERANOS LOGO AT THE TOP?
03:13PM	19	A. YES.
03:13PM	20	Q. LET'S LOOK AT PAGE 277 NEXT.
03:13PM	21	AND DO YOU SEE NOW THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT A PAGE LABELLED
03:13PM	22	CONFIDENTIAL THERANOS BRIEFING?
03:13PM	23	A. YES.
03:13PM	24	Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE FOLLOWING PAGE, 278.
03:13PM	25	DO YOU SEE HERE THERE'S THE BEGINNING OF A DOCUMENT THAT

03:13PM	1	IS LABELLED THERANOS CONFIDENTIAL OVERVIEW?
03:13PM	2	A. YES.
03:13PM	3	Q. AT ANY POINT DID YOU RECEIVE THIS DOCUMENT OR A DOCUMENT
03:13PM	4	LIKE IT FROM MR. BALWANI OR MS. HOLMES?
03:13PM	5	A. NO.
03:13PM	6	Q. DID ANYONE AT THERANOS EVER SEND YOU THE THERANOS
03:13PM	7	CONFIDENTIAL OVERVIEW?
03:13PM	8	A. NO.
03:13PM	9	Q. IN YOUR COMMUNICATIONS WITH MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES,
03:13PM	10	WAS ONE THING THAT YOU WERE ASKING FOR CONCRETE FINANCIAL
03:13PM	11	INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY?
03:13PM	12	A. YES.
03:13PM	13	Q. LET'S LOOK IN THIS SAME EXHIBIT AT PAGE 513.
03:13PM	14	DO YOU SEE ON THE SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU IN THE MATERIALS
03:14PM	15	PROVIDED TO DANIEL MOSLEY SOMETHING LABELED THERANOS
03:14PM	16	CONFIDENTIAL PRO FORMA STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW?
03:14PM	17	A. YES.
03:14PM	18	Q. WERE YOU EVER PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION BY THERANOS?
03:14PM	19	A. NO (LAUGHTER).
03:14PM	20	Q. WERE YOU EVER PROVIDED ANY SIMILAR FINANCIAL INFORMATION
03:14PM	21	IN RESPONSE TO YOUR REQUEST?
03:14PM	22	A. NONE WHATSOEVER.
03:14PM	23	Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE FOLLOWING PAGE.
03:14PM	24	DO YOU SEE ON THE SCREEN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE
03:14PM	25	BALANCE SHEET FOR THERANOS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING

03:14PM	1	JULY 14TH, 2014?
03:14PM	2	A. YES.
03:14PM	3	Q. DID YOU EVER RECEIVE ANYTHING LIKE THIS IN RESPONSE TO
03:14PM	4	YOUR REQUEST FROM MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES?
03:14PM	5	A. NO.
03:14PM	6	Q. THE ANSWER, SIR?
03:14PM	7	A. NO.
03:14PM	8	Q. AND FINALLY, LET'S LOOK AT THE NEXT PAGE.
03:14PM	9	AND THE PAGE AFTER THAT.
03:14PM	10	OKAY. WE CAN SET THAT ASIDE.
03:14PM	11	DO YOU RECALL LOOKING WITH MS. WALSH AT AN EMAIL WHERE
03:15PM	12	MS. HOLMES INFORMED YOU THAT THERANOS WAS AN EARLY STAGE LIFE
03:15PM	13	SCIENCES COMPANY?
03:15PM	14	A. YES.
03:15PM	15	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL HER SAYING IN THAT EMAIL THAT BY ITS
03:15PM	16	VERY NATURE, THE COMPANY CARRIED IMMENSE RISK AND
03:15PM	17	UNPREDICTABILITY AS YOU KNOW AS A SAVVY INVESTOR?
03:15PM	18	A. I RECALL.
03:15PM	19	Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT THAT EMAIL WAS FROM JUNE OF 2010?
03:15PM	20	A. YES.
03:15PM	21	Q. AND MS. HOLMES SAID IN THAT EMAIL, "THIS MAY NOT CHANGE
03:15PM	22	FOR YEARS TO COME."
03:15PM	23	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
03:15PM	24	A. YES.
03:15PM	25	Q. FOLLOWING JUNE 2010, DID YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE RISK

03:15PM	1	INHERENT IN THE INVESTMENT CHANGE BASED ON WHAT MR. BALWANI AND
03:15PM	2	MS. HOLMES SAID?
03:15PM	3	A. I'M SORRY. COULD YOU RESTATE THE QUESTION?
03:15PM	4	Q. SURE. I CAN TRY TO ASK IT BETTER.
03:15PM	5	A. OKAY.
03:15PM	6	Q. MS. HOLMES SAID IN JUNE OF 2010 THAT THERANOS WAS AN EARLY
03:16PM	7	STAGE LIFE SCIENCES STARTUP AND, BY ITS VERY NATURE, CARRIES
03:16PM	8	IMMENSE RISK. "THIS MAY NOT CHANGE FOR YEARS TO COME."
03:16PM	9	IN YOUR VIEW, HAD THAT CHANGED BY THE TIME THAT YOU MADE
03:16PM	10	THE INVESTMENT IN 2013?
03:16PM	11	A. YES.
03:16PM	12	Q. AND WHAT MADE YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THIS HAD CHANGED AND IT
03:16PM	13	WAS NO LONGER THE CASE IN 2013?
03:16PM	14	A. RELYING ON SEVERAL PIECES OF INFORMATION, PRIMARILY THE
03:16PM	15	PHONE CONVERSATION A WEEK BEFORE THE ROUND CLOSED WITH
03:16PM	16	PAT MENDENHALL THAT HE SHARED WITH ALL OF US THAT THE RISK HAD
03:16PM	17	TAKEN OUT, THE SCIENCE HAD PROVEN, IT WAS AN ACCEPTABLE
03:16PM	18	SCIENCE, AND THIS ROUND WAS NOT REALLY FOR THE COMPANY TO
03:16PM	19	DEVELOP. THE COMPANY HAD ALREADY DEVELOPED. THEY WERE RAISING
03:16PM	20	MONEY TO GROW THE COMPANY.
03:16PM	21	Q. LEADING UP TO YOUR INVESTMENT IN 2013, YOU HAD
03:16PM	22	CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES; CORRECT?
03:16PM	23	A. CORRECT.
03:16PM	24	Q. IN ANY OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS PRIOR TO YOUR 2013
03:17PM	25	INVESTMENT, AROUND THAT TIME PERIOD, DID EITHER OF THEM SAY

03:17PM	1	ANYTHING LIKE WHAT MS. HOLMES HAD SAID IN 2010, THAT THE
03:17PM	2	INVESTMENT CARRIED IMMENSE RISK?
03:17PM	3	A. COULD YOU RESTATE THE QUESTION?
03:17PM	4	Q. SURE. WE SAW THE STATEMENT FROM 2010 WHEN MS. HOLMES TOLD
03:17PM	5	YOU THE INVESTMENT CARRIED IMMENSE RISK.
03:17PM	6	A. HIGH RISK, YES.
03:17PM	7	Q. DID EITHER MR. BALWANI OR MS. HOLMES SAY THAT TO YOU
03:17PM	8	LEADING UP TO YOUR 2013 INVESTMENT?
03:17PM	9	A. OKAY. IN, IN PHONE CONVERSATION OR EMAIL OR EITHER?
03:17PM	10	Q. EITHER.
03:17PM	11	A. OKAY. I DON'T RECALL.
03:17PM	12	Q. IF THEY HAD TOLD YOU, LEADING UP TO YOUR 2013 INVESTMENT,
03:17PM	13	THAT THERE WAS IMMENSE RISK INVOLVED, WOULD THAT HAVE CHANGED
03:17PM	14	YOUR UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE 2013 INVESTMENT?
03:17PM	15	A. YES.
03:17PM	16	MS. WALSH: OBJECTION.
03:17PM	17	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
03:17PM	18	THE WITNESS: YES.
03:17PM	19	BY MR. BOSTIC:
03:17PM	20	Q. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU HAVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE
03:18PM	21	INVESTING IN PRIVATE AND PUBLIC COMPANIES; CORRECT?
03:18PM	22	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
03:18PM	23	Q. WHEN IT COMES TO INVESTING IN A PRIVATE COMPANY, DOES THE
03:18PM	24	COMPANY ITSELF HAVE A SAY OVER WHO IS ABLE TO PURCHASE SHARES
03:18PM	25	IN THE COMPANY?

03:18PM	1	A. IN A PRIVATE COMPANY?
03:18PM	2	Q. YES.
03:18PM	3	A. DID THEY DETERMINE WHO IS ALLOWED TO BUY STOCK?
03:18PM	4	Q. YES.
03:18PM	5	A. YES.
03:18PM	6	Q. SO WHEN YOU INVESTED IN 2013, COULD THERANOS HAVE SAID,
03:18PM	7	NO, THANK YOU, WE DON'T WANT YOUR INVESTMENT?
03:18PM	8	A. YES.
03:18PM	9	Q. THAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME?
03:18PM	10	A. YES.
03:18PM	11	Q. IN 2013 WHEN YOU WERE DISCUSSING THAT INVESTMENT WITH
03:18PM	12	MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES, DID THEY AT ANY POINT REFUSE THE
03:18PM	13	ADDITIONAL MONEY THAT YOU WERE OFFERING?
03:18PM	14	A. NO, QUITE TO THE CONTRARY.
03:18PM	15	MR. BALWANI WAS UNUSUALLY CORDIAL AND FRIENDLY AND
03:18PM	16	RESPONSIVE TO A RETURN CALL, WHICH WAS VERY DIFFERENT THAN OUR
03:18PM	17	HISTORY FOR THE PRIOR FEW YEARS.
03:18PM	18	Q. DO YOU RECALL SEEING, DURING CROSS-EXAMINATION, SOME
03:19PM	19	EMAILS THAT MR. BALWANI SENT YOU LATER WHERE HE CLAIMED THAT HE
03:19PM	20	TOLD YOU NOT TO INVEST IN LATE 2013, EARLY 2014?
03:19PM	21	A. I SAW THOSE.
03:19PM	22	Q. WERE THOSE STATEMENTS TRUE?
03:19PM	23	A. NO.
03:19PM	24	Q. DID HE ACTUALLY SAY THAT TO YOU?
03:19PM	25	A. NO, HE DIDN'T.

		Q. WAS MR. BALWANI, IN YOUR VIEW, WELCOMING OF YOUR
03:19PM	2	INVESTMENT IN THERANOS IN 2013?
03:19PM	3	A. YES, HE WAS.
03:19PM	4	Q. MS. WALSH ALSO ASKED YOU WHETHER MR. BALWANI OR MS. HOLMES
03:19PM	5	EVER GUARANTEED THAT THERE WOULD BE A PUBLIC MARKET FOR
03:19PM	6	THERANOS SHARES.
03:19PM	7	DO YOU RECALL THAT?
03:19PM	8	A. YES.
03:19PM	9	Q. AND YOU ANSWERED THAT YOU NEVER RECEIVED AN EXPLICIT
03:19PM	10	GUARANTEE; IS THAT RIGHT?
03:19PM	11	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
03:19PM	12	Q. AS SOMEONE INVESTING IN A COMPANY, OR CONSIDERING AN
03:19PM	13	INVESTMENT, DO YOU RELY ON STATEMENTS MADE BY EXECUTIVES EVEN
03:19PM	14	WHEN THEY'RE NOT STYLED AS EXPLICIT GUARANTEES?
03:19PM	15	A. YES.
03:19PM	16	Q. AND DO YOU RELY ON THE TRUTH OF THE STATEMENTS EVEN WHEN
03:20PM	17	THEY'RE NOT EXPLICIT GUARANTEES?
03:20PM	18	A. YES.
03:20PM	19	Q. MS. WALSH ALSO ASKED YOU ABOUT SOME OF THE OTHER FACTORS
03:20PM	20	THAT INFLUENCED YOUR DECISION TO INVEST IN 2013.
03:20PM	21	DO YOU RECALL THOSE QUESTIONS?
03:20PM	22	A. YES.
03:20PM	23	Q. AND SHE DISCUSSED THINGS LIKE THE CONFIDENCE THAT
03:20PM	24	DAVID HARRIS HAD IN THE COMPANY.
03:20PM	25	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?

03:20PM	1	A. YES.
03:20PM	2	Q. AND SHE DISCUSSED THE PRESENCE OF DON LUCAS ON THE BOARD;
03:20PM	3	IS THAT RIGHT?
03:20PM	4	A. YES.
03:20PM	5	Q. AND THE FACT THAT LARRY ELLISON HAD INVESTED IN THE
03:20PM	6	COMPANY?
03:20PM	7	A. YES.
03:20PM	8	Q. AND YOU WERE ALSO AWARE OF THE WALGREENS PARTNERSHIP WITH
03:20PM	9	THERANOS WHEN YOU INVESTED IN 2013; RIGHT?
03:20PM	10	A. YES.
03:20PM	11	Q. AND DID ALL OF THOSE THINGS INFLUENCE YOUR DECISION TO
03:20PM	12	INVEST?
03:20PM	13	A. YES, THEY DID.
03:20PM	14	Q. SO LET ME ASK THEN, WHEN IT CAME TO THE STATEMENTS THAT
03:20PM	15	YOU RECEIVED FROM MS. HOLMES ABOUT THE COMPANY, IN LIGHT OF ALL
03:20PM	16	OF THE OTHER INFORMATION THAT ALSO INFLUENCED YOUR DECISION,
03:20PM	17	DID THE THINGS THAT MS. HOLMES SAID MATTER TO YOU IN MAKING
03:20PM	18	YOUR DECISION TO INVEST MORE MONEY IN THE COMPANY IN 2013?
03:21PM	19	A. YES.
03:21PM	20	Q. AND HOW ABOUT FOR MR. BALWANI?
03:21PM	21	DID HIS STATEMENTS, BOTH DIRECTLY TO YOU AND THROUGH
03:21PM	22	MR. MENDENHALL, MAKE A DIFFERENCE TO YOU IN YOUR DECISION TO
03:21PM	23	INVEST IN THE COMPANY IN 2013?
03:21PM	24	A. YES. IT GAVE ME A GREATER DEGREE OF CONFIDENCE THAT, THAT
03:21PM	25	THE COMPANY HAD DEVELOPED A SUCCESSFUL TECHNOLOGY AND THE RISK

03:21PM	1	WAS SUBSTANTIALLY TAKEN OUT OF THIS INVESTMENT.
03:21PM	2	Q. AND IN MAKING THAT INVESTMENT, WERE YOU ASSUMING THE TRUTH
03:21PM	3	OF THE THINGS THAT MR. BALWANI HAD SAID?
03:21PM	4	A. YES.
03:21PM	5	Q. THANK YOU.
03:21PM	6	NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
03:21PM	7	THE COURT: RECROSS.
03:21PM	8	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
03:21PM	9	BY MS. WALSH:
03:22PM	10	Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. EISENMAN.
03:22PM	11	A. GOOD AFTERNOON.
03:22PM	12	Q. LET ME TAKE OFF MY MASK.
03:22PM	13	MR. EISENMAN, YOU TESTIFIED ON REDIRECT THAT NEITHER
03:22PM	14	MR. BALWANI NOR MS. HOLMES TOLD YOU IN AN EMAIL OR A PHONE CALL
03:23PM	15	THAT THERANOS, YOUR THERANOS INVESTMENT IN 2013 CARRIED GREAT
03:23PM	16	RISK.
03:23PM	17	CORRECT?
03:23PM	18	A. I'M SORRY. COULD YOU RESTATE THE QUESTION?
03:23PM	19	Q. SURE. YOU JUST TESTIFIED MR. BOSTIC JUST ASKED YOU IF
03:23PM	20	THERE WAS ANY PHONE CALL OR EMAIL WITH MR. BALWANI OR
03:23PM	21	MS. HOLMES LEADING UP TO YOUR 2013 INVESTMENT WHERE THEY TOLD
03:23PM	22	YOU THERANOS IS A RISKY INVESTMENT; IS THAT RIGHT?
03:23PM	23	A. ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT JUST BEFORE THE 2013, OR ARE YOU
03:23PM	24	TALKING ABOUT THE PERIOD OF 2010 TO 2013? WHAT TIME PERIOD ARE
03:23PM	25	YOU REFERRING TO?

1 03:25PM 2 03:25PM 3 03:25PM 03:25PM 4 03:25PM 03:25PM 03:25PM 8 03:25PM 9 03:25PM 10 03:25PM 03:25PM 11 12 03:25PM 03:25PM 13 14 03:25PM 15 03:25PM 16 03:25PM 17 03:25PM 18 03:25PM 03:26PM 19 20 03:26PM 21 03:26PM 22 03:26PM 23 03:26PM 24 03:26PM

25

03:26PM

- 30 YEARS; RIGHT?
- CORRECT. Α.
- AND FOR THE THERANOS INVESTMENT IN 2013, YOU SIGNED A SHAREHOLDER AGREEMENT, DID YOU NOT?
- I DID.
- AND THAT AGREEMENT SPECIFICALLY SAID THAT THE INVESTMENT 0. IN THERANOS IS OF A SPECULATIVE NATURE. THAT AGREEMENT SAID THAT, DIDN'T IT?
- I THINK WE'VE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT THIS EARLIER. THAT IS WHAT IS CALLED BOILERPLATE, AND THAT IS LANGUAGE THAT IS PUT IN ALL DOCUMENTATION, OR MOST OR ALL DOCUMENTATION ON ANY PRIVATE EQUITY OFFERING.

AND AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, THAT'S, THAT'S PUT IN THE DOCUMENTS TO REDUCE POTENTIAL LIABILITY OF A COMPANY.

BUT AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, THAT TOTALLY CONTRADICTED WHAT I HAD BEEN LED TO BELIEVE BY THE, THE COMMUNICATION WITH MR. MENDENHALL AND OTHER COMMUNICATION, AND I RELIED MORE ON THAT COMMUNICATION THAN A MULTIPAGE LEGAL DOCUMENT THAT BASICALLY HAS THE SAME TYPE OF DISCLAIMERS THAT EVERY OTHER DOCUMENT OF ITS ILK HAS.

- SO THE AGREEMENT SAID IT WAS A SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT, DID Ο. IT NOT?
- THE AGREEMENT SAID IT WAS A SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT, BUT THAT CONTRADICTED WHAT WAS MORE IMPORTANT, THE INFORMATION THAT I GOT DIRECTLY FROM THE TOP PEOPLE IN THE COMPANY.

03:26PM	1	Q. THE AGREEMENT SAID IT WAS A SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT, DID IT
03:26PM	2	NOT?
03:26PM	3	A. YES, THE AGREEMENT SAID IT WAS A SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT.
03:26PM	4	Q. OKAY. OKAY. SO LET'S GO TO MR. BOSTIC ASKED YOU ABOUT
03:26PM	5	THIS EMAIL IN 2015, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 12999.
03:27PM	6	SO CAN WE PULL THAT EXHIBIT UP.
03:27PM	7	OKAY. LET'S GO TO DO YOU SEE THAT, MR. EISENMAN, ON
03:27PM	8	YOUR SCREEN?
03:27PM	9	A. YES. YES.
03:27PM	10	Q. LET'S GO TO PAGE 2 OF THAT EXHIBIT.
03:27PM	11	A. OKAY.
03:27PM	12	Q. SO I CAN READ IT. OKAY.
03:27PM	13	SO IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PAGE, MR. BALWANI SAYS TO YOU IN
03:27PM	14	THAT MIDDLE PARAGRAPH STARTING WITH "YOU CONTINUE TO BOMBARD
03:27PM	15	US."
03:27PM	16	DO YOU SEE THAT PARAGRAPH?
03:27PM	17	A. YES.
03:27PM	18	Q. "JUST 12 SHORT MONTHS AGO I SPOKE WITH YOU IN DETAIL THAT
03:27PM	19	YOU WILL NOT GET ANY UPDATES, THAT YOU SHOULD NOT INVEST."
03:27PM	20	DO YOU SEE THAT?
03:28PM	21	A. YES. BUT IF THIS TIMELINE WAS CORRECT, THIS WAS AFTER I
03:28PM	22	HAD ALREADY INVESTED.
03:28PM	23	Q. YES. DO YOU SEE THAT LANGUAGE IN THE EMAIL?
03:28PM	24	A. YES. BUT THAT CONTRADICTS WHAT, WHAT I WAS TOLD BEFORE I
03:28PM	25	INVESTED.

03:28PM	1	Q. RIGHT. AND SO WHEN YOU RESPOND TO MR. BALWANI ON
03:28PM	2	PAGE 1 DO YOU SEE YOUR RESPONSE ON PAGE 1?
03:28PM	3	A. YES.
03:28PM	4	Q. YOU DON'T SAY TO MR. BALWANI "THAT'S NOT TRUE," DO YOU?
03:28PM	5	A. NO, I DO NOT SAY THAT IN THIS EMAIL.
03:28PM	6	Q. YOU DON'T SAY "THAT'S A LIE, MR. BALWANI," DO YOU?
03:28PM	7	A. UM, IN THIS EMAIL I, I DID NOT SAY THAT, BUT I WAS
03:28PM	8	PROBABLY THINKING THAT.
03:28PM	9	Q. BUT YOU DIDN'T SAY IT; RIGHT?
03:28PM	10	A. I DID NOT SAY THAT IN THIS SHORT AND SWEET EMAIL, NO, I
03:29PM	11	DIDN'T.
03:29PM	12	Q. OKAY. AND LET'S GO UP THE CHAIN ONE MORE.
03:29PM	13	MR. BALWANI SAYS "ALAN.
03:29PM	14	"NO ONE FROM THIS COMPANY HAS EVER COMMITTED TO A SHORT
03:29PM	15	QUARTERLY CALL WITH YOU OR ANY OTHER INVESTOR SELECTIVELY. I
03:29PM	16	WAS VERY EXPLICITLY DISCOURAGING YOU FROM INVESTING IN JANUARY
03:29PM	17	OF 2014."
03:29PM	18	DO YOU SEE THAT LANGUAGE?
03:29PM	19	A. YES. BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND BECAUSE THE INVESTMENT WAS IN
03:29PM	20	DECEMBER OF 2013, AND HE DID NOT DISCOURAGE ME IN ANY WAY FROM
03:29PM	21	INVESTING IN 2013.
03:29PM	22	Q. DO YOU SEE THAT LANGUAGE IN THE EMAIL?
03:29PM	23	A. I DO SEE THE LANGUAGE.
03:29PM	24	Q. AND THEN LET'S GO UP THE CHAIN TO YOUR RESPONSE.
03:29PM	25	DO YOU SEE YOUR RESPONSE?

THIS EMAIL.

25

03:31PM

03:31PM	1	BUT YOU HAVE TO TAKE IT WITH A GRAIN OF SALT. THIS IS NOT
03:31PM	2	ACCURATE, AND IT'S NOT TRUTHFUL.
03:31PM	3	Q. SO THE ANSWER TO MY QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER HE SAID HE
03:31PM	4	DISCOURAGED YOU TWICE IN THIS EMAIL IS, YES, THAT'S CORRECT?
03:31PM	5	A. THE ANSWER THAT HE STATED THAT HE DISCOURAGED ME FROM
03:31PM	6	INVESTING AFTER I HAD INVESTED, YES, IT'S TRUE THAT HE SAID
03:31PM	7	THAT HE DISCOURAGED ME.
03:31PM	8	BUT THAT'S NOT TRUE.
03:31PM	9	Q. SO IT'S IN THE EMAIL THAT HE SAID HE DISCOURAGED YOU TWO
03:31PM	10	DIFFERENT TIMES; IS THAT RIGHT? YES OR NO?
03:31PM	11	IT'S A YES OR NO QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.
03:31PM	12	MR. BOSTIC: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.
03:31PM	13	ARGUMENTATIVE.
03:31PM	14	THE COURT: WELL, CAN YOU ANSWER THE QUESTION YES OR
03:31PM	15	NO?
03:31PM	16	THE WITNESS: YES, I CAN.
03:31PM	17	THE COURT: YES OR NO.
03:31PM	18	THE WITNESS: SO IS THE QUESTION, DID HE DISCOURAGE
03:31PM	19	ME FROM
03:31PM	20	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME, SIR.
03:31PM	21	THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY.
03:31PM	22	THE COURT: WOULD YOU ASK THE QUESTION ONE MORE
03:31PM	23	TIME?
03:31PM	24	I BELIEVE THIS IS GOING TO BE A YES OR NO QUESTION.
03:31PM	25	THE WITNESS: OKAY.

03:31PM	1	THE COURT: SO YOU CAN ASK THE QUESTION ONE MORE
03:31PM	2	TIME.
03:31PM	3	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
03:31PM	4	BY MS. WALSH:
03:31PM	5	Q. IN EXHIBIT 12999, THE EMAIL CHAIN THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT,
03:32PM	6	DID MR. BALWANI SAY IN THAT EMAIL CHAIN, ON TWO DIFFERENT
03:32PM	7	OCCASIONS, THAT HE DISCOURAGED YOU FROM INVESTING?
03:32PM	8	A. YES, HE DID SAY THAT.
03:32PM	9	Q. OKAY. AND IN THAT SAME EMAIL CHAIN, YOU DO NOT SAY IN
03:32PM	10	RESPONSE TO EITHER OF THOSE STATEMENTS, "NO, SUNNY, THAT IS NOT
03:32PM	11	ACCURATE."
03:32PM	12	IS THAT RIGHT?
03:32PM	13	A. THAT IS RIGHT.
03:32PM	14	Q. MR. BOSTIC ALSO ASKED YOU ABOUT OFFERS FOR YOU TO SELL
03:32PM	15	YOUR THERANOS STOCK.
03:32PM	16	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
03:32PM	17	A. YES.
03:32PM	18	Q. OKAY. AND YOUR TESTIMONY WAS THAT THE OFFERS NEVER CAME
03:32PM	19	TO FRUITION; RIGHT?
03:32PM	20	A. THAT IS CORRECT. NONE OF THE OFFERS WERE EITHER THEY
03:33PM	21	WEREN'T LEGITIMATE OR THEY NEVER CAME TO FRUITION. NONE,
03:33PM	22	NONE I PURSUED EVERY OFFER THAT WAS MADE TO ME, AND I COULD
03:33PM	23	NOT GET TO THE FINISH LINE ON ANY OF THE OFFERS THAT WERE
03:33PM	24	PRESENTED.
03:33PM	25	Q. OKAY. YOU ARE A PERSISTENT SHAREHOLDER; IS THAT FAIR TO

03:33PM	1	SAY, MR. EISENMAN?
03:33PM	2	A. THAT'S FAIR.
03:33PM	3	Q. YOU FOLLOW UP ON EVERY QUESTION THAT YOU DO NOT GET
03:33PM	4	ANSWERED; IS THAT RIGHT?
03:33PM	5	A. I ATTEMPT.
03:33PM	6	Q. YOU TRY TO DO THAT; RIGHT?
03:33PM	7	A. YES.
03:33PM	8	Q. OKAY. AND WHEN YOU DON'T GET AN ANSWER OR WHEN YOU DON'T
03:33PM	9	GET A RESPONSE FROM SOMEONE, YOU FOLLOW UP WITH AN EMAIL;
03:33PM	10	CORRECT?
03:33PM	11	A. SOMETIMES.
03:33PM	12	Q. YOU DO THAT MOST OF THE TIME, DON'T YOU?
03:33PM	13	A. IT DEPENDS ON THE CIRCUMSTANCE.
03:33PM	14	Q. WELL, YOU DID THAT HERE WITH THERANOS. WE JUST WENT
03:33PM	15	THROUGH DOZENS OF EMAILS WHERE YOU'RE FOLLOWING UP FOR
03:33PM	16	INFORMATION OVER AND OVER AGAIN; RIGHT?
03:33PM	17	A. THERANOS WAS A UNIQUE SITUATION.
03:33PM	18	I HAD SIGNIFICANTLY MORE INVESTED IN THIS THAN ANY OTHER
03:34PM	19	EARLY STAGE COMPANY, AND TYPICALLY WHERE THERE'S SMOKE, THERE'S
03:34PM	20	FIRE, AND THERE WAS A LOT OF SMOKE THROUGH THE YEARS. THERE
03:34PM	21	WAS A LOT OF INFORMATION THAT REALLY WAS NOT MAKING SENSE.
03:34PM	22	Q. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THERANOS.
03:34PM	23	REGARDING THERANOS, YOU FOLLOWED UP EVERY TIME YOU DIDN'T
03:34PM	24	GET THE INFORMATION THAT YOU WERE LOOKING FOR; ISN'T THAT
03:34PM	25	RIGHT?

A. I DON'T KNOW. I COULD GO THROUGH MY INFORMATION. I DON'T

24

25

03:35PM

03:35PM

MR. EISENMAN?

YES, I DID FOLLOW UP.

WAS SUPPOSED TO BE BY THE END OF THE YEAR.

24

25

03:36PM

03:36PM

03:36PM	1	AND THEN ON THE SECOND OFFER, I HAVE A WHOLE CHAIN OF
03:36PM	2	COMMUNICATION WITH CHRIS BOIES AND HE WENT SILENT FOR A PERIOD
03:36PM	3	OF MONTHS AFTER HE BROUGHT THAT OFFER TO ME.
03:36PM	4	Q. AND WHEN HE WENT SILENT, YOU DIDN'T SEND ANY EMAILS TO
03:36PM	5	FOLLOW UP AS TO, WHERE IS MY FIRM OFFER, DID YOU?
03:36PM	6	A. I DON'T KNOW IF THEY WERE EMAILS OR CALLS, BUT I FOLLOWED
03:37PM	7	UP AGGRESSIVELY WITH CHRIS BOIES.
03:37PM	8	Q. AND THE ONLY EMAIL THAT WE HAVE SEEN TODAY REGARDING AN
03:37PM	9	OFFER FOR YOU TO SELL YOUR SHARES IS YOU TELLING
03:37PM	10	ELIZABETH HOLMES THAT YOU HAVEN'T DECIDED WHETHER YOU WANT TO
03:37PM	11	SELL YOUR SHARES?
03:37PM	12	A. THAT'S NOT TRUE.
03:37PM	13	Q. WELL, WE SAW THAT EMAIL; RIGHT?
03:37PM	14	A. NO. SHOW ME THE EMAIL.
03:37PM	15	THERE WAS A PERIOD WHEN
03:37PM	16	Q. THERE'S NO QUESTION PENDING.
03:37PM	17	A. OKAY.
03:37PM	18	Q. TURN TO EXHIBIT, I THINK THIS IS IN EVIDENCE, 14224.
03:37PM	19	MS. ROBINSON, CAN YOU JUST CONFIRM?
03:37PM	20	THE CLERK: CAN YOU REPEAT THAT?
03:37PM	21	MS. WALSH: I'M SORRY. 14224.
03:37PM	22	THE CLERK: YES, THAT IS IN EVIDENCE.
03:37PM	23	MS. WALSH: OKAY. WE CAN BRING THAT UP?
03:37PM	24	THE COURT: YES.
03:37PM	25	BY MS. WALSH:

03:37PM	1	Q. THIS IS AN EMAIL, ISN'T IT, MR. EISENMAN?
03:37PM	2	A. YES.
03:37PM	3	Q. AND IT'S FROM YOU TO ELIZABETH HOLMES; CORRECT?
03:38PM	4	A. CORRECT.
03:38PM	5	Q. AND IT'S ON JULY 1ST, 2010; RIGHT?
03:38PM	6	A. CORRECT.
03:38PM	7	Q. AND YOU SAY "ELIZABETH,
03:38PM	8	"I HAVEN'T MADE A DECISION WHETHER TO SELL STOCK."
03:38PM	9	RIGHT?
03:38PM	10	A. CAN I EXPLAIN THAT?
03:38PM	11	Q. JUST DO THE WORDS ON THIS EMAIL SAY "I HAVEN'T MADE A
03:38PM	12	DECISION WHETHER TO SELL STOCK"?
03:38PM	13	YES OR NO?
03:38PM	14	A. THAT IS WHAT THE WORDS SAY.
03:38PM	15	BUT IT'S NUANCED AND I'D LIKE AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLAIN
03:38PM	16	THAT IF POSSIBLE.
03:38PM	17	Q. OKAY. WELL, MR. BOSTIC WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK
03:38PM	18	YOU THOSE QUESTIONS.
03:38PM	19	I JUST WANTED TO KNOW AND TO CONFIRM THAT THAT WAS AN
03:38PM	20	EMAIL FROM YOU TELLING ELIZABETH HOLMES THAT YOU HADN'T DECIDED
03:38PM	21	WHETHER TO SELL YOUR STOCK.
03:38PM	22	THAT'S THE CASE; RIGHT?
03:38PM	23	A. IF YOU LOOK AT THE WORDS ON THE PAGE, YES, THOSE ARE THE
03:38PM	24	WORDS ON THE PAGE.
03:38PM	25	BUT SOMETIMES THEY NEED A LITTLE ADDITIONAL EXPLANATION.

03:39PM	1	REQUESTED WHATEVER FINANCIAL INFORMATION THAT THEY COULD SHARE
03:39PM	2	BECAUSE THIS WAS BY FAR THE LARGEST INVESTMENT IN MY PORTFOLIO
03:39PM	3	AND ONE OF THE MOST POTENTIAL SUCCESSFUL INVESTMENTS THAT I
03:39PM	4	COULD EVER MAKE IN MY LIFE.
03:39PM	5	AND BEFORE I ACCEPT AN OFFER FROM THE COMPANY, IT WOULD
03:40PM	6	ONLY BE FAIR TO SHARE SOME FINANCIAL INFORMATION TO KNOW IF
03:40PM	7	THIS WAS A REASONABLE TRANSACTION.
03:40PM	8	AND ONE OF THE WAYS THAT, IF THERE WAS SOME CONFIDENTIAL
03:40PM	9	INFORMATION, I COULD HAVE SIGNED A NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT,
03:40PM	10	WHICH MEANS THAT I WAS FORBIDDEN TO DISCUSS ANY OF THE DETAILS
03:40PM	11	OF THIS WITH ANYONE.
03:40PM	12	THERE WAS, THERE WAS A CHANCE I MIGHT HAVE TAKEN THAT
03:40PM	13	OFFER ANYWAY BECAUSE I WAS SO FRUSTRATED WITH THE COMPANY THAT
03:40PM	14	I WAS REQUESTING MORE FINANCIAL INFORMATION TO MAKE AN
03:40PM	15	INTELLIGENT DECISION.
03:40PM	16	BUT THE POINT IS MOOT BECAUSE THAT OFFER NEVER CAME TO
03:40PM	17	FRUITION. I PURSUED THAT OFFER, AND THEY JUST, THEY JUST
03:40PM	18	REFUSED TO COMMUNICATE.
03:40PM	19	Q. MS. WALSH ALSO ASKED YOU ABOUT YOUR EFFORTS TO FOLLOW UP
03:40PM	20	ON OFFERS TO SELL YOUR SHARES.
03:40PM	21	DO YOU RECALL THAT?
03:40PM	22	A. YES.
03:40PM	23	Q. AND SHE ASKED YOU ABOUT THE EMAILS THAT WE HAD SEEN IN
03:40PM	24	COURT TODAY.
03:40PM	25	DO YOU RECALL THAT QUESTION?

03:40PM	1	A. YES.
03:40PM	2	Q. DID THE EMAILS THAT WERE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE TODAY IN
03:41PM	3	COURT INCLUDE ALL OF THE COMMUNICATIONS YOU HAD WITH THERANOS
03:41PM	4	OR OTHERS ABOUT SELLING YOUR STOCK?
03:41PM	5	A. PROBABLY NOT.
03:41PM	6	MR. BOSTIC: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.
03:41PM	7	THE COURT: MS. WALSH.
03:41PM	8	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
03:41PM	9	MS. WALSH: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
03:41PM	10	THE COURT: MAY THIS WITNESS BE EXCUSED?
03:41PM	11	MR. BOSTIC: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:41PM	12	MS. WALSH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:41PM	13	THE COURT: YOU MAY BE EXCUSED.
03:41PM	14	WE HAVE SOME TIME LEFT IN OUR DAY. DO YOU HAVE A WITNESS
03:41PM	15	HERE WE COULD START?
03:41PM	16	MR. LEACH: WE DO, YOUR HONOR.
03:41PM	17	THE COURT: GREAT.
03:41PM	18	MR. LEACH: THE GOVERNMENT CALLS LYNETTE SAWYER.
03:41PM	19	THE COURT: PLEASE FEEL FREE TO STAND AND STRETCH.
03:41PM	20	LET ME ASK THE JURY COLLECTIVELY ALSO, MY SENSE IS THAT
03:41PM	21	THIS WITNESS MIGHT BE BRIEF. I DON'T THINK WE'LL COMPLETE THIS
03:42PM	22	WITNESS BY 4:00 O'CLOCK.
03:42PM	23	IS THAT LIKELY?
03:42PM	24	MR. LEACH: YOUR HONOR, I THINK I CAN COMPLETE THE
03:42PM	25	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY 4:00 O'CLOCK, BUT I'M ASSUMING THERE IS

03:42PM	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION AND I DON'T THINK WE WILL BE FINISHED BY
03:42PM	2	THEN.
03:42PM	3	MS. WALSH: THERE WILL BE CROSS-EXAMINATION.
03:42PM	4	THE COURT: RIGHT, RIGHT.
03:42PM	5	DO YOU THINK IT WOULD TAKE US TO THE BOTTOM OF THE HOUR,
03:42PM	6	OR WOULD IT BE LONGER?
03:42PM	7	I'M WONDERING IF I COULD ASK THE JURY IF THEY HAVE THE
03:42PM	8	ABILITY TO STAY A LITTLE LATER TO COMPLETE THE WITNESS.
03:42PM	9	MS. WALSH: I THINK IT WILL GO BEYOND THE BOTTOM OF
03:42PM	10	THE HOUR.
03:42PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. HOW CLOSE TO 5:00 O'CLOCK WOULD
03:42PM	12	THAT BE?
03:42PM	13	IF YOU CAN'T TELL ME, THAT'S FINE. I DON'T WANT TO PUT
03:42PM	14	ANY CONSTRAINTS.
03:42PM	15	I JUST IF WE CAN FINISH THE WITNESS AND IF THE JURY IS
03:42PM	16	WILLING TO STAY UNTIL SOMETIME CLOSE TO 5:00 O'CLOCK, WE CAN
03:42PM	17	MAYBE PRESS ON.
03:42PM	18	MS. WALSH: IT WOULDN'T GO PAST 5:00, I DON'T THINK.
03:43PM	19	IT'S HARD TO SAY.
03:43PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
03:43PM	21	WELL, LET'S JUST CALL THE WITNESS AND SEE WHERE WE GO.
03:43PM	22	THANK YOU.
03:43PM	23	MR. LEACH: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
03:43PM	24	THE COURT: IF YOU CAN STAND BY THE COURTROOM DEPUTY
03:43PM	25	AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

03:43PM	1	(GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, LYNETTE SAWYER, WAS SWORN.)
03:43PM	2	THE WITNESS: I DO.
03:43PM	3	THE COURT: PLEASE HAVE A SEAT HERE AND MAKE
03:43PM	4	YOURSELF COMFORTABLE.
03:43PM	5	FEEL FREE TO ADJUST THE CHAIR AND MICROPHONE AS YOU NEED.
03:43PM	6	IF YOU'RE FULLY VACCINATED
03:43PM	7	THE WITNESS: I AM.
03:43PM	8	THE COURT: WHICH MEANS BOOSTED AS WELL, YOU CAN
03:43PM	9	REMOVE YOUR MASK.
03:43PM	10	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND THEN SPELL IT,
03:43PM	11	PLEASE.
03:43PM	12	THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS LYNETTE SAWYER.
03:43PM	13	L-Y-N-E-T-T-E, S-A-W-Y-E-R.
03:44PM	14	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
03:44PM	15	COUNSEL.
03:44PM	16	MR. LEACH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:44PM	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
03:44PM	18	BY MR. LEACH:
03:44PM	19	Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. SAWYER.
03:44PM	20	A. GOOD AFTERNOON.
03:44PM	21	Q. EXCUSE ME, DR. SAWYER.
03:44PM	22	I'LL REMOVE MY MASK AS WELL?
03:44PM	23	IN THE FOURTH QUARTER OF 2014, DID SUNNY BALWANI ASK YOU
03:44PM	24	TO SERVE AS THE CO-DIRECTOR OF THERANOS'S CALIFORNIA CLINICAL
03:44PM	25	LAB?

I DO. I HAVE A BACHELORS IN MICROBIOLOGY.

DO YOU HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE?

24

25

Α.

03:45PM

03:45PM

	,	
03:45PM	1	Q. AND WHERE IS THAT FROM?
03:45PM	2	A. SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY.
03:45PM	3	Q. AT SOME POINT DID YOU OBTAIN A MASTER DEGREE?
03:45PM	4	A. I DID OBTAINED A MASTERS IN PUBLIC HEALTH FROM BERKELEY.
03:45PM	5	Q. AND DID YOU ALSO OBTAIN A PH.D. IN PUBLIC HEALTH FROM
03:45PM	6	U.C. BERKELEY?
03:45PM	7	A. TECHNICALLY IT'S A D.PH., BUT I DID.
03:45PM	8	Q. THANK YOU FOR THAT PRECISION.
03:45PM	9	CAN YOU TALK BRIEFLY ABOUT YOUR WORK HISTORY AFTER
03:46PM	10	OBTAINING YOUR LAST DEGREE FROM BERKELEY?
03:46PM	11	A. SURE. I WORKED AS A DIRECTOR FOR A BIOTECH COMPANY
03:46PM	12	LABORATORY FOR A FEW YEARS.
03:46PM	13	THEN I WORKED AS A DIRECTOR FOR SEVERAL SMALL CLINICAL
03:46PM	14	LABORATORIES. I'VE BEEN DOING THAT FOR SINCE THE EARLY
03:46PM	15	'90S.
03:46PM	16	AND I ALSO WORKED AS A DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT FOR A
03:46PM	17	BIOTECH COMPANY IN THE EAST BAY.
03:46PM	18	Q. OKAY. DID YOU WORK FOR A AS A LAB DIRECTOR AT A
03:46PM	19	COMPANY CALLED UNILAB?
03:46PM	20	A. I DID.
03:46PM	21	Q. AND WERE YOU THE LABORATORY DIRECTOR AT BAYER REFERENCE
03:46PM	22	TESTING IN THE LATE 1990S?
03:46PM	23	A. YES.
03:46PM	24	Q. AND DID YOU SERVE AS A LABORATORY DIRECTOR AT A COMPANY

CALL TETHYS BIOSCIENCES?

03:46PM 25

03:47PM	1	IT WAS LARGELY IF WAS NOT EVER BILLED TO BE AN
03:48PM	2	IN-PERSON JOB.
03:48PM	3	Q. OKAY. DID HE MENTION ANYBODY NAMED ADAM ROSENDORFF?
03:48PM	4	A. I DO NOT BELIEVE SO.
03:48PM	5	Q. OKAY. DID MR. BALWANI DESCRIBE ANYTHING ABOUT THE
03:48PM	6	CIRCUMSTANCES OF DR. ROSENDORFF'S DEPARTURE FROM THERANOS?
03:48PM	7	A. NO.
03:48PM	8	Q. OKAY. DID HE IN ANY WAY SUGGEST THAT DR. ROSENDORFF HAD
03:48PM	9	CONCERNS ABOUT THERANOS'S LABORATORY TESTING OR THE PERFORMANCE
03:48PM	10	OF ITS DEVICES?
03:48PM	11	A. NO.
03:48PM	12	MS. WALSH: OBJECTION. LEADING.
03:48PM	13	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
03:48PM	14	BY MR. LEACH:
03:48PM	15	Q. YOUR ANSWER WAS NO?
03:48PM	16	A. CORRECT.
03:48PM	17	Q. OKAY. AFTER SPEAKING WITH MR. BALWANI, DID YOU AGREE TO
03:48PM	18	BECOME THE CO-DIRECTOR OF THERANOS'S LAB?
03:48PM	19	A. YES.
03:48PM	20	Q. AND DID THAT HAPPEN IN LATE 2014?
03:48PM	21	A. YES.
03:48PM	22	Q. WERE YOU EVER ASKED TO VISIT THE LAB?
03:48PM	23	A. NO.
03:48PM	24	Q. DID YOU EVER SET FOOT IN THE LABORATORY?
03:48PM	25	A. NO.

03:48PM	1	Q. DID YOU EVER SEE SOMETHING CALLED AN EDISON DEVICE?
03:48PM	2	A. NO.
03:48PM	3	Q. DID YOU EVER REVIEW ANY DATA GENERATED BY AN EDISON
03:48PM	4	DEVICE?
03:48PM	5	A. NO.
03:48PM	6	Q. DID YOU EVER SEE DATA GENERATED FROM A THERANOS
03:49PM	7	MANUFACTURED BLOOD ANALYZER?
03:49PM	8	A. NO.
03:49PM	9	Q. DID YOU EVER REVIEW ANY DATA GENERATED FROM A THERANOS
03:49PM	10	MANUFACTURED BLOOD ANALYZER?
03:49PM	11	A. NO.
03:49PM	12	Q. IN YOUR INITIAL CALL WITH MR. BALWANI, DID HE TELL YOU
03:49PM	13	THAT THERANOS WAS USING AN ANALYZER THAT IT HAD MANUFACTURED?
03:49PM	14	A. I DO NOT BELIEVE SO.
03:49PM	15	Q. DID HE EVER TELL YOU THAT THEY WERE USING ANY THERANOS
03:49PM	16	MANUFACTURED ANALYZER IN THE CLINICAL LAB?
03:49PM	17	A. NOT THAT I RECALL, NO.
03:49PM	18	Q. IN THIS TIME PERIOD, END OF 2014 THROUGH JUNE OF 2015 WHEN
03:49PM	19	YOU RESIGNED, CAN YOU SUMMARIZE FOR US WHAT YOU WERE CALLED TO
03:49PM	20	DO?
03:49PM	21	A. ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY I WAS CALLED ON TO REVIEW AND APPROVE
03:49PM	22	DOCUMENTS.
03:49PM	23	Q. OKAY. AND DID THOSE DOCUMENTS INCLUDE SOP'S?
03:49PM	24	A. YES.
03:49PM	25	Q. IS THAT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES?

03:49PM	1	A. CORRECT.
03:49PM	2	Q. AND DID YOU REVIEW ANY SOP'S RELATING TO THE EDISON?
03:50PM	3	A. NO.
03:50PM	4	Q. DID YOU REVIEW ANY SOP'S RELATING TO ANY OTHER THERANOS
03:50PM	5	MANUFACTURED ANALYZER?
03:50PM	6	A. NOT ANALYZER, NO.
03:50PM	7	Q. DID YOU REVIEW ANY VALIDATION DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE
03:50PM	8	EDISON?
03:50PM	9	A. NO.
03:50PM	10	Q. DID YOU REVIEW ANY VERIFICATION DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE
03:50PM	11	EDISON?
03:50PM	12	A. NO.
03:50PM	13	Q. DID YOU REVIEW ANY VALIDATION OR VERIFICATION DOCUMENTS
03:50PM	14	FOR ANY OTHER THERANOS MANUFACTURED ANALYZER?
03:50PM	15	A. NO.
03:50PM	16	Q. BASED ON THE SOP'S THAT YOU WERE GIVEN, DID THEY RELATE
03:50PM	17	EXCLUSIVELY TO FDA APPROVED DEVICES?
03:50PM	18	A. THE VAST MAJORITY OF THEM DID. THERE WERE A FEW LAB
03:50PM	19	DEVELOPED TESTS FOR MICROBIOLOGICAL THINGS, ID'ING BACTERIA IN
03:50PM	20	STOOLS AND A SENSITIVITY ASSAY, I BELIEVE.
03:50PM	21	Q. IS THAT A RELATIVELY SMALL CATEGORY THAT YOU'RE
03:51PM	22	DESCRIBING?
03:51PM	23	A. YES.
03:51PM	24	Q. PUTTING ASIDE THAT RELATIVELY SMALL CATEGORY, DID YOU
03:51PM	25	REVIEW ANY SOP'S RELATING TO WERE THE SOP'S THAT YOU

03:51PM	1	REVIEWED RELATED EXCLUSIVELY TO FDA APPROVED ANALYZERS?
03:51PM	2	A. YES.
03:51PM	3	Q. AND BASED ON THE SOP'S THAT YOU WERE GIVEN, DID YOU HAVE
03:51PM	4	THE IMPRESSION THAT THERANOS WAS DOING ANYTHING OTHER THAN
03:51PM	5	RUNNING BLOOD TESTS ON FDA APPROVED ANALYZERS?
03:51PM	6	A. NO.
03:51PM	7	Q. WHAT IN WHAT FORM DID YOU RECEIVE THE SOP'S? HOW DID
03:51PM	8	THEY COME TO YOU?
03:51PM	9	A. ELECTRONICALLY VIA DOCUSIGN.
03:51PM	10	Q. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO EDIT THEM?
03:51PM	11	A. NO.
03:51PM	12	Q. WAS IT ESSENTIALLY, HERE'S THE DOCUMENT, SIGN HERE?
03:51PM	13	A. UH-HUH.
03:51PM	14	THE COURT: IS THAT YES?
03:51PM	15	THE WITNESS: YES. SORRY.
03:51PM	16	MR. LEACH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:51PM	17	Q. WE NEED AUDIBLE RESPONSES SO WE HAVE A GOOD RECORD.
03:51PM	18	A. SORRY.
03:51PM	19	Q. DID YOU EXERCISE ANY DECISION MAKING IN WHAT WAS SENT TO
03:51PM	20	YOU?
03:51PM	21	A. NO.
03:51PM	22	Q. IN THIS TIME PERIOD, LATE 2014 THROUGH JUNE OF 2015, WHO
03:52PM	23	AT THERANOS DID YOU HAVE CONTACT WITH?
03:52PM	24	A. BASICALLY I HAD CONTACT WITH THE WOMAN WHO SENT ME THE
03:52PM	25	DOCUMENTS, MICHELLE LEE.

03:52PM	1	Q. IS THAT YOUR MEMORY?
03:52PM	2	A. THAT'S MY MEMORY. IT MIGHT BE NOT EXACTLY CORRECT.
03:52PM	3	Q. OKAY. OTHER THAN MICHELLE LEE WHO SENT YOU THE DOCUMENTS,
03:52PM	4	DID YOU HAVE
03:52PM	5	A. NO.
03:52PM	6	Q CONTACT WITH ANYBODY WE NEED TO SPEAK ONE AT A TIME.
03:52PM	7	A. NO.
03:52PM	8	Q. AND LET ME JUST GET MY FULL QUESTION OUT IF I COULD.
03:52PM	9	OTHER THAN MS. LEE, DID YOU SPEAK TO ANYBODY ELSE AT
03:52PM	10	THERANOS?
03:52PM	11	A. NO.
03:52PM	12	Q. YOU HAD A PHONE CALL WITH MR. BALWANI BEFORE YOU TOOK THE
03:52PM	13	POSITION?
03:52PM	14	A. YES.
03:52PM	15	Q. OKAY. AT SOME POINT DID YOU HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL CONTACT
03:52PM	16	WITH HIM?
03:52PM	17	A. I DO NOT BELIEVE SO. NOT DIRECTLY.
03:53PM	18	Q. OKAY. DID HE EXPLAIN TO YOU ANY ISSUES THAT HAD COME UP
03:53PM	19	IN THE CLINICAL LABORATORY BEFORE YOU HAD ARRIVED?
03:53PM	20	A. NO, JUST THAT THEY WERE IN TEMPORARY NEED OF A DIRECTOR.
03:53PM	21	Q. OKAY. BESIDES MR. BALWANI AND MS. LEE, DID YOU HAVE
03:53PM	22	CONTACT WITH ANYBODY ELSE AT THERANOS?
03:53PM	23	A. NO.
03:53PM	24	Q. PRIOR TO RESIGNED IN JUNE OF 2015, DID YOU HAVE ANY
03:53PM	25	CONTACT WITH SUNIL DHAWAN?

03:53PM	1	A. NO.
03:53PM	2	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO HE IS?
03:53PM	3	A. ONLY FROM HEARING HIS NAME PREVIOUSLY.
03:53PM	4	Q. OKAY. PRIOR TO, LET'S SAY ABOUT A YEAR AGO
03:53PM	5	A. NO.
03:53PM	6	Q HAD YOU HEARD OF SUNIL DHAWAN?
03:53PM	7	A. NO.
03:53PM	8	Q. OKAY. DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH YOUR CO-LABORATORY
03:53PM	9	DIRECTOR?
03:53PM	10	A. NO.
03:53PM	11	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT HOW TO DIVIDE
03:53PM	12	RESPONSIBILITIES WITH YOUR CO-LAB DIRECTOR?
03:53PM	13	A. NO.
03:53PM	14	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH ELIZABETH HOLMES?
03:53PM	15	A. NO.
03:53PM	16	Q. DID YOU EVER MEET ELIZABETH HOLMES?
03:53PM	17	A. NO.
03:53PM	18	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH THE TECHNICAL SUPERVISOR?
03:53PM	19	A. NO.
03:53PM	20	Q. OKAY. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE TERM "TECHNICAL
03:54PM	21	SUPERVISOR"?
03:54PM	22	A. YES.
03:54PM	23	Q. OKAY. BUT YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH THE TECHNICAL
03:54PM	24	SUPERVISOR AT THERANOS?
03:54PM	25	A. NO, I DID NOT.

03:54PM	1	Q. OKAY. AND DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH THE GENERAL
03:54PM	2	SUPERVISOR?
03:54PM	3	A. NO, I DID NOT.
03:54PM	4	Q. OKAY. DID YOU DELEGATE ANY RESPONSIBILITIES TO ANYBODY?
03:54PM	5	A. I DID SIGN ONE DELEGATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR A
03:54PM	6	QUALITY MANAGER.
03:54PM	7	Q. OKAY. AND PUTTING ASIDE THAT ONE DELEGATION, DID YOU
03:54PM	8	DELEGATE RESPONSIBILITIES TO ANYBODY ELSE?
03:54PM	9	A. NO.
03:54PM	10	Q. OKAY. DID YOU EVER SPEAK TO ANY DOCTORS?
03:54PM	11	A. NO.
03:54PM	12	Q. DID YOU EVER SPEAK TO ANY PATIENTS?
03:54PM	13	A. NO.
03:54PM	14	Q. WERE YOU EVER ALERTED TO ANY CLINICAL OR CRITICAL VALUES?
03:54PM	15	A. NO, I WAS NOT.
03:54PM	16	Q. OKAY. WHAT IS A CRITICAL VALUE?
03:54PM	17	A. IT'S A VALUE THAT IS OUTSIDE OF THE NORMAL RANGE.
03:54PM	18	Q. WERE YOU EVER ALERTED TO OR DID YOU REVIEW ANY QUALITY
03:54PM	19	CONTROL DATA?
03:54PM	20	A. NO.
03:54PM	21	Q. DID YOU RECEIVE ANY REPORTS ABOUT THE ACTIVITIES OF THE
03:54PM	22	LAB?
03:54PM	23	A. NO.
03:54PM	24	Q. DID YOU ORDER ANY TESTING BE BROUGHT UP ON A PARTICULAR
03:55PM	25	THERANOS DEVICE?

03:55PM	1	A. NO.
03:55PM	2	Q. AND DID YOU EVER ORDER THAT TESTING BE DISCONTINUED ON A
03:55PM	3	THERANOS MANUFACTURED DEVICE?
03:55PM	4	A. NO.
03:55PM	5	Q. DID YOU REVIEW ANY PROFICIENCY TESTING FOR LDT'S?
03:55PM	6	A. NOT THAT I RECALL.
03:55PM	7	Q. OKAY. DID YOU REVIEW ANY PROFICIENCY TESTING FOR TESTS
03:55PM	8	RUN ON THE THERANOS MANUFACTURED ANALYZER?
03:55PM	9	A. NO.
03:55PM	10	Q. DID YOU EVER DISCUSS THE CONCEPT OF ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT
03:55PM	11	OF PROFICIENCY WITH ANYBODY AT THERANOS?
03:55PM	12	A. NO.
03:55PM	13	Q. DID YOU KNOW THAT THERANOS HAD AN AAP PROGRAM?
03:55PM	14	A. NO, NOT THAT I RECALL SEEING.
03:55PM	15	Q. I'D LIKE TO DISPLAY FOR YOU WHAT IS IN EVIDENCE, WITH THE
03:55PM	16	COURT'S PERMISSION, AS EXHIBIT 4533.
03:55PM	17	THE COURT: YES.
03:55PM	18	BY MR. LEACH:
03:55PM	19	Q. DO YOU SEE THIS APPEARS TO BE A MEMO DATED
03:55PM	20	SEPTEMBER 23RD, 2015
03:55PM	21	A. YES.
03:55PM	22	Q DR. SAWYER?
03:56PM	23	A. YES.
03:56PM	24	Q. AND THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU REVIEWED DURING YOUR
03:56PM	25	TIME AT THERANOS?

OWN MANUFACTURED ANALYZER FOR VITAMIN D DURING YOUR TENURE?

24

25

CORRECT.

Α.

03:57PM

03:57PM

03:57PM	1	Q. AND WOULD THAT ALSO APPLY TO ALL OF THE OTHER ASSAYS
03:57PM	2	LISTED IN THIS DOCUMENT?
03:57PM	3	A. YES, IT WOULD.
03:57PM	4	Q. OKAY. AND DID ANYBODY CONSULT YOU ABOUT DISCONTINUING USE
03:57PM	5	OF A THERANOS MANUFACTURED ANALYZER FOR THESE ASSAYS?
03:57PM	6	A. NO.
03:57PM	7	Q. LET ME DISPLAY FOR YOU WHAT IS IN EVIDENCE AS
03:57PM	8	EXHIBIT 20575.
03:57PM	9	WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION?
03:57PM	10	THE COURT: YES.
03:57PM	11	BY MR. LEACH:
03:57PM	12	Q. DO YOU SEE THE TITLE OF THIS DOCUMENT, DR. SAWYER, USE AND
03:57PM	13	MAINTENANCE OF THE IDAHO TECHNOLOGY (BIOFIRE) FILM ARRAY SYSTEM
03:57PM	14	FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF MICROORGANISMS BY MULTIPLEX PCR."
03:57PM	15	DO YOU SEE THAT LANGUAGE?
03:57PM	16	A. I DO.
03:57PM	17	Q. AND DO YOU SEE YOUR SIGNATURE HALFWAY DOWN THE PAGE?
03:58PM	18	A. I DO.
03:58PM	19	Q. AND THERE'S A DATE OF MAY 5TH, 2015?
03:58PM	20	A. UH-HUH, YES.
03:58PM	21	Q. AND DOES THIS HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH A THERANOS
03:58PM	22	MANUFACTURED ANALYZER?
03:58PM	23	A. I DO NOT BELIEVE SO.
03:58PM	24	Q. OKAY. LET ME DISPLAY FOR YOU WHAT IS IN EVIDENCE AS
03:58PM	25	EXHIBIT 10525.

03:58PM	1	DO YOU SEE YOUR SIGNATURE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, DR. SAWYER?
03:58PM	2	A. I DO.
03:58PM	3	Q. OKAY. AND THE DATE IS JANUARY 11TH, 2015?
03:58PM	4	A. YES.
03:58PM	5	Q. AND DO YOU SEE THE TITLE IS MVP SUMMARY ADVIA 2400?
03:58PM	6	A. YES.
03:58PM	7	Q. IS THAT AN FDA APPROVED DEVICE?
03:58PM	8	A. YES.
03:58PM	9	Q. AND DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
03:58PM	10	THERANOS'S USE OF TECHNOLOGY APPROVED BY THE FDA?
03:58PM	11	A. YES.
03:58PM	12	Q. LET'S LOOK BRIEFLY AT 10526, WHICH IS IN EVIDENCE.
03:59PM	13	DO YOU SEE YOUR SIGNATURE ON THIS DOCUMENT?
03:59PM	14	A. YES.
03:59PM	15	Q. AND DID YOU HAVE ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH DR. DHAWAN ABOUT
03:59PM	16	THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT?
03:59PM	17	A. NO.
03:59PM	18	Q. OKAY. YOU DIDN'T KNOW WHO DR. DHAWAN WAS AT THE TIME?
03:59PM	19	A. CORRECT.
03:59PM	20	Q. AND YOU HAD NO CONVERSATIONS WITH HIM ABOUT HOW TO DIVIDE
03:59PM	21	RESPONSIBILITIES?
03:59PM	22	A. CORRECT.
03:59PM	23	Q. OKAY. AND THE TITLE OF THIS IS ROCHE LIGHTCYCLER
03:59PM	24	SIMPLIFIED PROTOCOL.
03:59PM	25	DO YOU SEE THAT?

03:59PM	1	A. YES.
03:59PM	2	Q. AND IS THAT A DEVICE APPROVED BY THE FDA?
03:59PM	3	A. YES.
03:59PM	4	Q. AND DID YOU EVER
03:59PM	5	WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN, MS. WACHS.
03:59PM	6	DID YOU EVER ASK FOR A LIST OF LAB PERSONNEL WITHIN
03:59PM	7	THERANOS?
03:59PM	8	A. I DID.
03:59PM	9	Q. OKAY. DID YOU EVER GET THAT?
03:59PM	10	A. NO.
03:59PM	11	Q. WAS THAT A MATTER OF FRUSTRATION TO YOU?
03:59PM	12	A. IT WAS, YES.
03:59PM	13	Q. OKAY. EXPLAIN THAT.
03:59PM	14	A. I FELT THAT I NEEDED TO KNOW WHO IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO
03:59PM	15	KNOW WHO THE TECHNICAL SUPERVISOR WAS, WHO THE GENERAL
04:00PM	16	SUPERVISOR WAS, ET CETERA.
04:00PM	17	Q. AND YOU RESIGNED IN JUNE OF 2015?
04:00PM	18	A. YES.
04:00PM	19	Q. AT THE TIME THAT YOU RESIGNED, WERE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE
04:00PM	20	LEVEL OF INFORMATION THAT YOU WERE GETTING?
04:00PM	21	A. NO, I REALLY WASN'T.
04:00PM	22	Q. OKAY. EXPLAIN THAT.
04:00PM	23	A. IF I WERE GOING TO CONTINUE THE LEVEL OF INFORMATION I
04:00PM	24	WAS GETTING WAS FINE FOR SOMETHING THAT I WAS GOING TO DO FOR
04:00PM	25	TWO MONTHS BASICALLY JUST TO KEEP THE LAB LEGAL.

04:00PM	1	BUT IF I WAS GOING TO CONTINUE DOING THIS, I NEEDED A MUCH
04:00PM	2	BETTER CONNECTION TO THE PEOPLE WHO WERE ACTUALLY DOING THE LAB
04:00PM	3	WORK.
04:00PM	4	Q. AND THE INFORMATION THAT YOU WERE GETTING RELATED
04:00PM	5	VIRTUALLY EXCLUSIVELY TO FDA APPROVED DEVICES?
04:00PM	6	A. YES.
04:00PM	7	Q. THANK YOU DR. SAWYER.
04:00PM	8	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
04:00PM	9	THE COURT: MS. WALSH, DO YOU WANT TO START YOUR
04:00PM	10	EXAMINATION?
04:00PM	11	MS. WALSH: I CAN START, YOUR HONOR.
04:01PM	12	THE COURT: SURE.
04:01PM	13	MS. WALSH: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?
04:01PM	14	THE COURT: YES.
04:01PM	15	MS. WALSH: (HANDING.)
04:01PM	16	MAY I APPROACH DR. SAWYER AS WELL?
04:01PM	17	THE COURT: YES. YES. THANK YOU.
04:02PM	18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
04:02PM	19	BY MS. WALSH:
04:02PM	20	Q. ALL RIGHT. GOOD AFTERNOON, DR. SAWYER.
04:02PM	21	A. GOOD AFTERNOON.
04:02PM	22	Q. I JUST WANT TO FIRST START WITH YOUR PROFESSIONAL
04:02PM	23	BACKGROUND.
04:02PM	24	A. UH-HUH.
04:02PM	25	Q. YOU ARE A PROFESSIONAL LAB DIRECTOR; RIGHT?

04:02PM	1	A. YES.
04:02PM	2	Q. AND BEFORE WORKING AT THERANOS, YOU SERVED AS A LAB
04:02PM	3	DIRECTOR IN MULTIPLE LABS; RIGHT?
04:02PM	4	A. CORRECT.
04:02PM	5	Q. AND SOMETIMES YOU WERE A LAB DIRECTOR FOR SEVERAL
04:02PM	6	DIFFERENT LABS AT ONCE; CORRECT?
04:02PM	7	A. YES.
04:02PM	8	Q. AND YOU SERVED I THINK MR. LEACH ASKED YOU ABOUT THIS.
04:02PM	9	YOU SERVED AS A LAB DIRECTOR FOR SEVERAL SMALL CLINICAL LABS IN
04:02PM	10	SAN JOSE AT SOME POINT IN YOUR CAREER; IS THAT RIGHT?
04:02PM	11	A. CORRECT.
04:02PM	12	Q. YEAH. AND YOU WORKED AS A PUBLIC HEALTH MICROBIOLOGIST
04:02PM	13	FOR CADPH; CORRECT?
04:03PM	14	A. YES.
04:03PM	15	Q. AND YOU SERVED AS A LAB DIRECTOR FOR BAYER DIAGNOSTICS; IS
04:03PM	16	THAT RIGHT?
04:03PM	17	A. YES.
04:03PM	18	Q. AND YOU'RE QUALIFIED TO SERVE AS A LAB DIRECTOR; RIGHT?
04:03PM	19	A. YES.
04:03PM	20	Q. YOU HAVE A YOU SAID YOU HAVE A BACHELOR'S IN
04:03PM	21	MICROBIOLOGY; RIGHT?
04:03PM	22	A. YES.
04:03PM	23	Q. AND A MASTERS IN PUBLIC HEALTH?
04:03PM	24	A. YES.
04:03PM	25	Q. AND A PH.D. PUBLIC HEALTH AS WELL?

04:03PM	1	A. YES.
04:03PM	2	Q. AND YOU'RE LICENSED AS A CLINICAL LAB SCIENTIST; IS THAT
04:03PM	3	RIGHT?
04:03PM	4	A. ACTUALLY I'M LICENSED AS A BIOANALYST, WHICH SUPERSEDES A
04:03PM	5	CLINICAL LABORATORY SCIENTIST LICENSE, AND WHICH IS WHAT ALLOWS
04:03PM	6	ME TO DIRECT LABS.
04:03PM	7	Q. OKAY. AND YOU TESTIFIED DURING YOUR DIRECT EXAMINATION
04:03PM	8	THAT YOU LEARNED ABOUT THERANOS THROUGH JERRY HURST; IS THAT
04:04PM	9	RIGHT?
04:04PM	10	A. YES.
04:04PM	11	Q. AND YOU STARTED WORKING WITH MR. HURST IN 2013; IS THAT
04:04PM	12	CORRECT?
04:04PM	13	A. ACTUALLY, I STARTED WORKING WITH MR. HURST IN 1988.
04:04PM	14	Q. OKAY.
04:04PM	15	A. I STARTED DIRECTING LABS IN ASSOCIATION WITH HIM IN
04:04PM	16	PROBABLY 2013, YEAH.
04:04PM	17	Q. SO YOU'VE KNOWN HIM FOR A LONG TIME?
04:04PM	18	A. YES.
04:04PM	19	Q. AND MR. HURST RUNS A LAB CONSULTING COMPANY; RIGHT?
04:04PM	20	A. YES.
04:04PM	21	Q. AND THAT AND YOU MENTIONED THIS ON YOUR DIRECT AS WELL.
04:04PM	22	THE NAME OF THAT COMPANY IS LABORATORY CONSULTING SERVICES; IS
04:04PM	23	THAT RIGHT?
04:04PM	24	A. YES.
04:04PM	25	Q. AND SO COMPANIES HIRE MR. HURST TO HELP THEM SET UP THEIR

04:04PM	1	LAB SOMETIMES; RIGHT?
04:04PM	2	A. YES.
04:04PM	3	Q. AND HE CAN ALSO HELP THEM WITH THE LAB LICENSING PROCESS;
04:04PM	4	CORRECT?
04:04PM	5	A. YES.
04:04PM	6	Q. AND HE ALSO PROVIDES CONSULTING SERVICES IN KEEPING LABS
04:04PM	7	COMPLIANT WITH THE REGULATIONS; IS THAT RIGHT?
04:04PM	8	A. YES.
04:04PM	9	Q. AND HE'S BEEN DOING THAT CONSULTING WORK FOR QUITE A
04:05PM	10	WHILE; IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?
04:05PM	11	A. YES. I DON'T REMEMBER WHEN HE STARTED EXACTLY.
04:05PM	12	Q. OKAY. AND IT'S BEEN FOR DECADES THOUGH, HASN'T IT?
04:05PM	13	A. AT THIS POINT PROBABLY, YEAH.
04:05PM	14	Q. OKAY. AND HE CONSULTS WITH A LOT OF DIFFERENT LABS IN THE
04:05PM	15	AREA; RIGHT?
04:05PM	16	A. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
04:05PM	17	Q. OKAY. AND HE'S CONSIDERED AN EXPERT IN THE FIELD OF LAB
04:05PM	18	CONSULTING SERVICES, ISN'T HE?
04:05PM	19	MR. LEACH: OBJECTION. SPECULATION.
04:05PM	20	MS. WALSH: WELL, I CAN LAY SOME FOUNDATION IF YOU
04:05PM	21	WANT.
04:05PM	22	THE COURT: SURE.
04:05PM	23	BY MS. WALSH:
04:05PM	24	Q. YOU'VE WORKED CLOSELY WITH MR. HURST; RIGHT?
04:05PM	25	A. I HAVE IN CERTAIN ASPECTS OF HIS BUSINESS, YES.

04:05PM	1	Q. AND HIS BUSINESS IS ADVISING LABS ON HOW TO BE COMPLIANT;
04:05PM	2	RIGHT?
04:05PM	3	A. YES.
04:05PM	4	Q. HOW TO GET LICENSES; RIGHT?
04:05PM	5	A. YES, THAT'S PART OF IT, YEAH.
04:05PM	6	Q. YEAH. AND HOW TO PREPARE FOR AUDITS; CORRECT?
04:05PM	7	A. CORRECT.
04:05PM	8	Q. AND YOU HAVE GREAT RESPECT FOR MR. HURST'S ABILITIES TO DO
04:06PM	9	THAT; RIGHT?
04:06PM	10	A. I DO.
04:06PM	11	Q. HE HAS ENORMOUS EXPERIENCE IN THIS FIELD?
04:06PM	12	A. YES.
04:06PM	13	Q. AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU WOULD CONSIDER HIM AN
04:06PM	14	EXPERT IN THAT AREA?
04:06PM	15	A. I WOULD.
04:06PM	16	Q. IN FACT, HE WAS BEFORE HE STARTED THIS BUSINESS, HE WAS
04:06PM	17	PREVIOUSLY A CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INSPECTOR, WASN'T
04:06PM	18	HE?
04:06PM	19	A. YES, HE WAS.
04:06PM	20	Q. AND YOU BOTH WORKED TOGETHER AT THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
04:06PM	21	OF HEALTH?
04:06PM	22	A. YES, BUT NOT IN THE LABORATORY FIELD SERVICES DIVISION. I
04:06PM	23	HAVE NEVER WORKED FOR THEM.
04:06PM	24	Q. OKAY. AND PART OF WHAT MR. HURST ALSO DOES IS THAT HE
04:06PM	25	HELPS LABS WHO HAVE A NEED FOR PART-TIME LAB DIRECTORS; IS THAT

04:06PM	1	RIGHT?
04:06PM	2	A. HE DOES, YES.
04:06PM	3	Q. AND HE IS SOMEONE THAT LABS CALL IF THEY NEED A LAB
04:07PM	4	DIRECTOR ON A TEMPORARY BASIS; CORRECT?
04:07PM	5	A. OR A PERMANENT BASIS, YES.
04:07PM	6	Q. OR A PERMANENT. OKAY.
04:07PM	7	AND THAT'S HOW YOU GOT THE POSITION AT THERANOS; CORRECT?
04:07PM	8	A. CORRECT. CORRECT.
04:07PM	9	Q. AND YOU KNEW, DIDN'T YOU, AT THE TIME THAT THERANOS HAD
04:07PM	10	WORKED WITH MR. HURST'S COMPANY PRIOR TO YOUR JOINING THERANOS;
04:07PM	11	IS THAT RIGHT?
04:07PM	12	A. I DID.
04:07PM	13	Q. MR. HURST HAD HELPED THERANOS IN THE PAST; CORRECT?
04:07PM	14	A. I DID NOT KNOW WHAT HE DID, NO.
04:07PM	15	I JUST KNEW THAT HE HAD WORKED WITH THEM.
04:07PM	16	Q. IN THE, IN THE AREAS THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED WERE HIS
04:07PM	17	EXPERTISE; IS THAT FAIR?
04:07PM	18	A. I SUPPOSE IT IS. I REALLY DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT HE DID
04:07PM	19	WITH THEM.
04:07PM	20	Q. OKAY. BUT YOU KNEW MR. HURST WORKED WITH THERANOS
04:07PM	21	A. YES.
04:07PM	22	Q AND MR. HURST'S LABORATORY CONSULTING SERVICES COMPANY;
04:07PM	23	RIGHT?
04:07PM	24	A. YEAH.
04:07PM	25	MR. LEACH: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 602, 801.

04:08PM	1	THE COURT: WELL, YOU'RE ASKING IF SHE JUST HAS
04:08PM	2	KNOWLEDGE IF HE WAS CONNECTED OR WORKED WITH THEM?
04:08PM	3	MS. WALSH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
04:08PM	4	THE COURT: HER ANSWER WAS YES. THAT CAN REMAIN.
04:08PM	5	YOU CAN ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.
04:08PM	6	WE'RE GOING TO BREAK AT A QUARTER PAST THE HOUR, LADIES
04:08PM	7	AND GENTLEMEN.
04:08PM	8	BY MS. WALSH:
04:08PM	9	Q. OKAY. SO MR. HURST CONTACTED YOU; CORRECT?
04:08PM	10	A. YES, HE DID.
04:08PM	11	Q. AND HE SAID, IN ESSENCE, THAT THERE'S A PART-TIME POSITION
04:08PM	12	THAT I WANT TO SEE IF YOU'RE INTERESTED IN; RIGHT?
04:08PM	13	A. HE DID. HE ACTUALLY CONTACTED TWO OF US AND ASKED IF
04:08PM	14	EITHER ONE OF US WAS INTERESTED.
04:08PM	15	Q. OKAY. AND YOU SAID YOU WERE INTERESTED; RIGHT?
04:08PM	16	A. I SAID I WOULD DO IT IF THE OTHER PERSON DIDN'T WANT TO.
04:08PM	17	Q. OKAY. OKAY.
04:08PM	18	SO WHEN YOU CAME ON BOARD TO THERANOS, YOU WERE HIRED
04:08PM	19	PURSUANT TO A CONSULTING AGREEMENT; RIGHT?
04:08PM	20	A. YES.
04:08PM	21	Q. AND THAT AGREEMENT WAS BETWEEN THERANOS AND MR. HURST'S
04:08PM	22	COMPANY; CORRECT?
04:08PM	23	A. CORRECT.
04:08PM	24	Q. AND SO YOU WERE A CONSULTANT, NOT A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE;
04:09PM	25	RIGHT?

04:09PM	1	A. CORRECT.
04:09PM	2	Q. AND THE DATE OF THE CONSULTING AGREEMENT WAS
04:09PM	3	NOVEMBER 19TH, 2014.
04:09PM	4	DOES THAT SOUND RIGHT?
04:09PM	5	A. THAT SOUNDS APPROXIMATELY CORRECT, YES.
04:09PM	6	Q. OKAY. AND YOU TESTIFIED ON DIRECT THAT YOU KNEW IT WAS A
04:09PM	7	PART-TIME POSITION; RIGHT?
04:09PM	8	A. CORRECT.
04:09PM	9	Q. AND IT WAS GOING TO BE TEMPORARY FOR A FEW MONTHS; RIGHT?
04:09PM	10	A. CORRECT.
04:09PM	11	Q. OKAY. OKAY.
04:10PM	12	SO YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT A CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH
04:10PM	13	MR. BALWANI ABOUT THE POSITION BEFORE YOU TOOK THE POSITION;
04:10PM	14	RIGHT?
04:10PM	15	A. YES.
04:10PM	16	Q. AND YOU SAID DURING THAT CONVERSATION YOU UNDERSTOOD
04:10PM	17	FROM THE CONVERSATION THAT THE ROLE WAS VERY TEMPORARY; RIGHT?
04:10PM	18	A. CORRECT.
04:10PM	19	Q. AND IT WAS PART IT WAS TWO TO THREE MONTHS. THAT'S
04:10PM	20	WHAT YOU ANTICIPATED; RIGHT?
04:10PM	21	A. YES.
04:10PM	22	Q. AND ALSO THAT MR. BALWANI HAD A NEW LAB DIRECTOR WHO WAS
04:10PM	23	GOING TO COME ON BOARD; RIGHT?
04:10PM	24	A. CORRECT.
04:10PM	25	Q. AND THAT NEW LAB DIRECTOR WAS GOING TO COME ON BOARD IN

04:10PM	1	THE SPRING OF 2015; RIGHT?
04:10PM	2	A. MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THE NEW LAB DIRECTOR WOULD COME
04:10PM	3	ON BOARD IN TWO TO THREE MONTHS.
04:10PM	4	Q. OKAY.
04:10PM	5	A. SO THAT WOULD
04:10PM	6	Q. TWO
04:10PM	7	A. TWO TO THREE MONTHS. SO THAT WOULD MAKE IT LATE WINTER,
04:10PM	8	EARLY SPRING.
04:10PM	9	Q. OKAY. AND MR. BALWANI SAID THAT NEW LAB DIRECTOR WAS A
04:10PM	10	PERSON NAMED SURAJ SAKSENA; CORRECT?
04:10PM	11	A. NO. I DID NOT HAVE A NAME.
04:10PM	12	Q. YOU JUST KNEW THERE WAS GOING TO BE A NEW LAB DIRECTOR?
04:11PM	13	A. YES.
04:11PM	14	Q. OKAY. AND THE NUMBER OF HOURS YOU WERE GOING TO WORK WAS
04:11PM	15	GOING TO BE ABOUT FIVE HOURS PER WEEK?
04:11PM	16	DOES THAT SOUND RIGHT?
04:11PM	17	A. IT COULD BE. HOWEVER MANY IT TAKES TO GET THROUGH
04:11PM	18	DOCUMENTS. THAT'S PROBABLY WHAT I SPENT, FIVE TO TEN.
04:11PM	19	Q. OKAY. AND THAT WAS COMMUNICATED TO YOU BY MR. BALWANI IN
04:11PM	20	THAT INITIAL CALL?
04:11PM	21	A. I DON'T RECALL ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.
04:11PM	22	Q. OKAY. AND YOU KNEW THAT YOU WERE NOT GOING TO BE REQUIRED
04:11PM	23	TO BE ON SITE AT THERANOS; RIGHT?
04:11PM	24	A. CORRECT.
04:11PM	25	Q. AND YOU HAD DONE THAT BEFORE, WORKED NOT ON SITE AT

04:12PM	1	DESCRIBED TO ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL WHO WORKED AT THE LAB; CORRECT?
04:12PM	2	A. YES.
04:12PM	3	Q. AND YOU SAID THAT YOU DELEGATED TO A QC MANAGER; RIGHT?
04:13PM	4	A. YES.
04:13PM	5	Q. AND THAT PERSON'S NAME WAS LANGLY GEE, WAS IT NOT?
04:13PM	6	A. YES.
04:13PM	7	Q. OKAY. AND SO MR. GEE WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR REVIEWING AND
04:13PM	8	ANALYZING ALL OF THE QC WORK THAT WAS DONE WITHIN THE LAB;
04:13PM	9	CORRECT?
04:13PM	10	A. CORRECT.
04:13PM	11	Q. AND AS A LAB DIRECTOR, YOU UNDERSTAND THE IMPORTANCE OF
04:13PM	12	QC; RIGHT?
04:13PM	13	A. YES.
04:13PM	14	Q. QC IS PERFORMED TO MAKE SURE THAT THE INSTRUMENT IS
04:13PM	15	OPERATING RELIABLY; RIGHT?
04:13PM	16	A. YES.
04:13PM	17	Q. AND QC TESTS THE PRECISION ON THE DEVICE; RIGHT?
04:13PM	18	A. IT DEPENDS ON WHAT KIND OF TEST IT IS. IT MIGHT.
04:13PM	19	Q. OKAY. BUT IT'S IMPORTANT IN THE OPERATION OF THE LAB;
04:13PM	20	RIGHT?
04:13PM	21	A. YES.
04:13PM	22	Q. AND THAT WAS DELEGATED TO MR. GEE; CORRECT?
04:13PM	23	A. YES.
04:13PM	24	THE COURT: SHOULD WE BREAK NOW?
04:13PM	25	MS. WALSH: PROBABLY, YEAH.

04:13PM	1	THE COURT: LET'S DO THAT. LET'S TAKE OUR AFTERNOON
04:13PM	2	BREAK, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
04:13PM	3	WE'LL SEE YOU NEXT FRIDAY, PLEASE NOT NEXT FRIDAY, THIS
04:14PM	4	COMING FRIDAY.
04:14PM	5	(LAUGHTER.)
04:14PM	6	THE COURT: LANGUAGE IS SO IMPORTANT, ISN'T IT?
04:14PM	7	WE'LL SEE YOU FRIDAY NEXT, WHICH MEANS THIS FRIDAY. AT
04:14PM	8	9:00 O'CLOCK WE'LL START, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
04:14PM	9	LET ME REMIND YOU OF THE ADMONITION. IT'S STILL IN PLACE.
04:14PM	10	DO NOT DISCUSS OR IN ANY WAY ATTEMPT TO LEARN ANYTHING ABOUT
04:14PM	11	THIS CASE.
04:14PM	12	HAVE A GOOD DAY OFF, AND WE'LL SEE YOU FRIDAY MORNING.
04:14PM	13	THANK YOU.
04:14PM	14	AND WE'LL SEE YOU BACK FRIDAY MORNING, DOCTOR.
04:14PM	15	THANK YOU.
04:14PM	16	(JURY OUT AT 4:14 P.M.)
04:14PM	17	THE COURT: PLEASE BE SEATED. THANK YOU.
04:14PM	18	THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT OUR JURY HAS LEFT FOR THE
04:14PM	19	DAY. DR. SAWYER HAS LEFT THE COURTROOM.
04:14PM	20	COUNSEL, SCHEDULING FOR FRIDAY?
04:15PM	21	MS. WALSH, I EXPECT THAT YOU'LL FINISH YOUR CROSS BY THE
04:15PM	22	BREAK DO YOU THINK, OUR MORNING BREAK?
04:15PM	23	MS. WALSH: YES, I THINK SO.
04:15PM	24	THE COURT: RIGHT.
04:15PM	25	MR. SCHENK: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

04:15PM	1	WE HAVE ONE MORE INVESTOR TO CALL AFTER DR. SAWYER.
04:15PM	2	AND I MENTIONED THE COVID ISSUE WITH ANOTHER WITNESS.
04:15PM	3	WE'VE ALREADY GIVEN NOTICE TO THE DEFENSE OF THE WITNESSES
04:15PM	4	THAT WE'LL CALL IN PLACE OR INSTEAD OF THAT WITNESS.
04:15PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY.
04:15PM	6	MR. SCHENK: SO WE'VE MADE TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS FOR
04:15PM	7	THEM. WE'RE GOING TO FLY IN SEVERAL ADDITIONAL WITNESSES THAT
04:15PM	8	WE THINK SHOULD COVER A GOOD CHUNK OF FRIDAY. IT'S A LITTLE
04:15PM	9	BIT HARD TO PREDICT EXACTLY HOW MUCH, BUT WE'LL CERTAINLY MAKE
04:15PM	10	USE OF AS MUCH TIME AS POSSIBLE ON FRIDAY.
04:15PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT SOUNDS GREAT.
04:15PM	12	MS. WALSH: THANK YOU.
04:15PM	13	THE COURT: THANKS. WE'LL SEE YOU
04:15PM	14	MR. BOSTIC: YOUR HONOR
04:15PM	15	THE COURT: MR. BOSTIC.
04:15PM	16	MR. BOSTIC: JUST A BRIEF HOUSEKEEPING ISSUE BEFORE
04:16PM	17	I FORGET.
04:16PM	18	DURING THE CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. EISENMAN, EXHIBIT 3530
04:16PM	19	WAS DISCUSSED AND I JUMPED UP AND SAID THAT IT WASN'T IN
04:16PM	20	EVIDENCE.
04:16PM	21	I WAS CORRECTED THAT IT WAS.
04:16PM	22	AND AT THE RISK OF BEING CORRECTED A SECOND TIME, I THINK
04:16PM	23	THAT WHAT WAS PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED WERE PAGES 1 THROUGH 24 AND
04:16PM	24	32.
04:16PM	25	AND TODAY I THINK PAGE 42 WAS ALSO PUBLISHED.

04:16PM	1	THAT'S NO PROBLEM, AND THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T OBJECT TO
04:16PM	2	PAGE 42 BEING ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE IF I'M RIGHT ABOUT THAT,
04:16PM	3	BUT IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO CLARIFY FOR THE
04:16PM	4	RECORD.
04:16PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
04:16PM	6	MS. WALSH: CAN YOU REMIND ME OF THE NUMBER? 35
04:16PM	7	MR. BOSTIC: 3530.
04:16PM	8	THE COURT: THIS WAS THE STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT I
04:16PM	9	BELIEVE.
04:16PM	10	MS. WALSH: RIGHT.
04:16PM	11	MR. BOSTIC: IT HAS SIGNATURE PAGES FOR MULTIPLE
04:17PM	12	INVESTORS.
04:17PM	13	THE COURT: RIGHT.
04:17PM	14	MR. BOSTIC: I THINK ONLY ONE OF THOSE SIGNATURE
04:17PM	15	PAGES WAS PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED.
04:17PM	16	THE COURT: RIGHT. AS I RECALL, THE DOCUMENT DOES
04:17PM	17	HAVE SIGNATURE PAGES FOR MANY OF THE INVESTORS. GEORGE SHULTZ
04:17PM	18	WAS ON THERE, AND OTHERS, I THINK.
04:17PM	19	BUT NOT
04:17PM	20	MS. WALSH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
04:17PM	21	MY ONLY INTENT REALLY WAS TO GET IN MR. EISENMAN'S
04:17PM	22	SIGNATURE, AND THEN THE PAGE 42 SHOWING THE NUMBER OF SHARES
04:17PM	23	AND THE TOTAL AMOUNT PAID FOR THOSE SHARES.
04:17PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY.
04:17PM	25	MS. WALSH: SO I'M NOT SURE. WE CAN PREPARE A NEW

04:17PM	1	EXHIBIT, AND MAYBE REOFFER THE NEW EXHIBIT. I'M NOT SURE HOW
04:17PM	2	TO CURE THE ISSUE.
04:17PM	3	THE COURT: WELL, IF JUST THOSE PAGES WERE ADMITTED,
04:17PM	4	I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO WE CAN PULL THOSE PAGES OUT.
04:17PM	5	WHATEVER THE JURY GETS ELECTRONICALLY, IT WILL BE JUST THOSE
04:17PM	6	PARTICULAR PAGES, IF THAT'S ALL RIGHT WITH YOU.
04:17PM	7	MS. WALSH: THAT'S FINE WITH US.
04:17PM	8	MR. BOSTIC: YES, YOUR HONOR. AS LONG AS THE RECORD
04:18PM	9	REFLECTS WHICH PAGES WERE ADMITTED, THEN WE'LL MAKE SURE THAT
04:18PM	10	THE FINAL VERSION THAT GOES BACK TO THE JURY HAS THOSE PAGES.
04:18PM	11	THE COURT: RIGHT.
04:18PM	12	MS. WALSH: I GUESS I I'M NOT SURE IF MR. BOSTIC
04:18PM	13	SAID PAGE 42 WAS NOT ADMITTED OR IT WAS.
04:18PM	14	MR. BOSTIC: MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT IT WAS NOT
04:18PM	15	PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED, AND IT WAS NOT ADMITTED TODAY FORMALLY.
04:18PM	16	MS. WALSH: SO I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT THAT PAGE.
04:18PM	17	THE COURT: OKAY.
04:18PM	18	MS. WALSH: WE CAN DO THAT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE
04:18PM	19	JURY.
04:18PM	20	THE COURT: RIGHT. I THINK WE SHOULD. WE'LL DO
04:18PM	21	THAT TOMORROW MORNING, OR EXCUSE ME, FRIDAY NEXT MORNING.
04:18PM	22	MS. WALSH: SURE.
04:18PM	23	THE COURT: WE'LL DO THAT. JUST REMIND ME OF THAT
04:18PM	24	AND WE'LL JUST TAKE CARE OF THAT HOUSEKEEPING.
04:18PM	25	MS. WALSH: YES.

04:18PM	1	THE COURT: AND THEN FOR THE JURY EXHIBITS THEN,
04:18PM	2	WHENEVER THEY GO BACK, THEY WILL BE THE ELECTRONIC VERSION,
04:18PM	3	WHATEVER THOSE PAGES ARE, FOUR OR FIVE PAGES.
04:18PM	4	MR. BOSTIC: YES, YOUR HONOR.
04:18PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT.
04:18PM	6	MS. WALSH: THANK YOU.
04:18PM	7	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
04:18PM	8	(COURT ADJOURNED AT 4:18 P.M.)
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
4	
5	
6	
7	WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS OF THE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
9	CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO
10	HEREBY CERTIFY:
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS
12	A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE
13	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
14	Orene Rodriguez
15	Char woulded
16	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8076
17	
18	Spe-Arn Shorting
19	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
20	
21	DATED: MAY 11, 2022
22	
23	
24	
25	